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[1] A new Lagrangian particle-based method is presented to simulate reactive transport in natural porous media. This technique is based on Modified Moving Particle Semi-implicit (MMPS) and takes as input high-resolution voxel images of natural porous media. The flow field in the medium is computed by solving the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. Moreover, a multicomponent ion transport model is coupled with a homogeneous and heterogeneous reactions module to handle pore-space alteration (i.e., pore-wall dissolution). The model is first successfully validated against the experimental data available in the literature. Subsequently, X-ray microtomographic images of two naturally occurring porous media are used to investigate the impact of reaction kinetics and pore-space topology on pore-space alteration induced by brine acidification in subsurface conditions. We observed that at the normal rates of reactions no significant change in porosity and permeability takes place in the short term. Whereas, higher reaction rates caused major changes in the macroscopic properties (e.g., porosity and permeability) of the rocks. We also show that these changes are strongly affected by the rocks’ pore-scale topologies.


1. Introduction

[2] Subsequent to CO2 injection into underground geological formations, a series of heterogeneous rock/fluid chemical reactions take place. These reactions, which also account for the permanent mineral trapping of carbon, gradually alter the pore-space topology of the rock formation. The resulting pore-space topology alterations translate into changes in the properties of the medium such as porosity and permeability. These changes affect fluids flow in the system and may have implications for the process. Clearly, it is highly desirable to avoid conditions whereby the rock structure undergoes changes favoring CO2 leakage to the surface. Wellbore stimulation via acid injection in hydrocarbon reservoirs is another example where rock/fluid interactions play a critical role. In this case, unlike carbon sequestration, the objective is to enhance the permeability of the medium in order to improve production.

[3] These processes, despite their opposite objectives, are closely related as similar pore-scale physics dictate the outcomes. Therefore, a fundamental examination of the relevant phenomena at the pore scale can shed light on how to successfully implement the above-mentioned processes. Experimental investigation of pore-space dissolution due to acid injection into carbonate rocks [Hoefner and Fogler, 1988; Fredd and Fogler, 1998; Golfer et al., 2002; Luquot and Gouze, 2009] have provided valuable insights on the optimum conditions under which permeability enhancement is best achieved. In particular, Fredd and Miller [2000] present a classification of dissolution patterns based on injection flow rate. This subject is later expanded by Golfer et al. [2002], where a dissolution pattern diagram is presented to predict a priori the formation of wormholes using Peclet (Pe) and Damkohler (Da) dimensionless numbers. Similar classification of both dissolution and precipitation patterns is also reported by Daccord et al. [1993]. Furthermore, several experimental studies have focused on calcite precipitation in glass micromodels [Aigive et al., 2007; Yoon et al., 2012] and flow cells [Katz et al., 2011] to evaluate the impact of calcite deposition pattern on the porosity and permeability of the medium.

[4] Application of the above-mentioned findings in field-scale models requires an additional step to develop constitutive relations for Darcy-scale parameters that convey the correct physics. This may be achieved by further understanding the phenomena responsible for rock/fluid interactions at the pore scale. For example, Gouze and Luquot [2011] and Luquot and Gouze [2009] used X-ray microtomography to characterize changes in reactive surface area, porosity, and permeability at the pore scale induced by CO2 injection into pure calcite rock samples. Pore-scale modeling of reactive transport is an alternative way to obtain relevant information to upscale [Kechagia et al., 2002; Battato and Tartakovsky, 2011] these processes. Various numerical methods such as lattice Boltzmann [Sullivan et al., 2005; Kang et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2013], pore-network modeling [Varloteaux et al., 2013],
and level set [Li et al., 2008a] have been used to study mineral
dissolution and precipitation in two-dimensional and
three-dimensional porous media. Numerical schemes based on
random walks and finite difference formulations have
also been employed to study dissolution [Bekri et al., 1995]
and precipitation [Salles et al., 1993] in artificially gener-
ated two-dimensional and three-dimensional porous media
as well as fractures [Dijk and Berkowitz, 1998]. Conven-
tional mesh-based methods [Yang et al., 2013] such as
finite volume have been applied to study pore-scale reac-
tive transport with varied degrees of success [Molins et al.,
2012; Steefel and Maher, 2009].

Despite these efforts, numerical simulation of reactive
transport at the pore scale requires more research in two
main areas: (a) inclusion of electrochemical migration in the
transport equation and (b) development of robust algorithms
to account for changes in pore-space topology induced by
rock/fluid chemical reactions. As evidenced by Ovaysi
and Piri [2013], electrochemical transport of ionic species is a
critically important mechanism that cannot be ignored in a
pore-scale model of reactive transport. Similar observations
were made by Molins et al. [2012], where deviation of the
results from experimental data at low pH was attributed to
ignoring electrochemical migration in their model. Reliable
simulation of flow and transport in systems with evolving
solid boundaries is another area where more research is
required. In this work, we focus on both of these areas.

