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ABSTRACT

We sought to characterize the ability of hummingbirds to fuel their energetically expensive hovering flight using dietary sugar by a combination of respirometry and stable carbon isotope techniques. Broadtailed hummingbirds (Selasphorus platycercus) were maintained on a diet containing beet sugar with an isotopic composition characteristic of C3 plants. Hummingbirds were fasted and then offered a solution containing cane sugar with an isotopic composition characteristic of C4 plants. By monitoring the rates of CO2 production and O2 consumption, as well as the stable carbon isotope composition of expired CO2, we were able to estimate the relative contributions of carbohydrate and fat, as well as the absolute rate at which dietary sucrose was oxidized during hovering. The combination of respirometry and carbon isotope analysis revealed that hummingbirds initially oxidized endogenous fat following a fast and then progressively oxidized proportionately more carbohydrates. The contribution from dietary sources increased with each feeding bout, and by 20 min after the first meal, dietary sugar supported ∼74% of hovering metabolism. The ability of hummingbirds to satisfy the energetic requirements of hovering mainly with recently ingested sugar is unique among vertebrates. Our finding provides an example of evolutionary convergence in physiological and biochemical traits among unrelated nectar-feeding animals.

Introduction

The habit of feeding on floral nectar has promoted convergence in morphological, behavioral, and physiological traits among insects, birds, and mammals. Hummingbirds (Trochilidae), a group of highly specialized nectar-feeding birds (Pyke 1980), share a number of traits with nectarivorous insects. In addition to hovering flight (Warrick et al. 2005) and small body size, both hummingbirds and nectar-feeding insects exhibit high mass-specific metabolic rates (Bartholomew and Casey 1978; Bartholomew et al. 1981; Suarez 1992, 2000) and possess large capacities for flight muscle carbohydrate oxidation (Suarez et al. 1986, 1990; O’Brien and Suarez 2001). In this article, we examine whether broadtailed hummingbirds (Selasphorus platycercus) share an additional trait with nectarivorous insects: the ability to support metabolism entirely with newly ingested sugar.

The hypothesis that hummingbirds support hovering flight with newly ingested dietary sugar emerged from respirometric studies. Respiratory quotient (RQ), which is equal to the rate of CO2 production (VCO2) divided by the rate of oxygen consumption (VO2; Ferrannini 1988), indicates that fasted hummingbirds switch from oxidizing lipids (RQ < 0.7) to oxidizing carbohydrates (RQ = 1.0) within minutes of feeding (Suarez et al. 1990). Although RQ can distinguish between lipid and carbohydrate oxidation (Ferrannini 1988), it makes no distinction between endogenous and exogenous nutrient use. This analytical limitation, however, can be overcome by using elemental stable isotope analysis in a diet-shifting experimental design (O’Brien et al. 2002). Recently, Carleton et al. (2006) used this approach to quantify the fraction of resting metabolism supported by recently assimilated sugars and endogenous energy reserves in hummingbirds. Even though Carleton et al. (2006) took their measurements from restrained birds, their finding that ∼90% of metabolism is fueled with dietary sugar (Carleton et al. 2006) largely agrees with the RQ estimates of ∼1.0 obtained from fed hummingbirds (Suarez et al. 1990). In this article, we combine respirometry and stable isotope analysis to determine (1) the substrate supporting metabolism during hovering flight in hummingbirds and (2) whether that substrate is endogenous or recently ingested. Our approach also allows us to estimate the rate of exogenous sugar oxidation in hovering hummingbirds (Adopo et al. 1994).

