6-2010

Future Declines of the Binational Laurentian Great Lakes Fisheries: the Importance of Environmental and Cultural Change

John D. Rothlisberge  
*University of Notre Dame*

David M. Lodge  
*University of Notre Dame*

Roger M. Cooke  
*Technical University of Delft*

David C. Finnoff  
*University of Wyoming*, finnoff@uwyo.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [http://repository.uwyo.edu/econ_facpub](http://repository.uwyo.edu/econ_facpub)

Custom Citation

"Future declines of the binational Laurentian Great Lakes fisheries: the importance of environmental and cultural change" John D Rothlisberge, David M Lodge, Roger M Cooke and David C Finnoff Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, Vol. 8, No. 5 (June 2010), pp. 239-244 Published by: Ecological Society of America Article Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/27809110  
Copyright by the Ecological Society of America

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Economics and Finance at Wyoming Scholars Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Economics and Finance Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Wyoming Scholars Repository. For more information, please contact scholcom@uwyo.edu.
Future declines of the binational Laurentian Great Lakes fisheries: the importance of environmental and cultural change

Author(s): John D Rothlisberger, David M Lodge, Roger M Cooke and David C Finnoff

Source: Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, Vol. 8, No. 5 (June 2010), pp. 239-244

Published by: Ecological Society of America

Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/27809110

Accessed: 08/07/2014 11:40
Future declines of the binational Laurentian Great Lakes fisheries: the importance of environmental and cultural change

John D Rothlisberger1*, David M Lodge1, Roger M Cooke2, and David C Finnoff3

It is increasingly clear that future long-term environmental challenges (eg climate change) are being driven by economic and cultural choices, as well as by physical and biological mechanisms. We looked at the extent to which these apply to potential future changes in fisheries in the Laurentian Great Lakes. These fisheries rank among the most valuable freshwater fisheries in the world, but have declined markedly in recent decades. To investigate how these fisheries might develop in the future, we elicited projections from experts in fisheries and related fields. Experts provided assessments on variables relating to US and Canadian commercial (pounds landed) and sport (participation and expenditures) fisheries for the years 2006 and 2025. We measured each expert’s ability to quantify their uncertainty, producing performance-weighted combinations of expert estimates. All experts expected commercial fisheries to decline from 2006 to 2025, with greater declines in the US (25%) than in Canada (9%). Expectations for sport fishing differed more between lakes and less between countries, with median expected declines ranging from 1% to 13%. Experts attributed expected declines primarily to changes in economic market demands and shifts in societal interests. Increased attention to social and economic trends could aid Laurentian Great Lakes fishery policy and management.


Recent Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change reports indicate that future climate will, in part, be a function of human choices regarding cultural and economic priorities (Parry et al. 2007). Recent experience with marine and freshwater fisheries in many countries also demonstrates the importance of the interaction of cultural practices and economic policies with fish population dynamics in determining the current status of fish stocks (Hilborn et al. 2003). Here, we apply this perspective of co-determination – the two-way interactions between human and natural systems (Crocker and Tschirhart 1992; Knowler and Barbier 2001) – by using structured expert judgment (SEJ) to estimate the future magnitude of fisheries-derived ecosystem services in the Laurentian Great Lakes (GL).

The commercial and sport fisheries of the GL are valuable natural resources that have been exploited extensively since European colonization, but have changed substantially in recent decades as a result of various factors, including water-quality regulation, increased societal interest in recreational as opposed to commercial fishing, and invasions by non-indigenous species (Figure 1). Beginning in the early 1800s, humans have extracted fishery resources from the GL at a rapid and sometimes unsustainable pace (Bogue 2000). Growing human populations and increasing prosperity brought more resource extraction, more intensive agriculture, and the development of various industries, including a wide variety of GL-based recreational activities. However, erosion and water pollution – byproducts of agriculture and industry – modified the GL environment, often to the detriment of fish populations that had been instrumental in promoting economic growth (Beeton 1969).

Other environmental changes that accompanied human population growth and economic activity have also affected GL fish; these include the construction of dams on GL tributaries, the opening of shipping canals, the intentional stocking of non-native fish species, and the inadvertent introduction of numerous other non-native taxa via multiple vectors (Mills et al. 1993; Coon 1999; Ricciardi 2006).