To this end, one can use mesh-based numerical tech-
niques [Berger et al., 2003; LeVeque and Li, 1994; Pember
et al., 1995; Miller and Trebotich, 2012]. These methods,
however, require relatively significant improvements to
handle changing boundary conditions expected at the pore
scale in reactive systems. On the other hand, particle-based
methods are potentially, due to their fully Lagrangian
nature, capable of handling evolving rock/fluid boundaries
more reliably. Among these techniques, Modified Moving
Particle Semi-implicit (MMPS) [Ovaysi and Piri, 2010;
Ovaysi, 2010; Ovaysi and Piri, 2011, 2012] and Smoothed
Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) [Monaghan, 2005; Tarta-
kovsky et al., 2008] have been applied to reactive transport
problems. However, there are major differences between
MMPS and SPH. First, the particle-based summations in
SPH impose several assumptions on the quality of the kernel
as well as the existence of a full support for the fluid
particles. These restrictions significantly limit the ability of
SPH to reliably deal with open flow boundaries. As a con-
sequence, only periodic boundary conditions have been
handled by SPH. The particle-based summations in MMPS,
on the other hand, are less restrictive insofar as various
boundary conditions on the open flow boundaries can be
easily implemented [Ovaysi and Piri, 2010]. Another major
difference is in the solution strategy: SPH solves the time-
dependent governing equations in a fully explicit manner
and relies on an artificial equation of state to simulate a
quasi incompressible fluid; MMPS solves the governing
equations semiimplicitly. Here, pressure is computed
through the solution of an elliptic equation which is derived
from the continuity equation for incompressible fluids. The
above factors have enabled MMPS to be validated success-
fully against various experimental data [Ovaysi and Piri,
2010, 2011, 2013], whereas no such quantitative valida-
tions is available in the literature for SPH.

In this paper, we present an extension of MMPS
model to simulate pore-level reactive transport of fluids
through naturally occurring porous media in order to enable
the study of both of the above-mentioned areas. We first
discuss the porous mediums studied in this work and the
numerical discretization that would best suit MMPS. We
then elaborate on the extended MMPS. This is followed by
model validation against experimental results available in
the literature. Finally, we present and analyze the simula-
tion results followed by concluding remarks.

2. Pore-Space Representation

In accordance with the objectives of this study, we
selected two samples taken from rocks with different pore-
space topologies, i.e., Gambier limestone (sample A) and
Berea sandstone (sample B). Experimental measurements
revealed 7800 mD and 980 mD permeabilities for the
Gambier limestone and Berea sandstone, respectively. The
measured porosities of these rocks are 49% and 22.7%,
respectively. Since carbonates are more susceptible to rock/
fluid reactions under subsurface conditions, we assumed
that both of these rocks are completely composed of cal-
cium carbonate. This assumption is not consistent with the
mineralogy of Berea sandstone; nonetheless, a visual
inspection of the X-ray microtomography images of these
rocks, i.e., Figures 1 and 2, reveals vastly different pore-
space topologies, see Blumenfeld et al. [2013] and Øren
and Bakke [2003] for pore-size distributions. It is the
impact of these different pore-space topologies on dissolu-
tion/precipitation patterns that we investigate in this work.
Therefore, the original mineralogy of these rocks were not
the main focus of the study. Furthermore, since the changes
in porosity and permeability appear after long periods of
time, we selected smaller samples to significantly reduce
the time span of the simulations. We cut 0.42 mm × 0.42
mm × 1.06 mm samples from the original images. We then

Figure 1. A (3.6 mm)3 isosurface of Gambier limestone
at 5.406 μm resolution.
placed one particle in the center of each voxel of the discretized image, which provided a map to mark the solid/fluid particles. Figures 3 and 4 illustrate the void space occupied by fluid particles in the Gambier and Berea samples, respectively.

3. Particle-Based Summations

To resolve the governing equations of flow and transport in a system represented by a set of \( i = 1, \ldots, N_p \) particles identified by their distance vector \( r_i \), one needs to define fairly accurate approximations of the differential operators used in those equations. The particle-based approximations presented in this section are those given in the original work by Koshizuka et al. [1995].

Consider a continuous function \( A(r) \). A particle-based approximation of this function can be written as

\[
A_i = A(r_i) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N_i} W(|r_i - r_j|)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N_i} W(|r_i - r_j|)}
\]

where \( W(|r_i - r_j|) \) is the kernel, simply giving a higher weight to the particles close to \( i \) than those in distance. To reduce computational time and limit the number of neighboring particles, the kernel is usually chosen so that it adopts zero beyond a certain boundary. This boundary is called kernel size and denoted by \( h \). One example of such a kernel that is used in the present work reads

\[
W_{ij} = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{2r}{h} \right)^2 & 0 \leq r < \frac{h}{2} \\ \left( \frac{2r}{h} - 2 \right)^2 & \frac{h}{2} \leq r < h \\ 0 & r \geq h \end{cases}
\]

where \( W_{ij} = W(r_{ij}) \) and \( r_{ij} = |r_i - r_j| \).