Material and Methods

The protocols we followed for this work were approved by the University of Wyoming’s Institutional Animal Use and Care Committee. We report δ13C on a per mil (‰) basis relative to
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the international carbon standard, Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite, where

$$\delta^{13}C = \frac{\left(^{13}C/^{12}C\right)_{\text{sample}} - \left(^{13}C/^{12}C\right)_{\text{standard}}}{\left(^{13}C/^{12}C\right)_{\text{standard}}} \times 10^3. \quad (1)$$

Hummingbird Care and Experimental Design

Using mist nets, we captured broadtailed hummingbirds (body mass = 2.8 ± 0.3 g, n = 8: 7 male, 1 female) in Albany County, Wyoming (41°20′N, 106°15′W). Captive hummingbirds were housed individually in wire-mesh cages (0.6 m × 0.6 m × 0.6 m) on a 15:9 photoperiod (photophase: 0600 hours–2100 hours MDT) at 22° ± 2°C. Before experimental manipulation, hummingbirds were fed ad lib on two C3-derived maintenance diets for ~150 d. The first diet was a 13.0% (mass %) solution of Nektar-Plus (Guenther Enderle, Tarpon Springs, FL) supplemented with vitamins (0.4%; Nekton-S, Guenther Enderle) and beet sugar (5.0%). The second maintenance diet was a 25.0% beet sugar solution. The δ13C of these maintenance diets were −25.36‰ ± 0.08‰ (n = 3) and −24.27‰ ± 0.01‰ (n = 3) for the Nektar-Plus and beet sugar solutions, respectively.

To determine the metabolic substrates used by hovering hummingbirds, we allowed them to feed ad lib on a 20% solution of cane sugar after fasting overnight (~9 h) or for ~1 h. The δ13C of this C4-derived cane sugar solution was −11.34‰ ± 0.04‰ (n = 3). During the hover-feeding bouts, we simultaneously collected expired breath for mass spectrometry carbon isotope analysis and measured \( V_0 \) and \( V_{CO_2} \) as described below.

Respirometry

Respirometric measurements during hovering flight involved use of a feeder-mask apparatus designed after one used by Bartholomew and Lighton (1986). An inverted 50-mL conical tube served as reservoir for the cane sugar solution. A rubber stopper, pierced by an 8 mm (i.d.) glass tube of approximately 20 cm in length, was fitted to the bottom of the inverted tube. This glass tube was bent at ~30° approximately halfway along its length and fire polished so that the external opening had closed to roughly 2–3 mm in diameter. This opening made it possible for the hummingbirds to lick the cane sugar solution inside without excess spilling. A plastic cylinder (16 mm i.d.) was affixed to the glass feeding tube and served as a mask whenever the hummingbird accessed the feeder. Polyethylene tubing (6 mm i.d.) was fitted to an opening drilled through the side of the cylinder approximately halfway along the plastic mask. A photosensor was attached to the bottom of the plastic mask adjacent to the open end. When the bird’s head was inside the mask (during a feeding), the photosensor was occluded.

Computer recordings of the resistance provided by the photosensor thus allowed determining the timing and duration of a feeding event.

Immediately before data collection, the oxygen analyzer (FOXBOX, Sable Systems International, Las Vegas, NV) was calibrated with well-mixed ambient air drawn through the mask in the absence of a hummingbird. The carbon dioxide analyzer (CA-2A, Sable Systems International) was calibrated with CO₂-free nitrogen gas (zero gas) and 0.5% CO₂ in nitrogen gas (Praxair, Danbury, CT). In each case, tubing was removed directly downstream of the mask and held inside a small reservoir into which flowed the calibration gas at a rate in excess of the flow rate of air pulled through the respirometry system. Air drawn through the chamber first passed through a column of Drierite desiccant (W. A. Hammond Drierite, Xenia, OH) to remove water before passing through the carbon dioxide analyzer. After leaving the carbon dioxide analyzer, air passed through a Drierite-Ascarite-Drierite column (Ascarite II, Arthur H. Thomas, Philadelphia, PA), to scrub any carbon dioxide and additional water from the line, and then into the oxygen analyzer. Flow rate was controlled via adjustment of pump intensity by means of a mechanism internal to the oxygen analyzer and maintained at 500 mL min⁻¹. This relatively low flow rate was chosen to facilitate ease and accuracy of timing of gas subsample collection. Oxygen analyzer, carbon dioxide analyzer, and photosensor analog (0–5 V) output data were converted into a digital signal (Universal Interface II, Sable Systems International) before recording. Data were recorded at 0.05-sec intervals for 1 h using Expedita software (v. 1.0.1, Sable Systems International). Data collection took place from May 12 to May 20, 2005.