We used SEJ (Cooke 1991) to incorporate multiple interacting social, economic, political, and environmental factors in ecosystem-scale assessments of the potential future condition of GL fisheries. For decades, fisheries management councils have used approaches based on informal expert opinion to set allowable catch quotas (eg Sazonova et al. 1999; Boydston 2001), but our study is the first to use expert opinion to forecast the commercial or recreational harvest of fish stocks. Specifically, we used SEJ to estimate the magnitude of changes in GL fisheries between 2006 and 2025, and to quantify the uncertainty surrounding each prediction. To identify likely drivers of change – including continuing
natural and anthropogenic stresses such as future biological invasions, regional climate change, economic market changes, and developing trends in pastime activities – experts provided rationales, explaining the reasoning behind their predictions. Understanding these drivers could guide research priorities and assess the potential efficacy of natural resource policy and management.

■ Methods

In SEJ, experts are seen as independent scientific instruments that provide assessments of future conditions, based on current trends and on past and possible future drivers of variability. Expert estimates are obtained via an individual interview with each expert. In their interview, each expert responds to the same series of questions, with no knowledge of the other experts’ responses. The actual values for all of the variables that experts estimate are unknown at the time of questioning, but – when the actual values of some of these variables become known – experts can be assessed relative to the precision and accuracy of their estimates. More specifically, the questions with known answers (12 of 31 questions in this study) allow investigators to treat expert median estimates and uncertainties as hypotheses that are then compared against new data (ie the answers to these questions) to assess the statistical accuracy and precision of each expert’s estimates, thereby determining how well calibrated each expert is. The judgments of multiple experts are then combined into a single estimate that accounts for the calibration of each expert and is bounded by an uncertainty range (Cooke 1991).

Expert selection and interviews

Based on publication record, individual area of expertise (eg food-web ecology, environmental economics, fishery management), and recommendations from senior GL researchers, we invited nine individuals with excellent general knowledge and wide credibility on the social and biological dynamics of the GL region to participate (WebTable 2). We randomly assigned each expert a unique number, making it impossible to link specific assessments to individual participants.

Before the interview, each expert received the elicitation surveys, which contained all the questions they would be asked, and a briefing book with historical data on GL fisheries and training materials on uncertainty and probabilistic assessment. Both the briefing book and the survey are available at http://aquacon.nd.edu/research/. We encouraged the nine experts to use the book and any other materials of their choosing as much as they wished before and during their interviews.

Figure 1. Historical and projected commercial and recreational fisheries in the US and Canadian waters of the Great Lakes (GL). Angler effort in recreational fisheries is shown as insets in the upper right of each panel. Vertical range bars are performance-based combinations of expert assessments (WebTable 1) where lower and upper limits show, respectively, 5th and 95th percentiles of the combined expert subjective probability distributions. Filled circles depict the 50th percentile of each distribution. Note different vertical scales across countries, lakes, and fishery types. Canadian commercial catch (panel i) is for all Canadian waters of the GL. In the past decade, 75% of Canadian commercial catch has come from Lake Erie. Historical recreational fisheries data are from USFWS (2007). Commercial catch data dating back to 1971 were obtained for the US from the US Geological Survey (USGS) Great Lakes Science Center and for Canada from Fisheries and Oceans Canada.
We interviewed each expert individually during October 2007. Each expert provided the 5th, 50th, and 95th percentiles of their subjective cumulative probability density function for 31 variables relevant to GL fisheries.

A typical pair of questions took the following form:

How many total pounds of commercial fish were landed from the US waters of Lake Erie in 2006?

5% _____ 50% _____ 95% _____

How many total pounds of commercial fish WILL BE landed from the US waters of Lake Erie in 2025?

5% _____ 50% _____ 95% _____

Following each such pair of questions, we asked the experts to provide their rationale for the predicted changes in the variable between 2006 and 2025, identifying – if possible – the most important expected driver of change. We made notes and audio recordings of these responses.

Analysis, combination, and reporting of results

We report the experts’ answers as individual assessments (WebFigures 1, 2, and 3) and as combined assessments for each variable (Figures 1 and 2). We combined expert assessments in two ways: (1) equal weight given to each expert and (2) expert weight based on calibration variable performance (Cooke 1991).

For each variable, we calculated the expected percent change between 2006 and 2025, and the associated uncertainty range. To make this calculation, we assumed independence of all variables and used a convolution algorithm to obtain the distribution of the differences between the 2006 and 2025 performance-based combination (PBC) distributions. We then divided the 5th, 50th, and 95th percentiles of this distribution of differences by the appropriate 2006 median assessment and multiplied by 100 \( [(2025 - 2006)_{5, 50, or 95_{th percentile}}] / 2006_{50th} \) 100) to find expert-expected percent change. We extracted from the experts’ rationales the driver of change for each one identified as being most important to the future condition of GL fisheries. We also tabulated how many experts mentioned each driver of change (Figure 3).