Furthermore, in equations (3)-(5), we present the particle-based approximations of the gradient, divergence, and Laplacian operators, respectively, acting on particle \( i \).

\[
\nabla_i A = \frac{d}{N_i} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} A_i - A_j \cdot (r_i - r_j) W_{ij}
\]

\[
\nabla_i \cdot v = \frac{d}{N_i} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} (v_j - v_i) \cdot (r_j - r_i) W_{ij}
\]

\[
\nabla_i^2 A = \frac{2d}{N_i} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} (A_i - A_j) W_{ij}
\]

where \( d \) is the number of spatial dimensions and the parameter \( \lambda \) is

\[
\lambda = \frac{\int W(r) r^2 dv}{\int W(r) dv}
\]

[12] Also, \( N_i \), particle number density, is defined as

\[
N_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} W_{ij}
\]

4. Model Description

The transport of a reactive fluid through a porous medium is a complex process encompassing several
physicochemical processes. As such, a realistic pore-level reactive transport model must be able to address fluid flow, transport of charged and neutral species, and homogeneous and heterogeneous chemical reactions that result in pore-space alteration. In the previous studies, we have discussed the first three aspects of the model which we briefly explain here. We then complete the model description by discussing the heterogeneous fluid/rock reactions that lead to the alteration of the pore space.

4.1. Fluid Flow

[14] The incompressible Navier-Stokes equations govern the pore-level laminar flow of a Newtonian incompressible fluid in porous media. Given the Lagrangian nature of MMPS, here we introduce the Lagrangian version of these equations. We begin by presenting the equation of motion, i.e.,

$$\frac{Dv}{Dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P_d - \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P_s + \frac{\mu}{\rho} \nabla^2 v + g$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $v$ is the velocity vector, $\rho$ is density, $\mu$ is viscosity, $g$ is the gravity vector, $P_s$ is static pressure, and $P_d$ is dynamic pressure. We consider the total pressure to be the summation of static and dynamic pressures, i.e., $P = P_s + P_d$.

[15] MMPS follows a semiimplicit approach known as pressure projection method where the total velocity is represented by

$$v = v_E + v_I$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where subscripts $E$ and $I$ denote explicit and implicit, respectively.

[16] $v_E$ is computed using

$$v_E = v^e + \left( -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P_s + \frac{\mu}{\rho} \nabla^2 v + g \right) \Delta t$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where superscript $n$ and $\Delta t$ denote the previous time step and the size of time step, respectively.

[17] Static pressure in equation (10) is directly calculated using the external pressure gradient applied on the medium while taking into account its pore-space topology as implied by

$$\nabla^2 P_s = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

[18] The remainder of equation (8) is solved implicitly to compute $v_I$

$$v_I = -\frac{\Delta t}{\rho} \nabla P_d$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

[19] To do that, the unknown field of $P_d$ must be calculated using the continuity equation. However, to compensate for the errors resulting from the numerical operations, we present a modified continuity equation that takes into account minor deviations occurred in previous time steps, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{\Delta t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \Delta t + \nabla \cdot v = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

[20] Combining equations (13), (9), and (12), $P_d$ is obtained from

$$\nabla^2 P_d \frac{\rho}{\Delta t} \left( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{\Delta t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \Delta t \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

[21] Further details regarding the above-mentioned equations are discussed elsewhere [Ovaysi and Piri, 2010]. The solution to these equations will resolve the pore-level flow of a single-component nonreactive fluid in porous media.

4.2. Multicomponent Reactive Transport

[22] In addition to the equations described in section 4.1, transport of a multicomponent reactive fluid is also governed by

$$\frac{DC_i}{Dt} = \left( \frac{DC_i}{Dt} \right)_{\text{diffusion}} + \left( \frac{DC_i}{Dt} \right)_{\text{electrical coupling}} + \left( \frac{DC_i}{Dt} \right)_{\text{chemical reactions}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $C_i$ is the molarity of species $i$.

[23] Equation (15) is solved explicitly at each time step for all species present in the system. Below, we discuss the three terms on the right-hand side of this equation, namely diffusion, electrical coupling, and chemical reactions.

4.2.1. Diffusion

[24] The first term on the right-hand side of this equation refers to the contribution of molecular diffusion to the total transport and is computed by

$$\left( \frac{DC_i}{Dt} \right)_{\text{diffusion}} = D_i \left( \nabla^2 C_i + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{C} \nabla \ln \gamma_i) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where $D_i$ is the molecular diffusion coefficient and $\gamma_i$ is the activity coefficient of species $i$. In this work, the activity coefficients of the neutral species are assumed to be unity whereas for the ions we use the Davies activity model [Samson et al., 1999], i.e.,

$$\ln \gamma_i = -\frac{A_i z_i^2 \sqrt{I}}{1+B \sqrt{I}} + C_i z_i^2 I$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where $A, B, C$ and $C_i$ are constants. $z_i$ is the valence of the species $i$ and $I$, ionic strength, is defined by

$$I = \sum_i C_i z_i^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

where $N$ is the total number of species.