The STP-corrected oxygen depletion and carbon dioxide enrichment associated with each feeding event were determined by subtracting baseline values (estimated by the linear extrapolation of points directly before and after the feeding event in question). These baseline-corrected data were then converted to milliliters of gas by application of standard equations (Withers 1977). Finally, relative volumes (mL) of oxygen and carbon dioxide respired by the bird were obtained by integrating the gas depletion or enhancement peak over time (min) and used to calculate RQ. As discussed below, feeding events and associated respirometric data for which subsampled \([CO_2] \) was <1,000 ppm were not included in our analyses.

Complementary measurements of \( V_0 \) and \( V_{CO_2} \) during hover feeding were obtained on a subset of hummingbirds (n = 5: 4 male, 1 female). Flow rate was held at 1,100 mL min⁻¹, and no expired breath subsamples were taken. Otherwise, the methodology adopted during this complementary data collection period was identical to that described above. These measurements were taken between May 4 and May 9, 2005.
Stable Carbon Isotope Analysis

The breath samples we collected for stable isotope analysis during feeding bouts contained both respired and ambient CO₂. Consequently, to estimate δ¹³C of respired breath (δ¹³C_{breath}), we used a two-part concentration-dependent mixing model adapted from Phillips and Koch (2002) such that

\[ \delta^{13}C_{\text{breath}} = \frac{\delta^{13}C_{\text{ambient}}(f_c) + \delta^{13}C_{\text{sample}}}{1 - f_c}, \]

where \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{sample}}\) is δ¹³C of air collected with a 60-mL gastight syringe at a point approximately halfway between the mask and the desiccant column during a feeding bout. The δ¹³C_{ambient} is δ¹³C of air collected in the same manner as above when a hummingbird was not present at the mask. The δ¹³C_{ambient} did not vary within (\(F_{1,11} = 1.04, P = 0.3276\), repeated-measures ANOVA) or between (\(F_{1,11} = 0.03, P = 0.8570\), repeated-measures ANOVA) trials. Therefore, we assumed δ¹³C_{ambient} was \(-8.76\pm1.01\%\) (n = 15) for our analyses. The fraction of CO₂ in the gas sample from ambient air is \(f_c\). Ambient [CO₂] (ppm) was determined with a carbon dioxide analyzer immediately before a feeding bout. The [CO₂] of the respired breath sample (ppm) was determined using closed-system respirometry (Vleck 1987).

Immediately after collection, we injected both breath and ambient samples into pre-evacuated 12-mL Exetainer vials (Labco, Buckinghamshire) until a positive pressure was achieved. Our mass spectrometry carbon isotope analysis was conducted as described previously (Carleton et al. 2004). To generate voltage output peaks between 2 and 5 V on the Micromass VG Optima continuous flow mass spectrometer coupled to a micro gas injector, the volume of sample gas we injected varied according to sample [CO₂]. The [CO₂] in respired hummingbird breath samples ranged between 1,000 and 2,000 ppm, and we injected 1.5 mL of sample. If [CO₂] in a respired breath sample was <1,000 ppm, we did not include it in our analyses: in general, breath samples containing <1,000 ppm CO₂ were obtained from very brief or very sporadic feeding bouts. The [CO₂] in our ambient gas samples was 525 ± 10 ppm (n = 15), and we injected ~3.0 mL of sample.

After Carleton et al. (2006), we estimated the fraction of hovering metabolism supported by exogenous carbohydrate (\(f_{\text{exo}}\)) as

\[ f_{\text{exo}} = \frac{\delta^{13}C_{\text{breath}} - \delta^{13}C_{\text{endo}}}{\delta^{13}C_{\text{breath}} - \delta^{13}C_{\text{endo}}}, \]

where \(\delta^{13}C_{\text{endo}}\) is the average δ¹³C of expired breath following a fast (when only endogenous fuels are being oxidized) and δ¹³C_{breath} is the δ¹³C of the exogenous fuel. During the initial postfast feeding bout, \(f_{\text{exo}}\) is, by definition, 0.

