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1. Introduction

[2] Magmatism in mid-ocean ridge environments is one of the primary mechanisms by which the Earth transfers heat from its interior to the surface. Much of the thermal energy released during the crystallization of magmas at mid-ocean ridges is transferred to the hydrosphere by conduction; however, convective hydrothermal circulation accounts for as much as one third of the total heat loss during cooling of oceanic crust [e.g., Humphris et al., 1995; German and Von Damm, 2003; German and Lin, 2004]. Rates and time scales for the cooling of oceanic crust have been estimated from numerical models [e.g., Maclellan et al., 2005], geothermometry of Ca exchange between olivine and clinopyroxene in ophiolitic and in situ oceanic crust [Coogan et al., 2002, 2007], inversions of seismic velocity data [e.g., Dunn et al., 2000], and geochronology and thermochronology of cored crustal sections [e.g., John et al., 2004]. In slow spreading mid-ocean ridge environments, difficulties in estimating rates and time scales of cooling are compounded by complex magmatic/tectonic processes including episodic versus continuous magmatic accretion [e.g., Maclellan et al., 2005], high-angle normal faulting [e.g., Tucholke and Lin, 1994; Small, 1998; Buck et al., 2005], low-angle detachment faulting [e.g., Cann et al., 1997; Tucholke et al., 1998; MacLeod et al., 2002; IODP Expeditions 304 and 305 Scientists, 2005], and heterogeneous hydrothermal circulation along these features [Fisher and Becker, 2000; Wetzel et al., 2001; Coogan et al., 2007; McCaig et al., 2007]. To surmount these difficulties, numerical models for the thermal and mechanical evolution of oceanic crust (including oceanic detachment faults) [e.g., Lavier et al., 1999, 2000; Buck et al., 2005] require direct observational constraints of the thermal structure of lower oceanic crust through time.

[3] The purpose of this paper is to investigate the cooling history over a broad region of crust exposed in the footwall of an oceanic core complex. Specifically, we present geochronometric and thermochronometric data for samples collected from both the seafloor and from ODP Hole 735B at Atlantis Bank, south of the slow to ultraslow spreading Southwest Indian Ridge (Figure 1). We use (U-Th)/He zircon cooling ages together with existing Pb/U zircon ages [John et al., 2004; Schwartz et al., 2005; Baines et al., 2008], magnetic anomaly data [Baines et al., 2008], biotite $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$, and sphene, zircon and apatite fission track ages [John et al., 2004] to document the thermal history of Atlantis Bank, and to place constraints on the role of faulting and hydrothermal circulation in its development. Our results suggest that Atlantis Bank experienced rapid initial cooling ($\sim$800°C in 500 ka after igneous crystallization), which likely took place during detachment faulting associated with core complex formation. This rapid cooling history is overprinted by a subsequent thermal event as revealed by anomalously young zircon and titanite fission track ages (closure temperature ($T_c$) = $\sim$365–280), (U-Th)/He ages ($T_c$ = $\sim$200°C), and apatite fission track ages ($T_c$ = $\sim$110°C). These young ages cannot be explained by simple models which assume conductive and convective cooling near the ridge axis, but instead argue for an off-axis heating event significantly outside the rift valley. We explore possible thermal sources for this off-axis heating event; all of which require significant advection of heat by hydrothermal activity along fault zones. Possible heat sources include: exothermic serpentinization of the underlying mantle, and/or underplating by off-axis basaltic magmatism due to transtension along the adjacent Atlantis II transform fault.

2. Geology of Atlantis Bank

[4] The Southwest Indian Ridge (SWIR) is a transitional slow to ultraslow spreading mid-ocean ridge [Dick et al., 1991b, 2003], which separates the Antarctic and Indian plates (Figure 1, inset). The average Antarctic plate spreading half rate is estimated at 8.6 km/Ma since $\sim$19 Ma [Dick et al., 1991b; Hosford et al., 2003; Baines et al., 2008]. Atlantis Bank lies approximately 100 km south of the SWIR rift valley and, Baines et al. [2008] estimated an anomalously fast seafloor half-spreading rate of 14.1 +1.9/−1.6 km/Ma during slip on the detachment fault that bounds the Atlantis Bank core complex.