4.2.2. Electrical Coupling

[25] The different ions present in the system diffuse at different rates which can lead to an artificial state where the solution is electrically charged. To prevent this, the electrical coupling term must ensure that the electroneutrality condition always prevails at every point in the system, i.e.,

$$\sum_i z_i C_i = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

[26] The standard approach [Marchand et al., 2001] to assure the electroneutrality condition is to solve the Poisson-Nernst-Planck equations. However, that approach
4.2.3. Chemical Reactions

First, we need to account for the equilibrium and kinetic reactions separately. To do that we write

\[ \frac{DC_i}{Dt} \] 

chemical reactions = \[ \frac{DC_i}{Dt} \] k + \[ \frac{DC_i}{Dt} \] eq

(22)

4.2.3.1. Kinetic Reactions

[38] The kinetic reactions listed in Table 2 occur at the surface of the calcite crystals. In the present work, we assume that the flow field does not entrain the partially dissolved solid particles. Since the studied flow rates are low (Re << 1), we believe this assumption is plausible. Furthermore, we assume the pore walls are completely made of calcite. Therefore, the reactive surface can easily be calculated by first marking the solid particles that interface with the fluid phase. A subset of these surface particles, i.e., \( S_\alpha \), can then be identified at the neighborhood of any fluid particle through the summations discussed in section 3. Also, since each particle represents a cubic grid of size \( D_p \), original particle spacing, the volume occupied by each particle is calculated by \( V = \pi D_p^3 \), where \( \alpha \geq 0 \) is a shrinkage factor describing the size of particle compared to its original size. Also, the reactive surface of particle \( i, A_r \), is calculated through the assumption that particles shrink/grow homogeneously, i.e.,

\[ A_r = 6z^2 D_p^2 \frac{\bar{f}^2}{N_i} \] (23)

where \( \bar{f} \) is a subset of particles in the neighborhood of \( i \) that includes only fluid particles. The summations in this equation are introduced to account for only the exposed surface of the solid particles.

[29] Also, the contribution of kinetic reactions in equation (22) for fluid particle \( i \) can be calculated using

\[ \left( \frac{DC_{ij}}{Dt} \right)_k = \frac{10^{-3}}{N_i} \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} R_{ij} A_k W_{ik} \] (24)

where \( R_{ij} \) is the production rate of species \( j \) through the kinetic reaction \( l \) listed in Table 2 and \( N_l \) is the total number of kinetic reactions. All the variables of this equation are in SI system of units.

[30] To account for shrinkage/growth of the surface solid particles, we first calculate the amount of mass gained/lost as a result of the kinetic reactions, i.e.,

\[ M_j = M_j + \frac{A_i \Delta M}{N_i} \sum_{k=1}^{N_i} R_{iC,CO_3,j} A_k W_{ik} \] (25)

where \( M \) is in mol. Then \( \alpha \) which is a measure of the extent of shrinkage/growth can be calculated by

\[ \alpha = \frac{M_j}{\rho_{CaCO_3} D_p^3} \] (26)

where \( \rho_{CaCO_3} = 27110 \text{ mol m}^{-3} \).

[31] The shrinkage/growth of the solid particles continuously alters the pore space. This phenomenon is accounted for by introducing a modified kernel used in the particle-based summations discussed in section 3. Using a similar
concept presented earlier [Ovaysi and Piri, 2010], the modified kernel reads

\[ W_{ij,\text{modified}} = z_i z_j W_{ij} \]  

(27)

4.2.3.2. Equilibrium Reactions

[32] The equilibrium reactions are treated according to the method described by Lichtner et al. [1996]. As the first step, the equilibrium reactions must be written in their canonical forms shown in Table 3. This leaves the primary and secondary species as listed in Table 4. Consider \( R_{eq} \) the progress of the canonical reaction \( j \), then for every secondary species \( i \)

\[ \left( \frac{DC_i}{Dt} \right)_{eq} = K_{eq,i} \]  

(28)

[33] Performing mass balance for primary species on the reactions listed in Table 3 reveals

\[ \left( \frac{DC_1}{Dt} \right)_{eq} = -R_{\text{eq}_1} - R_{\text{eq}_2} - R_{\text{eq}_3} - R_{\text{eq}_4} \]

\[ \left( \frac{DC_2}{Dt} \right)_{eq} = R_{\text{eq}_1} + R_{\text{eq}_3} + 2R_{\text{eq}_4} \]  

(29)