Results

Equal weighting and PBC of expert assessments produced roughly similar median results. However, the equal weight combination was statistically inaccurate, whereas the PBC variables were statistically accurate (WebTable 1). Furthermore, the PBC allowed us to weight experts according to the precision and accuracy of their assessments (WebTable 1; WebFigures 1 and 2). We therefore report here only the results of the PBC. In our figures, we provide PBC uncertainty ranges (Figure 1) and probability distributions (Figure 2). In the text, we focus on median values, because these reflect the outcomes experts saw as most likely, and experts’ rationales for their predictions correspond to these medians. Moreover, because we assumed independence between 2006 and 2025 when calculating projected percent changes, the ranges of these projections (Figures 1 and 2) are overly broad relative to the experts’ narrative descriptions of how GL fisheries might change between 2006 and 2025.

US commercial fishery

The actual 2006 commercial catch data are enclosed in the PBC 90% uncertainty estimates in all cases (Figure 1; WebTable 1). The PBC projected 19–31% decreases in commercial catches in 2025 for Lakes Superior, Michigan, Huron, and Erie (Figure 2). Six of the nine experts (67%) indicated that the most important reason for predicted declines in commercial fishing was the decreasing economic viability of the fishery, resulting from a combination of cheaper supplies of fish entering the marketplace (eg aquaculture) and sport fishing continuing to receive political preference (eg higher allocation of total allowable catch quotas). The other experts gave invasive species impacts (one expert), climate change (one expert), and limitations on ecosystem productivity (one expert) as the primary causes of declines. The most commonly mentioned reasons for decline were invasive species, economic markets, and fishery policy (Figure 3).

Canadian commercial fishery

Historical data on individual lakes were not available for the Canadian commercial fishery; experts were therefore asked for estimates on landings aggregated from all Canadian waters of the GL. The PBC assessments accurately predicted the 2006 Canadian commercial catch (Figure 1) and forecast that Canadian commercial landings will decline 9% by 2025 (Figure 2). Five of the nine experts (56%) cited failing economic viability of the fishery as the main reason for the predicted declines. Of the remaining experts, one attributed expected declines primarily to food-web disruptions by invasive species. Three others predicted stability or even a slight increase in the Canadian commercial catch by 2025, owing largely to strong political support for commercial fishing in Canada. Invasive species and changing economic markets were the most commonly mentioned factors driving declines or limiting growth (Figure 3).

US sport fishery

The PBC estimates were not as accurate for 2006 sport effort as for commercial landings, but the actual data for 2006 fell within the 90% uncertainty range for four of
the five lakes (Figure 1). For four lakes, the PBC projected 9–13% declines in fishing effort in 2025 relative to that in 2006. In contrast, the PBC predicted that effort will increase 1% in Lake Huron (Figure 2); several experts attributed this projected increase to 2006 being a particularly bad year for Lake Huron because of food-web disruptions involving alewife (Alosa pseudoharengus) population declines. Six out of the nine experts (67%) gave – as their primary reason for expected declines in angling effort – reduced cultural interest in consumptive outdoor recreation (eg fishing) and, for the population segment still interested in angling, a preference for inland waterways over the GL. These experts attributed the shifting preference away from the GL to the rising costs of participation in GL fishing, as nearshore fisheries decline and as costs climb for equipment and fuel to participate in offshore fishing. Of the remaining experts, one believed that invasive species will be the primary reason for declines by 2025, one expected stability in angler participation, and one did not identify a primary cause for declines. Invasive species were the most commonly mentioned secondary driver of declines (Figure 3).

The PBC performed well in predicting 2006 angler expenditures aggregated over all lakes (WebFigure 3), which are expected to fall by 24% (or about US$330 million, expressed in 2007 US$) by 2025 (USFWS 2007; Figure 2). A

Canadian sport fishery

The PBC forecast that effort in Canadian sport fisheries will decline 1–12% by 2025 (Figures 1 and 2). Most experts (six out of the nine) expressed greater uncertainty in their predictions for Canadian sport fisheries than for their US counterparts, partially because of their current small size, possibly causing volatility. Five experts indicated changing trends in pastimes would be the primary driver of declines, but two said invasive species impacts would be the main driver of declines. On the other hand, one expert expected instability in the fishery and one expected a slight increase in angler effort. Shifts away from fishing as a pastime and harm caused by invasive species were mentioned most often as drivers of declines for the Canadian sport fishery (Figure 3).