[5] On the basis of drilling, dredging, manned submersible and ROV observations, Atlantis Bank comprises variably deformed and denuded lower oceanic crust and upper mantle [Cannat et al., 1991; Dick et al., 1991a, 2000; Natland and Dick, 2002]. Rocks from ODP Holes 735B and 1105A and submersible dives at Atlantis Bank include olivine gabbro, olivine gabbronorite, and oxide gabbro with minor felsic veins [Dick et al., 1991a; Pettigrew et al., 1999; Dick et al., 2000; Robinson et al., 2000; Arai et al., 2001; Kinoshita et al., 2001; Natland and Dick, 2001; Matsumoto et al., 2002; Natland and Dick, 2002]. Oxide
gabbros and felsic veins comprise ~24% of ODP Hole 735B and commonly host trace minerals (e.g., apatite, zircon, and titanite) suitable for geochronologic and thermochoicologic dating [John et al., 2004]. Pb/U geochronologic ages from Atlantis Bank range from 10.80 to 14.00 Ma [John et al., 2004; Schwartz et al., 2005; Baines et al., 2008], although some anomalously old ages may
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Depth (mbsl)</th>
<th>Rock Type</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Deformation</th>
<th>Magnetic Age (Ma)</th>
<th>Pb/U Age (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>458-5</td>
<td>57.1460</td>
<td>−32.6937</td>
<td>4195</td>
<td>Ox gabbronite</td>
<td>Float</td>
<td>Undef.</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.20 ± 0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>459-12</td>
<td>57.1888</td>
<td>−32.6871</td>
<td>2294</td>
<td>Ox ol gabbro</td>
<td>Fr. out.</td>
<td>Proto.</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>460-15</td>
<td>57.2320</td>
<td>−32.7340</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>Bt hbd ox gabbro</td>
<td>Talus</td>
<td>Undef.</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>12.01 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>467-8</td>
<td>57.1850</td>
<td>−32.6360</td>
<td>2526</td>
<td>Hbd gabbro</td>
<td>On out.</td>
<td>Wk. fol.</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>11.36 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>644-12</td>
<td>57.3461</td>
<td>−32.7276</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Ox gabbronite</td>
<td>On out.</td>
<td>Proto-mylon.</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>11.24 ± 0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>645-11/12</td>
<td>57.2270</td>
<td>−32.8060</td>
<td>2191</td>
<td>Ch schist/Ch schist-diabase contact</td>
<td>Fr. out.</td>
<td>Mylon./Brit.</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>12.27 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>647-5/6</td>
<td>57.2560</td>
<td>−32.8290</td>
<td>1949</td>
<td>Hbd ox gabbro/Hbd ol ox gabbro</td>
<td>On out.</td>
<td>Proto.</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>12.15 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>648-20</td>
<td>57.3465</td>
<td>−32.6826</td>
<td>1752</td>
<td>Metagabbro</td>
<td>Fr. out.</td>
<td>Mylon.</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>14.00 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650-1/2</td>
<td>57.2210</td>
<td>−32.6224</td>
<td>2489</td>
<td>Ol hbd ox gabbro/Ol ox gabbronite</td>
<td>Fr. out.</td>
<td>Undef.</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>10.80 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>651-1</td>
<td>57.1420</td>
<td>−32.7430</td>
<td>4306</td>
<td>Ox gabbro</td>
<td>Float</td>
<td>Mylon./Brit.</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>12.09 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>712-18</td>
<td>57.1642</td>
<td>−32.7154</td>
<td>3314</td>
<td>Ox ol gabbro</td>
<td>Fr. out.</td>
<td>Wk. fol.</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.93 ± 0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR 31 22-1</td>
<td>57.2940/57.2938</td>
<td>-32.6517/-32.6518</td>
<td>1706–1822</td>
<td>Ol gabbro</td>
<td>Dredge</td>
<td>Wk. fol.</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.41 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR 31 23-1</td>
<td>57.3493/57.2697</td>
<td>-32.7632/-32.7628</td>
<td>2380–1700</td>
<td>Disseminated ox gabbro</td>
<td>Dredge</td>
<td>Proto.</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>11.69 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR 31 29-2</td>
<td>57.2018/57.2118</td>
<td>-32.7507/-32.7507</td>
<td>2644–2895</td>
<td>Ox gabbro and felsic vein</td>
<td>Dredge</td>
<td>Weak fol.</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>12.00 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR 31 29-2*</td>
<td>57.2018/57.2118</td>
<td>-32.7507/-32.7507</td>
<td>2644–2895</td>
<td>Ox gabbro and felsic vein</td>
<td>Dredge</td>
<td>Weak fol.</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>12.86 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR 31 40-1</td>
<td>57.2108/57.2178</td>
<td>-32.7936/-32.7963</td>
<td>2610–2730</td>
<td>Ol gabbro</td>
<td>Dredge</td>
<td>Weak fol.</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>735B-53</td>
<td>57.2660</td>
<td>−32.7232</td>
<td>773</td>
<td>Felsic vein</td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>Cataclastic</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11.80 ± 0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>735B-571</td>
<td>57.2660</td>
<td>−32.7232</td>
<td>1291</td>
<td>Felsic vein</td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>Mylon.</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>735B-606</td>
<td>57.2660</td>
<td>−32.7232</td>
<td>1326</td>
<td>Hbd bt granodiorite vein</td>
<td>Drill</td>
<td>Undef.</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>12.02 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*a* Asterisk next to sample name indicates an additional Pb/U zircon age for that sample.

*b* Latitude and longitude where samples were collected. Dredge samples were collected between indicated latitude and longitude.

*c* Depth at which samples were collected; reported in meters below sea level (mbsl).

*d* Ox, oxide; bt, biotite; hbd, hornblende; ch, chloride. Sample names determined by point counting (n > 1200) and hand sample identification.

*e* On out, on outcrop; Fr. out., from outcrop. Samples of the 400, 600, and 172 series were collected by manned submersible; JR31 samples were collected by dredging; and 735B samples were collected from drilling.

f* Undef., undeformed; Wk. fol., weak foliation; Proto., protomylonite; Mylon., mylonite; Brit., brittle fracturing.

g* Estimated magnetic age from Baines et al. [2003].

h* U-Pb zircon age data published by John et al. [2004], Schwartz et al. [2005], and Baines [2006]. Ages are corrected for 230Th disequilibrium following methods of Schärer [1984] assuming Th/U of magma = 3.0. ND indicates that Pb/U ages were not determined.
reflect assimilation of preexisting gabbroic rocks or zircon xenocrysts from the deeper lithosphere [Schwartz et al., 2005]. Previous Pb/U zircon ages are summarized in Table 1.

[6] Structurally, Atlantis Bank forms the footwall of a long-lived detachment fault system that likely rooted beneath the rift valley and is inferred to be responsible for the tectonic removal of 1.5–2.0 km of upper crust during oceanic core complex development [Vanko and Stakes, 1991; Dick et al., 2000; Kelley and Früh-Green, 2001]. At Atlantis Bank, the smooth, dome-shaped detachment fault surface characteristic of young oceanic core complexes is dissected by both transform-parallel (N–S) and transform-perpendicular (E–W) fault systems (Figure 1), interpreted to be associated with flexural uplift resulting from regional transtension between ~19.5 and 7.5 Ma [Baines et al., 2003]. These faults are easily recognized on bathymetric maps as moderately dipping slopes with scarps showing tens to hundreds of meters of relief. On the basis of estimated magnetic anomaly ages, the transform-parallel and transform-perpendicular faults that define Atlantis Bank deform crust as young as ~9.3 Ma (chron C4Ar) [Baines et al., 2007], placing a maximum age on the timing of fault movement (i.e., post 9.3 Ma).

[7] The largest of the scarps include two moderately dipping (30°–50°) transform-parallel faults on the eastern flank of Atlantis Bank, that extend for more than 25 km along strike and truncate the detachment fault surface, suggesting hundreds of meters of throw after cessation of detachment fault slip (Figure 1). The upper and lower scarps have ~600 m and 1200 m of bathymetric relief, respectively [Baines et al., 2003]. Manned submersible dives to the lower of these scarps (Shinkai 6300 dives 644 and 648) observed striae and shingle structure that confirm down-to-the-east, normal sense motion on the fault system that creates this scarp [Matsumoto et al., 2002]. On dive 644, a 100-m-high section of fault scarp was observed with striae that rake ~85° to the north, indicating a small sinistral component to the dominantly dip-slip motion.

[8] Observations from the west flank of Atlantis Bank indicate nearly pure dip-slip motion on N–S striking scarps, tens to a few hundreds of meters high, that dip 40°–60° west [Kinoshita et al., 2001]. High-resolution multibeam bathymetry and manned submersible dive observations suggest that original 100-m-scale fault scarps cutting the serpentined and altered peridotite basement have been dissected and obscured by extensive slump scars and debris flows. Observations from Kaiko Dive 172 indicate that massive exposures of oxide gabbro below the detachment fault surface (3040 mbsl), are cut by steeply dipping E–W and N–S oriented joints and normal and strike-slip faults [Arai et al., 2001]. Deformation fabrics in oriented samples from these outcrops indicate a significant history of brittle deformation crosscut or synchronous with high-temperature hydrothermal alteration (including epidote-cemented breccia and cataclasite (Figure 2)).