[34] Substituting equation (28) in equation (29), we derive

\[ \left( \frac{DC_1}{Dt} \right)_{eq} + \left( \frac{DC_2}{Dt} \right)_{eq} + \left( \frac{DC_3}{Dt} \right)_{eq} + \left( \frac{DC_4}{Dt} \right)_{eq} = 0 \]

\[ \left( \frac{DC_2}{Dt} \right)_{eq} - \left( \frac{DC_1}{Dt} \right)_{eq} - \left( \frac{DC_3}{Dt} \right)_{eq} - 2\left( \frac{DC_4}{Dt} \right)_{eq} = 0 \]

\[ \left( \frac{DC_3}{Dt} \right)_{eq} + \left( \frac{DC_1}{Dt} \right)_{eq} + \left( \frac{DC_4}{Dt} \right)_{eq} = 0 \]  

(30)

[35] Also, from equations (15) and (22), we know

\[ \left( \frac{DC_i}{Dt} \right)_{eq} = \left( \frac{DC_i}{Dt} \right)_{\text{diffusion}} + \left( \frac{DC_i}{Dt} \right)_{\text{electrical coupling}} + \left( \frac{DC_i}{Dt} \right)_{k} \]  

(32)

Table 3. Canonical Form of the Equilibrium Reactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>( K_{eq} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( H_2O - H^+ \leftrightarrow OH^- )</td>
<td>( K_{eq1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( H_2O + CO_3^- \leftrightarrow H_2CO_3 )</td>
<td>( K_{eq2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( H_2O + CO_3^- \leftrightarrow CO_2 + OH^- )</td>
<td>( K_{eq3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( H_2O + CO_2 \leftrightarrow 2H^+ + CO_3^- )</td>
<td>( K_{eq4} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Primary and Secondary Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondary Index</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Primary Index</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>OH^-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>H_2O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>H_2CO_3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>H^+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>HCO_3^-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>CO_2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \text{where} \]

\[ F_i(C) = \left( \frac{DC_i}{Dt} \right)_{\text{diffusion}} + \left( \frac{DC_i}{Dt} \right)_{\text{electrical coupling}} + \left( \frac{DC_i}{Dt} \right)_{k} \]

(32)

\[ \text{can be known explicitly using the concentration values in the previous time step.} \]

[36] Combining equations (30) and (31) yields

\[ \left( \frac{DC_2}{Dt} \right)_{eq} = F_i(C) - F_1(C) - F_2(C) - F_3(C) - F_4(C) \]  

(33)

[37] Using mass action equations for the reactions in Table 3, we obtain

\[ C_1 = \frac{K_{eq_1}C_{eq_5}^5C_i}{C_6^6C_7^1} \]

\[ C_2 = \frac{K_{eq_2}C_{eq_7}C_{eq_7}^7}{C_7^2C_i} \]

\[ C_3 = \frac{K_{eq_3}C_{eq_7}C_{eq_7}^7}{C_6^6C_7^3} \]

\[ C_4 = \frac{K_{eq_4}C_{eq_7}^5C_{eq_7}^5C_i}{C_6^6C_7^4} \]  

(34)

where \( C_i \) is the total molar concentration.

[38] Using equation (34) in equation (33), we reach at a set of three nonlinear equations. In the present work, we use Newton-Raphson method to solve for concentration of the primary species. Following that, concentration of the secondary species can also be known using equation (34).

[39] All the results presented in the following sections are generated using our parallel code on a hybrid multi-GPU platform with 54 GPUs.

5. Validation

[40] We validate the above model against a microfluidic reactive flow experiment performed by Li et al. [2008b]. For this purpose, we first generate a 4200 \( \mu \)m-long medium of a cylindrical tube with 500 \( \mu \)m diameter and 20 \( \mu \)m resolution (see Figure 5). To replicate the experimental conditions, we assume the bordering solid particles to the tube in the middle 4000 \( \mu \)m are completely made of calcite. The remaining length of the tube in the inlet and outlet is assumed to be nonreactive in compliance with the experimental setup that indicates ceramics. Next, water with 10 mM ionic strength (NaCl) and pH = 4 and 5 is injected to the tube at two different flow rates, i.e., 4.72 and
9.39 µL/min. To achieve these flow rates, we apply 0.19 and 0.38 Pa pressure difference across the length of the tube, respectively. Concentration of Ca\(^{2+}\) in the outlet stream is then recorded in each case after 15 min and plotted in Figure 6. As shown in this figure, our simulations produced comparable results with the experimental data and within the same range of accuracy of the modeling results presented in the same work, i.e., Li et al. [2008b]. It should be stressed that, in simulating the above experiment, only the reactions listed in Tables 1 and 2 were considered.

6. Results and Discussion

[42] The samples presented in section 2 are first saturated with water at a 1.2 M ionic strength (NaCl and CaCl\(_2\)) and pH = 7. Then, at \(t = 0\) a highly acidic brine with pH = 1 is continuously injected to the inlet of the samples. Brine concentrations are given in Table 5. Since the samples are entirely made of calcium carbonate, the heterogeneous reactions listed in Table 2 are expected to take place at the rock/fluid interfaces. We assume the process takes place under subsurface conditions of 40°C and 12.7 MPa [Ellis et al., 2010], where CO\(_2\) exists as a super-critical phase. Molecular diffusion coefficients of the ions involved are given in Table 6.