Oxidation Rate of Exogenous Sugars

The oxidation rate of exogenous sugars (\(M_{\text{exo}}, \text{mg min}^{-1}\)) can be calculated as:

\[ M_{\text{exo}} = \frac{V_{\text{CO}_2} \times f_{\text{exo}}}{k}, \]

where \(k\) is the volume of CO₂ produced by glucose oxidation (k = 0.7426 mL mg⁻¹; Adopo et al. 1994) and \(V_{\text{CO}_2}\) is the organismal carbon dioxide production rate (mL min⁻¹).

Results and Discussion

Metabolic Substrate Use during Hover-Feeding Bouts

Consistent with results obtained previously in rufous hummingbirds (Selasphorus rufus; Suarez et al. 1990), RQ values during hovering rapidly increased after feeding resumed. During the first feeding bout after a fast, RQ was 0.72 ± 0.03 (n = 5), indicating that the birds were primarily metabolizing fats. Twenty to 60 min after the resumption of feeding, RQ values were 0.93 ± 0.05 (n = 42), indicating that the birds had switched to oxidizing mainly carbohydrates. As >90% of \(V_{\text{O}_2}\) (and \(V_{\text{CO}_2}\)) under these conditions is accounted for by mitochondrial respiration in fast-twitch oxidative flight muscles (Taylor 1987; Suarez 1992), this implies that in hover-feeding hummingbirds, these muscles derived most of their ATP from the oxidation of carbohydrate.

As RQ increased, δ¹³C_{breath} during the same feeding bouts also increased. The δ¹³C_{breath} during the first feeding bout following a fast was \(-30.42\pm2.40\%\) (n = 5). This value, along with the RQ value of 0.72 ± 0.03 (n = 5), indicates that fasted, hovering hummingbirds were mainly oxidizing endogenous fats that had been synthesized from C3-derived nutrients. This δ¹³C_{breath} value, however, is significantly more depleted in ¹³C than the two C3-derived maintenance diets (Nektar-Plus: \(t_i = -4.71, P = 0.0092\); beet sugar: \(t_i = -5.72, P = 0.0046\)). This may be the result of fractionation during fat synthesis from ingested sugars, depleting the ¹³C content of fats relative to the original sugars from which they are synthesized (DeNiro and Epstein 1977). The degree to which δ¹³C of expired breath from fasted hummingbirds is depleted in ¹³C with respect to the δ¹³C of the maintenance foods (5.06‰ vs. Nektar-Plus; 6.15‰ vs. beet sugar) may slightly underestimate the true degree of fractionation associated with the synthesis of fats, as fasted hummingbirds were likely oxidizing a small amount of carbohydrate and/or protein in addition to fat (RQ is slightly >0.7). Nevertheless, our fractionation estimates between dietary sugar and oxidized fat stores are intermediate of the values reported by DeNiro and Epstein (1977) and Carleton et al. (2006).

Another potential source of isotopic fractionation is the discrimination against ¹³C that occurs as bicarbonate in the blood.
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Figure 1. Relationship of respiratory quotient (RQ = \( \frac{V_{co}}{V_{co}} \)) displayed during hover feeding to the \( \delta^{13}C \) value of expired CO\(_2\) (\( \delta^{13}C_{\text{breath}} \)) during the same hover-feeding event in *Selasphorus platycercus*. Data are pooled from eight individuals \( (n = 2–13\) per individual). There is a significant correlation between these two variables \( (r = 0.88, P < 0.0001) \).

is released as CO\(_2\) by the lungs (Panteleev et al. 1999). However, the degree of fractionation between organismal bicarbonate/ carbonate pools and CO\(_2\) in expired breath is minimized during exercise (Pallikarakis et al. 1991; Massicotte et al. 1992). Thus, the fractionation between the blood bicarbonate pool and expired CO\(_2\) may be negligible in hovering hummingbirds.