[9] Fault rocks associated with movement on the detachment fault exposed at the seafloor around Atlantis Bank include mylonites and ultramylonites, overprinted by extensively altered cataclasites, locally ultracataclasites, and rare gouge. Nearly continuous core from ODP Holes 735B and 1105A (located 1.2 km NE of 735B [Pettigrew et al., 1999; Casey and Miller, 2007]) demonstrate that the detachment fault zone is characterized by
development of mylonitic fabrics within the upper 100 m of the Hole [Cannat et al., 1991; Dick et al., 2000]. Core from Hole 735B exhibits magmatic and metamorphic fabrics ranging down-temperature from submagmatic, granulite (650°–900°C), amphibolite (450°–650°C), greenschist (300°–450°C) and subgreenschist grades [Cannat et al., 1991; Dick et al., 2000; Miranda, 2006]. Microstructural and mineral thermometry indicate deformation initiated at high temperatures (>900°C) in the ductile regime [Mehl and Hirth, 2008], and continued to lower temperatures through the semibrittle and brittle regimes as fault rocks were denuded along the detachment fault system [Miranda, 2006]. The semibrittle greenschist grade overprint of gabbro mylonites from seafloor exposures of the fault records the subsequent lower-temperature history; chlorite thermometry records deformation from ~250° to 350°C [Miranda, 2006]. The estimated plate spreading rate during formation of Atlantis Bank [Baines et al., 2008], together with the width of the modern rift valley [Hosford et al., 2003], suggests that active slip (and associated hydrothermal circulation) on the detachment fault system ceased as the footwall emerged at the rift valley wall, and was denuded to the seafloor, approximately 500 ka after formation.

[10] Postdeformation metamorphic and hydrothermal veins in ODP Holes 735B and 1105A indicate that postcrystallization hydrothermal alteration extensively overprinted the upper 500–600 m of the section, with less abundant veining and fluid alteration of deeper structural levels [Robinson et al., 1991; Stakes et al., 1991; Vanko and Stakes, 1991; Alt and Bach, 2002; Alt and Bach, 2006; Alt and Bach, 2006], but may also have formed from serpentinization reactions [Vanko and Stakes, 1991]. Although the timing of metamorphic and hydrothermal veining is not well known, veins are observed associated with brittle fractures and crosscut preexisting high-temperature deformation fabrics [Robinson et al., 1991; Stakes et al., 1991; Vanko and Stakes, 1991, Robinson et al., 2002; Alt and Bach, 2006]. The generation of these veins is poorly understood and may be related to a number of mechanisms including (1) downward cracking fronts, (2) exothermic serpentinization reactions, and/or (3) off-axis magmatism. We evaluate these models in section 6 in light of new and existing thermochronologic results.

3. Methods and Model Description

3.1. Sample Preparation

[11] In order to determine the cooling history of lower oceanic crust exposed on Atlantis Bank, we selected 19 zircon-bearing samples for (U-Th)/He age dating. Sample locations are shown in map view in Figure 1. The sampling spans approximately 26 km parallel (N–S) and 20 km perpendicular (E–W) to the spreading direction. Analyzed samples were collected by manned submersible and ROV dives during JAMSTEC MODE 1998 leg 4, MODE 2000 leg 6 and MODE 2001 Leg 14 cruises, by dredging during RRS James Clark Ross Leg 31, and by drilling from ODP Hole 735B [Allerton and MacLeod, 1998; Arai et al., 2001; Kinoshita et al., 2001; Matsumoto et al., 2002; Natland and Dick, 2002]. Samples were chosen on the basis of the presence of zircon. Detailed rock descriptions are provided by Kinoshita et al. [2001], Arai et al. [2001], Matsumoto et al. [2002], and Dick et al. [1999], and are summarized in Appendix A. The presence of zircon in each sample was confirmed by optical examination in thin section. Because of small sample size (typically <0.1 kg) and potential for contamination, samples were hand-crushed and processed utilizing standard heavy liquid and magnetic separation techniques. Zircon yield ranged from tens to thousands of grains with sizes varying from <50 to several hundred microns in length.

3.2. (U-Th)/He Methods

[12] The (U-Th)/He thermochronometer is based on the α decay of the parent isotopes 238U, 235U and 232Th (and to a lesser extent 147Sm), to daughter 4He. Retention of 4He in the zircon crystal is a function of the stopping distance of the α particle
during decay (~15–20 μm for zircon), initial distribution of U and Th within the crystal, grain size (surface area to volume ratio), thermally controlled He diffusion rates, and the amount of time spent at elevated temperature conditions. For α decay events that occur near crystal rims, \(^{4}\text{He}\) may be ejected from the crystal, resulting in anomalously low daughter/parent ratios along grain boundaries, and correspondingly “young” bulk crystal ages. This α ejection bias is a function of the surface area to volume ratio of the grain and can be corrected by measurement of the geometry of the zircon and by knowledge (or more commonly, assumption) of U and Th distribution within and outside the crystal [e.g., Farley et al., 1996]. Because the magnitude of the α ejection correction increases with decreasing grain size (i.e., high surface area to volume ratios), zircons greater than 30 μm in c axis perpendicular half-width are considered most reliable from this standpoint. In most cases, α ejection corrections are applied assuming a homogenous distribution of U and Th. This assumption is clearly not always valid in natural zircons and is a significant source of error in the (U-Th)/He zircon system [Hourigan et al., 2004]. Although analytical precision of measured U, Th and He isotopic ratios is typically <2%, zircons of known age yield an average reproducibility of ~9% (2σ) [Reiners, 2005].

[13] Closure temperatures for the (U-Th)/He zircon system are dependent on grain size, cooling rate and diffusion parameters specific to He in zircon (cf. Dodson [1973] for a general treatment of closure temperature). Diffusion parameters for He in several types of zircon were experimentally determined by Reiners et al. [2004], yielding a nominal closure temperature of ~180°C for effective grain radius of 60 μm and a cooling rate of 10°C/Ma. There is some evidence that anisotropic diffusion and radiation damage at low extents of damage (e.g., young zircons) may complicate He diffusion kinetics [Farley, 2007; P. W. Reiners, unpublished data, 2009], but these effects are likely to have a minor effect on the closure properties in this study, especially for the high cooling rates consistent with the young ages discussed here. Although cooling rates at mid-ocean ridges are poorly constrained, calculated and predicted cooling rates for lower ocean crust range from ~10°C/Ma to 10^4°C/Ma depending on the temperature range investigated [Coogan et al., 2002; John et al., 2004; Maclellan et al., 2005]. For low-temperature thermochronometers (<400°C), cooling rates average <10°C/Ma [John et al., 2004]. With a cooling rate of 100°C/Ma, we calculate closure temperatures for zircon of 203°C assuming diffusion parameters of Reiners et al. [2004] and effective grain radius of 50 μm (similar to the mean radius of grains analyzed in this study). An average closure temperature of ~200 ± 15°C is used in the following presentation of results and discussion, whereby the error is largely a function of uncertainties in cooling rate.