![Figure 5. Visualization of Ca\(^{2+}\) molar concentration in the 4000 µm-long reactive portion of a microtube at \(t = 15\) min. The image is obtained from our simulation results in this study when injecting a pH = 4 solution at 9.39 µL/min flow rate. Only the fluid particles are visualized.](image)

![Figure 6. Comparison of Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration in the outlet after 15 min as measured in the experiments, modeling results from Li et al. [2008b], and our simulation results in the present work.](image)

### Table 5. Brine Compositions in mol/L

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Initial Brine</th>
<th>Acidic Brine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H(_2)O</td>
<td>55.56</td>
<td>55.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(^+)</td>
<td>1e−7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(^+)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca(^{2+})</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl(^−)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.1. Kinetics

[42] To study the impact of kinetics on pore-space alteration, the acidic brine is injected to sample A under two different conditions. In case I, the kinetic reactions take place under the normal rates reported in Table 2. Whereas, the kinetic reaction rates for case II were 100× faster than the normal rates. Other variables such as the equilibrium constants and hydrodynamic conditions were identical in both cases. The applied pressure difference across the length of the sample is 1 Pa. Under these conditions, we calculate \(Pe = \frac{uL}{Pe} = 3.4\) and \(Re = \frac{uD}{\mu} = 0.03\), where \(u\) is the mean interstitial velocity and \(l\) is the specific length calculated using the following analysis [Ovaysi and Piri, 2011]. Knowing both the permeability and grain size of Berea sandstone [Oren and Bakke, 2003], a virtual grain size of 800 µm is estimated for Gambier limestone through \(K \propto a^{1.4}\), where \(K\) and \(a\) denote permeability and grain size, respectively [Shepherd, 1989]. Although grain size is of no physical meaning in a limestone, we use this number as a measure for specific length to determine the hydrodynamics and dispersion regimes under which the simulations are performed. Also, to have a rough estimate of dissolution pattern inside the sample, we define

\[
Da = \frac{\text{rate of dissolution}}{\text{rate of convection}} = \frac{\psi_{\text{CaCO}_3}}{\rho_M D_{\mu}}
\]

where \(\psi_{\text{CaCO}_3} = \frac{dM}{dt}\) is the rate of rock dissolution and \(M\) is the molar mass of the dry sample. \(\rho_M\) and \(Q\) denote molar density and volume flow rate of the injected acidic brine, respectively. Although, due to variation of permeability and reactive surface area, \(Da\) changes continuously over time, we obtain an average \(Da = 2.47\) and 9.24 for cases I and II, respectively. Note the above \(Pe\) indicates a transitional dispersion zone where molecular diffusion coefficient plays a significant role. This is evidenced by a uniform distribution and hence the absence of concentration gradient of H\(^+\) ions across the width of the pores in Figure 7. Furthermore, a \(Da = 2.47\) implies that even under the normal reaction rates, transport of acid to the rock
surface is inferior to the rate by which the acid is consumed on the rock surface. In other words, the actual rate of kinetic reactions is controlled by the transport of reactants and reaction products to and from the rock-fluid surface. Therefore, a 100× increase in the rate of kinetic reactions will not be proportionally translated into higher dissolution of the rock matrix. Instead, only a 3.7× increase in the rate of dissolution is observed. Also, notable in this figure is the slower progress of the H⁺ plume in case II. This is due to the higher rate of H⁺ consumption in the 100× heightened kinetic reactions which leaves less H⁺ to be transported downstream. Therefore, the acidic front dissolves more and travels less into the sample. In Figure 8, we illustrate how this phenomenon affects the dissolution pattern. As clearly seen, higher reaction rates have lead to more dissolution of the inlet pore walls in Figure 8. However, this fast rate of dissolution has consumed most of the H⁺ ions and, consequently, the pore walls in the downstream are less affected when compared to Figure 8 where the kinetic reactions are slower. Comparing the variation of rock surface areas for the above cases in Figure 15, it becomes clear that the dissolution pattern in case II is localized at the inlet of the sample. In case I, on the other hand, the dissolution process takes place more uniformly throughout the medium. Therefore, the rate of rock dissolution in case I does not increase sharply as in case II. Given that rock dissolution in both cases is transport limited, this can be directly attributed to the continuous supply of H⁺ ions throughout the sample in case I where rock dissolution takes place in regions far away from the inlet, see Figure 15. This localized dissolution pattern is in agreement with previous studies where a similar behavior was observed both at the core scale [Gollier et al., 2002; Gouze and Luquot, 2011] and field scale [Kalia and Balakotaiah, 2007; Cohen et al., 2008]. Although normal reaction rates yield a uniform dissolution pattern, the magnitude of this dissolution during the time span of our simulations is small and its impact on porosity and permeability of the sample is insignificant. The faster kinetics, on the other hand, cause significant change in both porosity and permeability as shown in Figure 9. In this figure, we plot the normalized porosities and permeabilities of sample A versus time. The normalized porosities and permeabilities are obtained based on their respective values computed in the absence of chemical reactions. It is shown that gradual dissolution of the pore walls leads to an imbalance between the inlet and outlet flow rates where the inlet flow rate has to be greater in order to fill the newly created pore space. To highlight this, in Figure 9 we have plotted both the inlet and outlet permeabilities. Note that calcium carbonate can both precipitate and dissolve. However, the highly acidic environment inside the medium forces dissolution to be dominant, i.e., we did not observe $\alpha > 1$. This leads to a net CO₂ production in the medium. However, the CO₂ content of the brine remains below its solubility limit reported by Ellis et al. [2010].
6.2. Pore-Space Topology