The \( \delta^{13}C_{\text{breath}} \) values increased and stabilized at \(-16.25\% ± 3.10\%\) \( (n = 42) \) 20–60 min after the first feeding bout. This average value is significantly lower than the \( \delta^{13}C \) signature of the cane sugar offered during the hour of free feeding \( (t = 57.79, P < 0.0001) \). This is consistent with the RQ values being close to, but significantly <1.0, which suggests that C3-derived endogenous substrates contributed to fueling hovering flight. The RQ and \( \delta^{13}C_{\text{breath}} \) values are strongly positively correlated in all eight individuals \( (r = 0.80–1.0, P < 0.01) \). When we combined data from all individuals, RQ and \( \delta^{13}C_{\text{breath}} \) remained strongly and positively correlated (Fig. 1; \( r = 0.88, P < 0.0001)\).

Using equation (3), \( f_{\text{exo}} \) was 0.74 ± 0.16 \( (n = 42) \) during the 20–60-min period after feeding resumed. Birds 27B, 29B, and 31B supported 83.8% ± 5.7%, 86.5% ± 4.3%, and 84.0% ± 6.3%, respectively, of hovering metabolism with exogenous sugars during this period of feeding. Given our likely underestimation of \( \delta^{13}C_{\text{breath}} \), these high \( f_{\text{exo}} \) values represent conservative estimates of the extent to which newly ingested sugar fuels hovering flight. Therefore, dietary sugar is likely to have supported most, if not all, of hovering metabolism in these individuals.

Carbohydrate Oxidation Rates

During the 20–60-min period after feeding resumed, \( M_{\text{exo}} \) was 1.65 ± 0.62 mg min\(^{-1}\) (or 9.18 ± 3.45 \( \mu \)mol g\(^{-1}\) × min; \( n = 5\) Table 1). As flight muscle mass accounts, on average, for 24.6% of total mass in broadtailed hummingbirds (Wells 1993), our estimate of flight muscle hexose oxidation rate was 2.32 ± 0.86 mg min\(^{-1}\) (or 12.86 ± 4.76 \( \mu \)mol g\(^{-1}\) × min; \( n = 5\) Table 1). This high rate of exogenous sugar oxidation falls within the maximum capacity for glucose phosphorylation (i.e., hexokinase activity = 18.4 \( \mu \)mol g\(^{-1}\) × min) measured in flight muscles of congenic rufous hummingbirds (Suarez et al. 1990).

The possible contribution of amino acid oxidation to hovering metabolism was estimated by the fraction of hovering metabolism supported by amino acids \( f_{\text{am}} \) (Table 1). Our estimate of \( f_{\text{am}} \) was 5.7% ± 0.88% (or 0.16 ± 0.06 \( \mu \)mol g\(^{-1}\) × min; \( n = 5\) Table 1). This suggests that amino acids only partially contributed to fueling hovering flight.

Table 1: Steady state whole-animal and flight-muscle-specific exogenous sugar oxidation rates in hovering *Selasphorus platycercus*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bird ID, Sex</th>
<th>( V_{co}/M_{\text{exo}} ) (mL h(^{-1}) × g)</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( \delta^{13}C_{\text{breath}} ) (%)</th>
<th>( f_{\text{exo}} )</th>
<th>( M_{\text{exo}} ) (mg min(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( M_{\text{exo}} ) (( \mu )mol min(^{-1}))</th>
<th>( M_{\text{exo}}/M_{\text{fms}} ) (( \mu )mol min(^{-1}) × g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33B, M</td>
<td>38.43 ± .78</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−17.57 ± 1.11</td>
<td>.67 ± .06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>8.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37B, F</td>
<td>36.61 ± 1.55</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>−19.06 ± 2.63</td>
<td>.60 ± .14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>7.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31B, M</td>
<td>48.78 ± 1.78</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−14.40 ± 1.20</td>
<td>.84 ± .06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>14.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39B, M</td>
<td>38.67 ± 1.73</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−22.80 ± .83</td>
<td>.40 ± .04</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>.95</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27B, M</td>
<td>35.08 ± 1.24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−14.43 ± 1.09</td>
<td>.84 ± .06</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>10.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.65 ± .62</td>
<td>9.18 ± 3.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Data are presented as mean ± SD. \( V_{co} \) values were obtained in a complementary experiment during which no gas was subsampled for stable carbon isotope analysis. Individual average RQ values calculated for feedings taking place 20–60 min after the first feeding following a fast during separate \( V_{co} \) and \( \delta^{13}C_{\text{breath}} \) measurements do not significantly differ (0.0589 ≤ \( P ≤ 0.7095)\), indicating data are compatible for use in calculation of \( M_{\text{exo}} \). Flight-muscle mass data are from Wells (1993). VPDB = Vienna Pee Dee Beelemnite.