[14] (U-Th)/He age determinations were performed on individual, euhedral > 50 μm diameter, zircon crystals (see Figure 3) wrapped in Nb foil. He was extracted by Nd-YAG laser heating and measured on a stable isotope quadrupole mass spectrometer. U and Th isotopic compositions were determined by Parr bomb dissolution and solution ICP-MS [Reiners, 2005]. Ages were determined at Yale University laboratory and closely follow procedures described by Reiners [2005]. Raw (U-Th)/He ages for all samples were corrected for the effects of alpha ejection using the approach of Farley et al. [1996] and Reiners [2005], modified for the tetragonal prism morphology and density of zircon [Reiners, 2005]. This approach assumes a homogenous distribution of U and Th throughout the zircon crystal, which is an adequate assumption in most cases since typical oceanic zircons display weak chemical variations in backscattered electron and cathodoluminescence images (Figure 3). In some cases, grains were extracted from preexisting ion probe mounts and dated. Because these grains were polished prior to (U-Th)/He dating, their resultant geometries require a slight modification to tetragonal prism morphology typically used in alpha ejection correction described above [Reiners et al., 2007]. These zircons were not previously analyzed for Pb/U geochronology prior to extraction from the ion probe mounts. All (U-Th)/He ages are given errors of 9% on the basis of 2σ reproducibility of a standard of known age, unless otherwise noted.

3.3. Description of Thermal Models

[15] In order to evaluate the thermochronologic data, we use analytical plate cooling models [McKenzie, 1967] and a simple numerical 2-D finite difference model to calculate cooling profiles for various cooling history scenarios [John et al., 2004]. In these models, we use a full-spreading rate of 14 mm/a, an off-axis lithospheric thickness of 100 km, a mantle potential temperature of 1330°C, thermal conductivity of 3.2 W/m/K, density of 3300 kg/m^3 and specific heat capacity.
In Figures 3a–3l we show simple cooling profiles calculated using the plate-cooling model, for depths below the sea-floor from 0 to 4000 m. We use these curves to provide a frame of reference for interpreting the data. These curves do not include the effect of denudation by detachment faulting and overestimate the steady state temperature of the crust, as they do not take account of the broad-scale cooling effect of any hydrothermal circulation. Hence, these curves provide maximum estimates for the steady state temperature structure and minimum estimates of cooling rates for a given depth.

We use the 2-D finite difference models to calculate the cooling profiles for Figure 4. This model simulates conductive cooling of the lithosphere, the effects of tectonic unroofing by detachment faulting and the effect of conductive heating due to off-axis magmatism. Detachment faulting is simulated by progressively removing 2.0 km of crust from the top of the model during the first 0.9 Ma of the cooling history. Off-axis magmatism is simulated by intruding a 2.0-km-thick sill at 1.8 km depth at 9.5 Ma. More detailed descriptions of this plate cooling model are given by John et al. [2004]. This model does not account for hydrothermal circulation at the ridge axis, and simplifies the thermal effects of the intrusion of magma at the ridge axis, and hence the cooling curves provide minimum estimates of cooling rates. However, the model does permit understanding of the major elements of the thermal history recorded by the data. A more complicated model is not used simply because the problem is relatively poorly constrained.

4. Results

4.1. (U-Th)/He Thermochronologic Data

A total of 26 zircon grains were analyzed from 19 samples for (U-Th)/He age determinations.
Figure 3. (continued)
Multiple grains from a single sample were dated in some cases, and those ages are reported as averaged values. For samples which had zircons dated by U-Pb zircon geochronology [John et al., 2004; Schwartz et al., 2005; Baines et al., 2008, 2009], we plot $^{206}\text{Pb}^{238}\text{U}$ zircon crystallization ages together with estimated magnetic anomaly ages [Baines et al., 2008] and (U-Th)/He ages on time-temperature plots (Figures 3a–3l, 4–6, and A1). Representative cathodoluminescence images of zircons from these samples are shown together with the geochronologic and thermochronologic data. Where available (e.g., ODP Hole 735B), we also plot these data with existing thermochronologic data including biotite $^{40}\text{Ar}^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages, and sphene, zircon and apatite fission track ages [John et al., 2004] (Figure 5). We use estimated closure temperatures for magnetic anomalies (i.e., magnetite Curie temperature), the $^{40}\text{Ar}^{39}\text{Ar}$ biotite and sphene, zircon and apatite fission track systems of $\sim 540 \pm 40^\circ\text{C}, 365 \pm 35^\circ\text{C}, 280 \pm 30^\circ\text{C}, 240 \pm 30^\circ\text{C},$ and $110 \pm 10^\circ\text{C}$, respectively (for closure temperature references see John et al. [2004]). Some samples from Atlantis Bank have anomalously old Pb/U zircon ages, which record a pre-crustal formation event [Schwartz et al., 2005]; consequently, those old ages are not included in time-temperature cooling profiles.

Thermochronologic results from Atlantis Bank yield (U-Th)/He zircon ages ranging from 7.4 Ma to 12.4 Ma (Figure 1 and Table 2). In general, we observe older (U-Th)/He ages (<1.2 Ma younger than the Pb/U age) in the SW quadrant of Atlantis Bank associated with samples collected directly from the detachment fault surface, whereas younger ages (>1.2 Ma younger than the Pb/U age) occur in samples from ODP Hole 735B, and along the flanks where the core complex has been dissected by later faults that truncate the detachment surface (Figure 7). In the following presentation of results, we first discuss thermochronologic results from ODP Hole 735B, and then we discuss (U-Th)/He ages from surface samples exposed along Atlantis Bank.

4.2. ODP Hole 735B

(U-Th)/He data from ODP Hole 735B are plotted in Figures 3–5 with other geochronologic and thermochronologic data. These samples span nearly 1400 m depth below seafloor (mbsf), from 53 to 1430 mbsf. In these samples, the high-temperature thermal history of ODP Hole 735B is recorded by Pb/U zircon ages which give a weighted average crystallization age of 11.99 ± 0.05 Ma [Baines et al., 2009]. Cooling through intermediate temperatures (~540–365°C) is indicated by the estimated magnetic anomaly age of 11.8 ± 0.2 Ma [Baines et al., 2008], and the weighted average of biotite $^{40}\text{Ar}^{39}\text{Ar}$ data of 11.46 ± 0.35 Ma [John et al., 2004].