Sample B presents a medium with drastically different pore-scale features than that of sample A and hence a suitable candidate to investigate the impact of these features on pore-space alteration. Identical to section 6.1, we inject a highly acidic brine into sample B that is initially saturated with a neutral brine by applying a 1 Pa pressure difference across the length of the sample. Furthermore, to observe a noticeable alteration of the pore space, the kinetic reactions were sped up 100×. This compares to case II in the previous section. As expected, the low permeability of sample B hinders progress of the acidic brine through the sample, see Figure 10. Using a 200 μm grain size for Berea sandstone [Øren and Bakke, 2003], we calculated a $Re = 0.009$ and $Pe = 0.1$ which places the dispersion regime well within the region dominated by molecular diffusion. Under this regime, the acidic brine diffuses through the fluid channels it comes in contact with regardless of their conductivity. This implies that the less conductive (passive) flow channels have an equal chance of coming in contact with the acidic brine as the more conductive (active) channels. The acidic brine can then dissolve the walls of the exposed narrow channels creating new active conduits and hence improving the permeability of the medium. The above phenomenon is demonstrated in Figure 11 where we illustrate the active flow channels in sample B at different times during the simulation. Note that dissolution of the solid walls in the marked area gives birth to a new active flow conduit which connects to the main flow channel in the medium. Once the acidic brine diffuses through the sample and reaches the narrow conduit that connects the large pore on top to the main flow channel, it gradually widens the conduit. After some time, this widened conduit acts as a reliable connection between the large pore on top and the main flow channel. In Figure 11, a similar phenomenon has created another active flow channel just below the marked area. The collective impact of these new active flow channels translates to a significant improvement in permeability of sample B as shown in Figure 12. Noteworthy, creation of new active flow channels in sample B has lead to a situation where a minor change in porosity yields a significant change in permeability. This is also evidenced by the relatively insignificant amount of pore-wall dissolution seen in Figure 13 when compared with that in sample A, cf. Figure 8, which is also demonstrated in Figures 14 and 15. Also noteworthy in Figure 12, the inlet permeability grows much more quickly than the outlet permeability. This is to pull more fluid particles into the medium to supplant the dissolved solid walls. However, since sample B has a much lower permeability than that of sample A, the gap between the inlet and outlet permeabilities is wider in Figure 12 when compared with Figure 9.

Using equation (35) we calculate $Du = 62.6$ which compared to case II in section 6.1 is a much larger number and implies a faster rate of rock matrix dissolution. This can be mainly attributed to susceptibility of the pore space in sample B to the creation of new flow channels and, hence, a greater exposure of the rock surface to the acidic brine which further speeds up the dissolution process. The existence of large well-connected pores in sample A makes the creation of new flow channels less likely. Instead, the main mechanism by which pore-wall dissolution contributes to porosity/permeability alteration is by widening of the already existing channels, see Figure 16. Note that this process creates a flow pattern where more fluid has to be injected into the medium. Mass conservation implies that more fluid has to pass faster through the same unaltered conduits downstream of the medium. It is this increase in velocity that translates to a more actively connected porous medium in Figure 16b. Furthermore, it is important to note that the dissolution process gradually smooths the pore walls, leading to a gradual decrease in the surface area available for further dissolution. This in turn leads to a gradual decline in the rate of dissolution. Formation of new channels can enhance this process by introducing sharp decreases in the rock surface area. As shown in Figure 15, the surface area available to the kinetic reactions in sample B decreases rapidly after 5 s into the simulation which is caused by the formation of new channels. This process brings some of the inactive pores in contact with a supply of acidic brine. Therefore, as evidenced in the above figure, rate of dissolution increases rather sharply after 5 s. This process continues until $t = 10$ s when the newly created channels have been widened enough to be visible in
Figure 11. These channels are then connected to the main flow channel. Upon establishing the connections, permeability of the medium increases sharply, see Figure 12. After this point, the dissolution process slows down due to the decreased surface area available for reactions. Note that permeability enhancement in sample B after $t = 10$ s is mainly due to widening of the pore channels which is the sole mechanism responsible for permeability enhancement in sample A.