Discussion

Drivers of change in GL fisheries

According to experts, the projected declines in fisheries in the US and Canadian waters of the GL (Figure 2) will largely be a function of social trends, economic conditions, and political decisions (Figure 3). Thus, when considering the future of fisheries in the GL, a comprehensive view of societal dynamics and interactions between biological and economic systems must be taken into account. This is especially the case for the economically valuable sport fisheries (GLFC 1988), on which we focus our discussion here.

The importance of biological and cultural factors on GL fisheries is clear when considering the rehabilitation of self-sustaining populations of native species in the GL. Lake trout (Salvelinus namaycush) rehabilitation is a major emphasis in GL fishery management, particularly for US federal agencies (Hooley et al. 1995; Knuth et al. 1995). Using Lake Superior as a case study, Kitchell et al. (2000) point out that the ecological turnover rate of the historical fish community in the upper GL – consisting of lake trout and lake herring (Coregonus areolatus) as primary predator and prey – was much slower than the turnover...
Figure 3. Number of experts that mentioned various potential drivers of change in explaining their expectations for declines in US and Canadian commercial and sport fisheries between 2006 and 2025.

rate of the currently predominant Pacific salmon (Oncorhynchus spp)—alewife and rainbow smelt (Osmerus mordax) predator–prey system. They conclude that further restoration (ie augmentation) of lean lake trout stocks, which is currently specified as one of the major management goals in Lake Superior (GLFC 2003), will be extremely challenging. In fact, Lake Superior may be as close to a restored state in this regard as possible; hence, any continued efforts to enhance lean lake trout populations in Lake Superior may unintentionally force declines in Pacific salmon, a valuable component of the sport fishery, possibly reducing angler participation and, consequently, economic benefits (Kitchell et al. 2000).

This apparent conflict in objectives in Lake Superior, however, may be a false dilemma, as may be the case with other similar situations across the GL, because cultural trends may now be more important drivers of fishery participation levels than are stock sizes. Even as managers actively try to balance recreational fishery (Pacific salmon) enhancement with native fish community (lake trout) restoration, experts expressed considerable uncertainty regarding the value of these efforts for improving fisheries, mainly because of doubts as to whether angler effort will increase in response to these management efforts. Of course, management decisions regarding the restoration of native communities and the enhancement of particular fish stocks are complex issues, the goals of which certainly extend beyond creating successful fisheries (eg intrinsic value of native species). Our focus here, however, is on expectations for GL fisheries and their expected drivers of change.

Therefore, increased consideration of the response of anglers to changes in fish stocks relative to cultural change could help to inform fishery policy and management. For example, if the decision were made to try to increase participation levels in GL sport fisheries in the coming years, it would be valuable to know the tradeoffs between investing in programs to improve sport fish stocks versus efforts to recruit more anglers to the fishery through advertising and educational programs. According to our experts, the latter would probably be a more effective tool in growing or maintaining the fishery. This is because, as long as the target species are not extremely scarce, most of the projected declines in sport fishing on the GL will occur as a result of dwindling societal interest in the pastime, driven by less discretionary free time and the pursuit of other pastimes, not by declining abundance of target species. This is counter to some reports that fishing pressure has previously responded to shifts in abundance of popular species in the GL (eg the spike in Ohio fishing license sales in the 1980s in response to walleye recovery in Lake Erie [Hatch et al. 1987], the drop in Lake Huron angling in the 2000s when the Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) fishery collapsed [Dobiesz et al. 2005]) and bears further investigation.

Conclusions

Hypotheses about the highly complex interactions between ecological systems and human society in the GL region could never be tested directly with standard research methods, even if an enormous budget were available to support such research. SEJ provides a tool to overcome this obstacle and to predict future states of the GL fisheries, with explicit attention given to the substantial uncertainties involved. Results that suggest the importance of social and cultural factors in the future of GL ecosystem services do not in any way diminish the well-known importance of environmental degradation and unsustainable harvest practices that have led to past stock collapses and production declines in many of the world’s most important marine (Pauly et al. 2002; Hilborn et al. 2003) and freshwater (Allan et al. 2005) fisheries. Indeed, the importance of cultural factors relative to environmental ones (Figure 3) may be of greater magnitude for the GL than for other major fisheries because of the growing primacy of sport fishing in the lakes. Our study underscores the continued and increasing relevance of cultural factors, including economic considerations, when designing plans and setting objectives for fishery management,
particularly in the North American GL. Specifically, further research on the drivers of change in GL fisheries – especially sport fisheries – is needed to support scientifically sound and socially beneficial fishery policy and management. The primary drivers of change that experts identified point the way for future inquiries, particularly into the causes and consequences of people spending less time fishing recreationally on the GL.
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