The lower-temperature (<360°C) thermal history of ODP Hole 735B appears to be more protracted and spans at least 3–4 Ma after initial igneous crystallization (see Figures 4 and 5). Samples from 279 to 606 mbsf yield titanite fission track ages (T$_c$ = 280 ± 30°C) of 7.4 ± 1.8 Ma and 9.1 ± 2.6 Ma (2σ [John et al., 2004]). Four zircon fission track ages (T$_c$ = 240 ± 30°C) from depths of 571 to 996 mbsf record a recalculated weighted mean age of 9.2 ± 0.5 Ma. Three (U-Th)/He zircon ages (T$_c$ = 200 ± 15°C) from the top 606 m of the Hole display ages of 8.80 ± 0.70 Ma, 7.39 ± 0.59 Ma and 10.56 ± 0.95 Ma (Figures 3e–3l). The weighted mean age of these (U-Th)/He ages is 8.6 ± 3.8 Ma. Two of these ages occur at depths of...
and 571 mbsf, and are from structurally disrupted, cataclastic and mylonitic shear zones. Finally, an apatite fission track age of 7.2 ± 2.6 Ma at 1077 mbsf indicates very slow cooling though its closure temperature of 110°C. It should be noted that theoretically, the low-temperature ages should decrease downhole by 0.5 Ma per km, as a consequence of expected slower cooling rates with depth. Unfortunately, such a decrease is smaller than the errors associated with the data and is therefore not resolvable.

### 4.3. Seafloor Samples: Western Flank

[21] Samples from the western flank of Atlantis Bank are divided into two groups on the basis of their cooling histories. Samples that cooled rapidly have (U-Th)/He zircon ages less than 1.2 Ma younger than their Pb/U ages (yellow circles, Figure 7); whereas samples with more protracted cooling histories have (U-Th)/He zircon ages greater than 1.2 Ma younger than their Pb/U ages (green circles, Figure 7). The rapidly cooled samples are found in the southwestern quadrant of Atlantis Bank. From north to south, the rapidly cooled samples include 460-15, 651-1, 645-11/12, and 647-5/6. All of these samples display similar, rapid cooling profiles (from high-temperature crystallization through lower-temperature conditions, ~200°C; Figures 3a–3d). The weighted average zircon Pb/U age minus the zircon (U-Th)/He age of these samples is ~0.5 Ma, implying a mean cooling rate from igneous crystallization temperatures down to ~200°C of ~120°C/Ma for these samples (assuming igneous crystallization of zircons at 850°C [Grimes et al., 2007]). Three of the four samples that record rapid cooling are located away from the later intermediate dip faults that cut the detachment surface. Samples 645-11/12 and 651-1 were sampled from the detachment fault and are extensively altered in association with high-temperature mylonitic, and lower-temperature semibrittle to brittle deformation. Sample 460-15 is not deformed, is unaltered, and may be an undeformed phacoid enveloped by faults/shear zones within the detachment fault system. The fourth sample, 647-5/6, is protomylonitic and was collected from an E–W trending fault scarp.

[22] Five samples with from the northwestern quadrant have both Pb/U zircon ages and (U-Th)/He zircon ages, which give slow cooling profiles. They were recovered at or along moderately dipping fault surfaces and display young (U-Th)/He zircon dates ranging from 7.94 ± 0.71 Ma to 9.68 ± 0.87 Ma (650-1/2, JR 31 22-1, 458-5, 172-18, and...
The weighted average age for these samples is 8.72 ± 0.51 (mswd = 2.4). These samples have (U-Th)/He zircon cooling ages between 2.6 and 3.0 Ma younger than their respective Pb/U crystallization ages. All samples with slow cooling profiles also show extensive postcrystallization greenschist facies alteration of primary igneous minerals (Table 3). For example, in sample 172-18, there is a diffuse boundary between relatively fresh and highly altered oxide gabbro with actinolite pseudomorphing brown igneous hornblende (Figure 8). Large igneous zircon in the altered region are fractured and in filled with green actinolite (Figure 8), indicating the association of brittle fracturing with greenschist facies alteration.

4.4. Seafloor Samples: Eastern Flank

Along the eastern flank of Atlantis Bank, three samples (JR 31 23-1, 644-12, and 648-20 (Figure 1)) record relatively young (U-Th)/He zircon ages of 9.21 ± 0.83 Ma, 9.39 ± 0.85 Ma and 9.76 ± 0.88 Ma, respectively. Samples 644-12 and JR 31 23-1 have (U-Th)/He zircon ages 1.8 Ma and 2.5 Ma younger than their respective crystallization ages. Sample 648-20 has an anomalously old U-Pb age [Schwartz et al., 2005] and therefore the difference between its crystallization age and (U-Th)/He zircon age is not considered. All of these samples were recovered from a fault scarp with up to 1.2 km of local relief, and all show extensive (up to 90%) postcrystallization greenschist facies alteration (Appendix A). Metamorphic assemblages include chlorite, actinolite, hornblende, epidote, serpentine and clay minerals (Table 3 and Appendix A). Thin sections (Figure A2) display pervasive greenschist facies alteration associated with local brittle deformation, which cut obliquely across high-temperature mylonitic fabrics. In sample 648-20, the original high-temperature mylonitic fabric is extensively overprinted by hornblende-actinolite mineralogy, which is in turn cut by veins hosting sphene, magnetite and plagioclase. The extensive alteration exhibited by these samples suggests localized postcrystallization hydrothermal alteration at greenschist facies conditions (300–450°C) along crosscutting normal faults.

5. Interpretation of Results

In order to compare and contrast cooling profiles of these samples (many of which have different crystallization ages), we standardize (U-Th)/He zircon age data to the weighted average Pb/U zircon crystallization age of ODP Hole 735B [Baines et al., 2009] according to the following equation (see Figure 6):

\[
(U - Th)/He_{\text{standardized age}} = (U - Th)/He_{\text{measured age}} + \frac{Pb}{U}_{735B \text{ age}} - \frac{Pb}{U}_{\text{sample age}}
\]

This standardization scheme accounts for differences in the timing of initial igneous crystallization between samples by relating them to a reference value (in this case the age at ODP Hole 735B). Hence, this method allows us to evaluate the relative cooling rates (e.g., rapid versus slow) on a single summary diagram irrespective of initial crystallization ages (Figures 4 and 6).