6.3. Constitutive Relations for Permeability and Surface Area

The quantities computed in our pore-scale simulations can be used to propose constitutive relations describing the evolution of permeability and rock surface area as a function of variation in porosity in the samples studied. Luquot and Gouze [2009] propose $\frac{K}{K_0} = \left(\frac{\phi}{\phi_0}\right)^{\alpha}$ to account for the variation of specific surface area $\sigma = \frac{V}{(1-\phi)^2}$ versus porosity. In this equation, $V$ is the bulk volume of the sample and subscript 0 denotes the initial conditions. Given that $V$ is constant, to find the power law exponent $\alpha$, in Figure 17 we fit our data to $\frac{\alpha}{\phi_0} = \frac{V}{(1-\phi)^2} \left(\frac{\phi}{\phi_0}\right)^{\alpha}$ to get $\alpha = -7.05$, $-3.48$, and $-2.77$ for case I sample A, case II sample A, and case II sample B, respectively. Clearly, reaction surface

Figure 11. The active flow channels in sample B at (a) 2 s, (b) 6 s, (c) 10 s, (d) 14 s, and (e) 18 s. Only the particles faster than $7 \times 10^{-5}$ m/s are shown. The color bar indicates velocity in m/s.

Figure 12. Variation of porosity and permeability in sample B during the injection of a highly acidic brine at 100× faster kinetics.
area in sample A is more sensitive to changes in porosity for case I. However, this sensitivity is not proportionally translated to permeability enhancement as noted earlier. On the contrary, in sample B where reduction in surface area versus porosity is much milder, we observe the highest enhancement in permeability, cf. Figure 18. Furthermore, since permeability enhancement in this sample is nonlinear, we found that the power law exponent in $K \propto \phi^b$ [Carman, 1937] is a function of porosity variation. Given our earlier discussion regarding the birth of new flow channels in sample B after 10 s into the simulation, we calculated $b = 1.13$ for $t < 10$ s and $b = 14.1$ for $t > 10$ s which is consistent with previous studies on this subject [Noiriel et al., 2004]. Permeability variation in case II sample A, on the other hand, is more uniform and we obtained $b = 5.76$ for the entire time span of the simulation. One should note that applicability of these relations to larger samples of these rock types is a subject for further investigation.

7. Conclusions

[46] In this study, we presented a technique to model pore-space alteration caused by rock/fluid chemical interactions. The new model is built on the MMPS platform which is a Lagrangian, direct pore-scale modeling technique. Two rock samples, namely Berea sandstone and Gambier limestone, possessing vastly different pore-scale topologies, were imaged using X-ray microtomography. Furthermore, since carbonates are more reactive in acidic environments, it was assumed that both of these rocks are made of calcium carbonate only. We then injected a highly acidic brine into these samples and using normal reaction rates, we did not observe any noticeable alteration of the pore space in 20 s (real time). Since the main objective of this study was to present a Lagrangian pore-scale modeling technique that is capable of handling changing rock-fluid boundaries that occur during acid injection into carbonate rocks, we artificially created circumstances where rock-fluid boundaries did change; namely, the kinetic rock-fluid reactions were sped up 100X. This enabled us to reach our goal of investigating the impact of chemical pore-space alterations on the rocks macroscopic properties in a practical amount of time. The results revealed that pore-scale features play a significant role on the type of pore-space alterations that are induced by rock/fluid chemical reactions. Those in turn determine the changes in macroscopic properties such as porosity and permeability. We observed that the dissolution caused by the exposure of pore walls to acidic brine leads to the widening of the exposed pores. In the medium where the most conductive flow channels are connected to the rest of the system through narrow pores, pore wall dissolution can significantly enhance permeability. This significant enhancement in permeability, however, is not necessarily accompanied by a significant increase in porosity. On the other hand, if the porous medium is well-connected through a network of conductive flow channels, widening of the already conductive pores is the main mechanism by which permeability is enhanced. This implies that a considerable change in porosity has to occur before we note a major improvement in permeability.

[47] The simulations carried out in this study covered a dispersion regime where molecular diffusion is dominant.
This means that all the pore-scale channels that lie on an equal distance in the pore space from the injection site are equally exposed to the acidic brine. At high Peclet numbers, however, the injected acidic brine prefers the more conductive channels and hence the chances for pore-wall dissolution in those channels increase. At the same time, the less conductive channels are rarely exposed to the acidic brine. This can significantly modify the dissolution pattern in porous media at high Peclet numbers. A future work should shed light on this aspect of pore space alteration at high Peclet numbers.

[48] We also presented constitutive relations describing the variations in permeability and reaction surface area with changes in porosity for the samples studied here. However, we note that one would need to investigate their applicability for larger samples. Even though, this question has been partly answered for single component transport in small pore-scale samples, see Ovaysi and Piri [2011], the subject still requires more investigation due to complex processes involved in reactive transport.
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