1 Rate of CO\(_2\) production per g body mass.
2 \( \delta^{13}C \) of expired breath.
3 Fraction of hovering metabolism supported by exogenous carbohydrate.
4 Oxidation rate of exogenous sugars.
5 \( M_{\text{exo}} \) per g flight muscle (pectoralis and supracoracoideus).
erating metabolism is worthy of consideration. While protein catabolism during long-distance flight may occur in hummingbirds (Carpenter et al. 1993), it is unlikely that protein breakdown would make a significant contribution to the fueling of aerobic metabolism during hover feeding because of the extremely high flux rates required (Suarez et al. 1990). The metabolic organization of hummingbird flight muscles (Suarez et al. 1986) is very similar to that of mammalian cardiac and aerobic skeletal muscles. Mammals, in general, fuel aerobic exercise mainly with carbohydrate and fat (Roberts et al. 1996). Consistent with this, protein accounts for <5% of metabolized fuel in running ruff sandpipers (*Philomachus pugnax*; Vaillancourt et al. 2005).

Other vertebrates have much more limited capacities for directly fueling exercise metabolism with blood glucose (Weber et al. 1996) and possess locomotory muscles with limited capacities for glucose utilization during exercise (Vock et al. 1996; Weibel et al. 1996). Studies with humans reveal that only 15%–30% of the metabolic rate during moderate exercise can be fueled with ingested sugars (Péronnet 2003).

**Evolutionary and Ecological Implications**

Our findings indicate that hummingbirds have evolved the capacity to use dietary sugar to directly fuel hovering flight as part of their invasion of a niche once exclusive to insects. Both sphingid moths and hummingbirds possess high biochemical capacities for carbohydrate and fat oxidation in their flight muscles (Suarez et al. 1986, 1990; O’Brien and Suarez 2001) and exhibit similar mass-specific metabolic rates during flight (Bartholomew and Casey 1978; Voigt and Winter 1999). Additionally, both nonfeeding moths and hummingbirds oxidize stored fats to fuel flight, while sugar-fed individuals oxidize carbohydrate (Suarez et al. 1990; O’Brien 1999; this study). Assuming the oxidation of hemolymph sugars, flight muscle hexokinase in hovering moths would likely operate at fractional velocities close to those estimated in hummingbirds (O’Brien and Suarez 2001). Unlike the well-characterized catabolic pathways in hummingbird flight muscles (Suarez et al. 1986, 1990), the nature of fat-oxidizing pathways in moth flight muscles is relatively obscure (Stevenson 1968; Crabtree and Newsholm 1972; O’Brien and Suarez 2001). Despite this, hummingbirds and moths possess mechanisms that allow dynamic switching between carbohydrate and fat oxidation.

The direct oxidation of dietary sugar to provide the energy for foraging flight is more energetically efficient than the alternative, which is to convert dietary sugar to fats first and then to oxidize fats to fuel foraging (Suarez et al. 1990). Our data on broadtailed hummingbirds add support to the hypothesis that foraging hummingbirds behave as "carbohydrate maximizers," which oxidize exogenous sugars during hovering flight so that endogenous fat reserves are spared. This maximizes both the net rate of fat deposition as well as the energetic efficiency with which dietary carbon is utilized (Suarez et al. 1990; Suarez and Gass 2002).

Insect nectarivores and hummingbirds appear to have converged in size, wingbeat frequency (Warrick et al. 2005), and metabolic rate (Bartholomew et al. 1981; Bartholomew and Casey 1978; Suarez 1992, 2000). The reliance of both hummingbirds and insects on dietary sugars to fuel hovering flight adds evidence to the notion that feeding on nectar has led to the evolution of similar morphological, physiological, and biochemical characteristics in these two groups of very distantly related organisms.
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