5.1. ODP Hole 735B

Thermochronologic ages from ODP Hole 735B indicate that lower oceanic crust cooled rapidly from high-temperature, crystallization conditions (>850°C) to ~360°C within approximately 500 ka [John et al., 2004]. This rapid and
Table 2. (U-Th)/He Isotopic Analyses and Ages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>( \text{U}^a ) (ppm)</th>
<th>( \text{Th}^a ) (ppm)</th>
<th>Th/U</th>
<th>( \text{(^4\text{He})}^a ) (ncc)</th>
<th>Mass (( \mu )g)</th>
<th>mwar(^b) (( \mu )m)</th>
<th>( F_T^c )</th>
<th>Uncorrected (U-Th)/He Age</th>
<th>Corrected(^d) (U-Th)/He Age (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>458-5z1</td>
<td>100.24</td>
<td>38.42</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.7742</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>0.811</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>7.67 ± 0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>458-5zA</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.2705</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>8.81 ± 0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>458-5 average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.24 ± 0.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>459-12z1</td>
<td>43.89</td>
<td>34.79</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.2633</td>
<td>28.13</td>
<td>81.25</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>9.18 ± 0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>460-15z1</td>
<td>133.98</td>
<td>67.26</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.8047</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>11.81 ± 1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>460-15z2</td>
<td>164.89</td>
<td>70.29</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.9362</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>9.77</td>
<td>11.97 ± 1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>460-15 average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.89 ± 1.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>467-8z1</td>
<td>18.08</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.0584</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>8.82 ± 0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>467-8z2</td>
<td>15.92</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.4462</td>
<td>27.92</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>0.871</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>8.72 ± 0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>467-8 average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.77 ± 0.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>644-12z2</td>
<td>9.37</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.0457</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>9.39 ± 0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>644-11/12zA</td>
<td>52.14</td>
<td>26.26</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.2135</td>
<td>14.75</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>10.77</td>
<td>12.44 ± 1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>647-5/6z1</td>
<td>15.08</td>
<td>8.78</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.0428</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>11.44 ± 1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>648-20z2</td>
<td>35.05</td>
<td>19.28</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.0777</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>0.706</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>9.76 ± 0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650-1/2z1</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.0197</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>0.768</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>8.31 ± 0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650-1/2z2</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.0203</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>8.15 ± 0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650-1/2 average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.23 ± 0.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>651-1z2</td>
<td>78.61</td>
<td>48.97</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.5467</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td>10.96 ± 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172-18z1</td>
<td>57.82</td>
<td>20.72</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.2475</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>9.32 ± 0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172-18z2</td>
<td>37.74</td>
<td>15.82</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.2579</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>8.68 ± 0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172-18 average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.00 ± 0.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR 31 22-1z2</td>
<td>11.77</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.0224</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.685</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>9.66 ± 0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR 31 22-1zA</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.0203</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>9.71 ± 0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR 31 22-1 average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.68 ± 0.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR 31 23-1z1</td>
<td>18.95</td>
<td>14.61</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.0384</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>9.21 ± 0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR 31 29-2z1</td>
<td>9.85</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.0184</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>0.690</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>8.79 ± 0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR 31 29-2zA</td>
<td>362.06</td>
<td>67.82</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.5550</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>33.25</td>
<td>0.747</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>9.91 ± 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR 31 29-2 average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.35 ± 0.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR 31 40-1z1</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.0244</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>10.47 ± 0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR 31 52-2z1</td>
<td>37.17</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.0368</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>7.94 ± 0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>735B-53zA</td>
<td>26.09</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>0.876</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>8.80 ± 0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>735B-571zA</td>
<td>445.8</td>
<td>96.20</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7.39 ± 0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>735B-606zA</td>
<td>440.7</td>
<td>275.28</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>5.7710</td>
<td>10.94</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>10.56 ± 0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) U and Th concentrations determined by ICMPS at Yale University.

\(^b\) mwar, mean weighted average radius.

\(^c\) \( F_T \), fraction of He retained.

\(^d\) Ages corrected for alpha ejection following methods of Farley et al. [1996] and Reiners [2005].
early cooling history is consistent with thermal models [e.g., Maclennan et al., 2005] and Ca-in-olivine thermospeedometry [Coogan et al., 2007] for the cooling of lower oceanic crust. In contrast to this result, low-temperature thermochronologic ages from three different minerals (sphene, zircon and apatite) using two thermochronometers (fission track and (U-Th)/He) are anomalously young (≥3 Ma younger than the crystallization age of Hole 735B). Although the temperatures of these samples are what one would expect for cooling of samples at 3 km depth (Figures 3e–3l); all of these

Figure 7. Bathymetric map of Atlantis Bank showing crustal cooling ages (i.e., the difference between Pb/U zircon crystallization ages and (U-Th)/He zircon ages). Note that the majority of the crustal cooling ages are >1.0 Ma and formed well outside the axial rift valley. These results are not predicted by simple conductive cooling thermal models and suggest that these samples were affected by a thermal event. Spreading direction is north to south.
### Table 3. Primary and Secondary Mineralogy and Zircon Trace Element Concentrations for Analyzed Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Grain Size</th>
<th>Primary Mineralogy</th>
<th>Alteration Mineralogy</th>
<th>Zircon U Concentration&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (ppm)</th>
<th>Zircon Th Concentration&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; (ppm)</th>
<th>Average Th/U</th>
<th>Number of Zircon Analyses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>460-15</td>
<td>M-C</td>
<td>pl + cpx + opx + bt + hbd + mag none</td>
<td>64–830 [258]</td>
<td>35–202 [99]</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>466-4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>pl + cpx + ol ab + hbd + act + chl</td>
<td>14–141 [57]</td>
<td>6–39 [21]</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>467-8</td>
<td>F-M</td>
<td>pl + cpx + opx + mag hbd + chl</td>
<td>19–3074 [307]</td>
<td>8–89 [25]</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>645-11/12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>pl Chl</td>
<td>44–230 [140]</td>
<td>12–121 [65]</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>647-5/6</td>
<td>C-P</td>
<td>pl + cpx + opx + ol + mag Hbd</td>
<td>54–246 [136]</td>
<td>9–161 [67]</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>648-20</td>
<td>M-C</td>
<td>pl + cpx + mag (?) hbd + sp + mag</td>
<td>25–1148 [169]</td>
<td>15–1003 [132]</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650-1/2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>pl + cpx + ol + mag ± opx Hbd</td>
<td>13–183 [91]</td>
<td>5–80 [35]</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>651-1</td>
<td>M-C</td>
<td>pl + cpx + opx + mag Hbd</td>
<td>47–891 [344]</td>
<td>21–1021 [295]</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>653-17</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>pl + cpx + mag hbd + act + cl</td>
<td>16–85 [54]</td>
<td>9–43 [27]</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172-18</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>pl + cpx + mag + hbd ab + act</td>
<td>31–63 [47]</td>
<td>11–28 [18]</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR 31 22-1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>pl + cpx + ol + opx + mag sp + chl + act + cl</td>
<td>20–146 [70]</td>
<td>11–77 [46]</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR 31 23-1</td>
<td>M-C</td>
<td>pl + cpx + mag + opx + sp act + ep + cl + sp</td>
<td>12–128 [84]</td>
<td>6–134 [80]</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR 31 29-2</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>pl + cpx + mag + qtz hbd + ep</td>
<td>307–2089 [888]</td>
<td>73–1449 [264]</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR 31 40-1</td>
<td>M-C</td>
<td>pl + cpx + ol ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR 31 52-2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>pl + cpx + mag ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>735B-53</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>735B-571</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Grain sizes are as follows: F, fine (<1 mm); M, medium (1–5 mm); C, coarse (5–30 mm); P, pegmatoidal (>30 mm).

<sup>b</sup> Pl, plagioclase feldspar; cpx, clinopyroxene; opx, orthopyroxene; mag, magnetite; ol, olivine; bt, biotite; hbd, hornblende; ab, albite; act, actinolite; chl, chlorite; cl, clay; sp, sphene.

<sup>c</sup> Concentrations determined from SHRIMP-RG analyses [Schwartz et al., 2005]. Brackets indicate average values.
samples were denuded to much shallower depths (<1.2 km) by detachment faulting shortly after igneous crystallization. Thus, these samples exhibit “slow” cooling relative to the conductive cooling models (Figure 4), and show a marked departure from the early and rapid, high- to moderate-temperature cooling history of Hole 735B, which is exhibited by the Pb/U zircon, magnetic anomaly and $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar biotite data. These low-temperature data are also inconsistent with rapid cooling histories reported from lower oceanic crust [e.g., Coogan et al., 2002, 2006], and from numerical models for the cooling of lower crust [Henstock et al., 1993; Phipps Morgan and Chen, 1993; Maclennan et al., 2005]. Therefore, we suggest that these samples were subject to a process or event, which either (1) held them above their closure temperature well after igneous crystallization or (2) partially or completely reset their low-temperature ages after early and rapid cooling. In both cases, the addition of heat up to 2–3 Ma after igneous crystallization is necessary to explain these young ages.

Figure 8. Thin section scan in plain polarized light of sample 172-18b from the western structural domain of Atlantis Bank. Two photomicrographs show fractured zircons infilled with actinolite. Note the greenschist facies alteration front which dissects the thin section (top to bottom).
[27] We show cooling curves with a superimposed thermal event, derived using our simple 2-D conductive cooling model, to compare with the data in Figure 4. The majority of the high-temperature and low-temperature thermochronologic data are well described by this model which involves rapid cooling during detachment faulting and removal of 2.0 km of upper crust, followed by a thermal event (in this case, magmatic underplating) at 9.5 Ma. Temperature variations with initial depth below the seafloor are represented by colored curves and these span the sampled depth range. We suggest the thermal models involving mafic underplating at 9.5 Ma as a likely explanation for the anomalously young low-temperature thermochronologic ages in ODP Hole 735B.

5.2. Seafloor Samples

[28] Standardized (U-Th)/He zircon data for all seafloor samples (i.e., not including samples from ODP Hole 735B) are presented in Figure 6. Most of these samples were collected along normal fault scarps and thus were originally located tens to a few hundreds of meters below the detachment fault surface prior to normal faulting. Because these samples are located near to the surface of Atlantis Bank, their depth prior to detachment faulting is assumed to be ~2 km below seafloor on the basis of fluid inclusions studies from the upper 500 m of Hole 735B [Kelley and Früh-Green, 2001]. We use the 2-D finite difference thermal model discussed above to interpret the cooling history of these surface samples. The cooling curve generated from this model (Figure 6, heavy black line) assumes that the rocks currently at the seafloor originally crystallized at 2.0 km depth at the ridge axis, but were then denuded to the near surface by detachment faulting at the rift valley wall. Thus, the curve predicts that samples near the surface of the detachment fault should cool to near 0°C as the detachment fault is unroofed. Ages shown by black squares are consistent with this simple conductive cooling model; however, ages shown by red symbols are not within error of the model. The effect of conductive heating due to the thermal event discussed in section 5.1 (i.e., mafic underplating at 1.8 km bsf) is shown by a small perturbation to the conductive cooling curve at 9.5 Ma. This perturbation is small because, the cooling curve was calculated for an initial depth of 2 km followed by denudation to a few meters below the surface. Once samples are exposed to the surface, their temperature is maintained at ~0°C because of their proximity to seawater. The dashed blue curve qualitatively shows the possible additional thermal effect on samples near to the surface if they were affected by short-lived hydrothermal fluid flow along fault planes driven by magmatic underplating at depth.

5.2.1. Southwestern Flank: Rapidly Cooled Samples

[29] Rapidly cooled samples from the southwestern flank are well described by the simple conductive cooling model with detachment faulting described above (Figure 6, black ellipses). On the basis of the rapid cooling exhibited by these samples, their fit with our detachment faulting cooling model, and their microstructural and metamorphic features, we interpret these samples as having cooled rapidly in association with core complex formation as the detachment fault emerged from the hanging wall at the rift valley wall. These samples are significant because they suggest that the young (U-Th)/He ages recorded by the remainder of the data set record a later, discrete thermal event, rather than a continuous protracted cooling history (see below). We suggest that it is unlikely that the southwestern part of the core complex would have cooled rapidly while the rest of the core complex cooled slowly. Instead, the entire core complex likely cooled rapidly as it was denuded, and a later thermal event with associated hydrothermal heat advection along fault zones likely reheated/reequilibrated the samples from the northwestern and eastern flanks.

5.2.2. Eastern Flank: Anomalously Young (U-Th)/He Ages

[30] Young (U-Th)/He zircon ages located along the eastern flank of Atlantis Bank are inconsistent with the rapid cooling implied by the simple conductive cooling with detachment faulting models described above. Several features suggest that these (U-Th)/He zircon ages were reset during focused high-temperature (300–400°C) hydrothermal fluid flow along active fault planes. These features include (1) the location of the samples along large-slip fault scarps; (2) the location of some of the samples near to the surface of the seafloor, which requires that temperatures of 300–400°C result from advective heat transport and not by conductive heat transport; (3) their pervasive greenschist facies metamorphic overprint; (4) their anomalously young ages relative to the conductive thermal models; and (5) their statistically overlapping ages, which yield a weighted average age of 9.44 ± 0.48 Ma (mswd = 0.43). Each sample
was collected only a few tens to hundreds of meters below the detachment fault surface (e.g., samples 648-20 and 644-12 (Figure 9)), where simple conductive cooling models predict that they would experience rapid cooling through \(-200^\circ C\) in less than 1 Ma. Therefore, these samples likely underwent an early and rapid cooling history similar to samples from the western flank, but were later affected by an additional thermal event associated with greenschist facies alteration localized along the postdetachment, moderately dipping oblique slip faults shown in Figures 1 and 9.

To quantify the feasibility and potential time scales for greenschist facies hydrothermal fluids to reset the (U-Th)/He zircon system, we use He diffusion coefficients determined from Arrhenius relationships in experimental studies [Reiners et al., 2004] and extrapolate them to greenschist facies conditions (300–400°C). The fraction of He loss \((f)\) resulting from a thermal heating event is given by,

\[
f = 1 - \left( \frac{6}{\pi^2} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \exp \left( -n^2 \pi^2 \frac{D_t}{\alpha^2} \right),
\]

where \(D_t\) is the temperature-dependent diffusivity, \(t\) is time and \(\alpha\) is the effective diffusion radius (see Crank [1975] for discussion on relationship between fractional approach to final uptake and diffusion coefficients, time and grain size). Following the approach of Reiners et al. [2007], we calculate time scales for complete He loss \((f > 0.99)\) of 1.4 ka at 300°C and 13.8 a at 400°C, assuming an effective diffusion radius of 45 \(\mu m\) (similar to radii of zircons analyzed in this study (Table 2)). These temperature conditions are similar to those reported from structurally controlled high-temperature hydrothermal vent systems [German and Parson, 1998] and the required
time scales for resetting are similar to time scales of venting reported from modern hydrothermal fields such as the TAG [Lalou et al., 1990, 1993; Humphris and Cann, 2000] and Rainbow sites [German and Parson, 1998; Cave et al., 2002], which have been active on the 1 to 10 ka time scales. Thus, diffusion calculations for greenschist facies conditions suggest that complete reequilibration/resetting of the (U-Th)/He zircon system is both feasible and a likely process for producing the observed ages along the eastern fault scarp. We therefore interpret the weighted average (U-Th)/He zircon age of the three samples along the eastern scarp as likely representing a resetting event due to focused hydrothermal fluid flow through enhanced permeability fault rocks at \( \pm 0.5 \) Ma.

5.2.3. Northwestern Flank: Anomalously Young (U-Th)/He Ages
[32] As in the case of the eastern fault scarp, these young (U-Th)/He zircon ages are inconsistent with models for conductive cooling and detachment faulting. The location of these samples along moderately dipping fault zones (Figures 1 and 7), the presence of significant greenschist facies alteration, the anomalously young (U-Th)/He zircon ages relative to conductive cooling models, and the relatively short time scales for diffusive reequilibration of the (U-Th)/He zircon system discussed above, suggest that samples along the northern and western flanks were also affected and likely reset by focused hydrothermal fluid flow at temperatures \( >300^\circ\text{C} \). In contrast to the eastern fault scarp which shows evidence for a discrete event along a single fault at \( \sim 9.4 \) Ma, samples from the western flank yield younger ages (as young as \( 7.9 \) Ma), and are from several different fault systems located closer to the Atlantis II Transform fault. Therefore, hydrothermal circulation along the northwestern flank may have continued to younger periods of time associated with transtension along the Atlantis II transform. Implications for these data are discussed below.

6. Discussion
[33] The (U-Th)/He zircon ages reported here together with existing geochronologic and thermochronologic data provide new insights into the cooling history of the oceanic crust at Atlantis Bank. These data from across and with depth within an oceanic core complex form the first comprehensive set of geochronologic and thermochronometric data from an entire oceanic core complex, and provide direct temporal and thermal constraints on mechanical models for cooling and core complex formation in slow spreading mid-oceanic environments [e.g., Lavier et al., 1999, 2000; Buck et al., 2005; Tucholke et al., 2008]. Results from our data indicate that Atlantis Bank experienced rapid cooling (<500 ka after igneous crystallization), which likely took place during detachment faulting associated with core complex formation. This early and rapid cooling history is locally preserved in areas across the core complex, which have not been extensively affected by later intermediate dip faults and hydrothermal circulation. The calculated mean cooling rate for temperatures between 850 and 200°C from standardized (U-Th)/He zircon surface samples is \( 1200^\circ\text{C}/\text{Ma} \) for these samples, consistent with earlier observations by John et al. [2004], Coogan [2005], and Coogan et al. [2007] for Atlantis Bank, other sections of lower oceanic crust exposed in ophiolites (e.g., Oman [Coogan et al., 2002, 2006]), and numerical thermal models [Maclennan et al., 2005].

[34] The most surprising result of this study is identification of anomalously young thermochronometric ages, which make up \( >70\% \) of the (U-Th)/He zircon data set. These samples record thermal resetting on average 2.6 Ma after igneous crystallization. On the basis of seafloor spreading rates during core complex formation (14 km/Ma [Baines et al., 2008]), these anomalously young ages reflect thermal events which occurred well outside the rift valley (on average \( \sim 36 \) km off-axis compared to the present-day half width of the axial ridge valley of \( \sim 4.5 \) km). Therefore, the majority of our data cannot be ascribed to simple cooling near the ridge axis, but instead argue for an off-axis heating event significantly outside the rift valley.

[35] Figure 10 summarizes the (U-Th)/He zircon data in a histogram of “crustal cooling time” versus number of samples analyzed. Here, we define crustal cooling time as the difference between the igneous crystallization age (i.e., the Pb/U zircon age) and the timing of cooling/resetting through 200°C (i.e., the (U-Th)/He zircon age). During detachment faulting, simple conductive cooling models predict that lower oceanic crustal rocks now at depths \( \leq 2 \) km will cool rapidly through the (U-Th)/He zircon closure temperature in less than 1.0 Ma after igneous crystallization (Figures 4 and 6). These rapidly cooled samples will therefore plot between 0 and 1.0 Ma in Figure 10. Within this time period, the core complex footwall is expected to emerge from the rift valley (0.45 Ma,
Figure 10. Histogram of crustal cooling time (Ma), defined as the difference in age between igneous crystallization (at 850°C), and cooling through the (U-Th)/He zircon closure temperature (200°C). A schematic core complex profile (vertical exaggeration 2:1) is shown above the histogram data and is scaled to show the time when Atlantis Bank emerged from the rift valley (first vertical dashed line), and when it experienced flexural rollover (second vertical dashed line). Thermal models for conductive cooling of lower ocean crust predict that samples will cool in less than 1.0 Ma of igneous crystallization. However, the majority of our data indicate cooling through temperatures of 200°C, well outside the axial rift valley, and are interpreted to indicate off-axis heating associated with faulting and hydrothermal circulation outside of the axial rift valley.

In contrast to the prediction of rapid cooling, we observe that the majority of (U-Th)/He zircon ages record cooling/resetting >1 Ma after crystallization, and thus after core complex formation. Many of the samples collected in this study were located along major transform-parallel and transform-perpendicular normal faults, which dissect the core complex. As described above, we interpret the (U-Th)/He zircon ages located along these faults as recording thermal resetting events associated with focused hydrothermal circulation. The timing that these (U-Th)/He zircon ages record also coincides with a period of transtension from 19.5 to 7.5 Ma [Dick et al., 1991b, 1999; Baines et al., 2003], thereby providing a physical mechanism for off-axis brittle faulting on Atlantis Bank. However, the presence of the greenschist facies mineral assemblages associated with mean (U-Th)/He zircon and fission track ages nearly 3 Ma off axis requires a mechanism to provide heat to these young samples tens of kilometers outside the rift valley. Below we evaluate possible mechanisms to provide this off-axis heat.

6.1. Cracking Fronts and Hydrothermal Circulation Mining Lithospheric Heat

[37] Cooling models for lower oceanic crust in fast spreading mid-ocean ridge environments suggest that fracturing may begin as a downward propagating “cracking front” in which cooling and fracturing occur at the boundary layer between hot rocks and circulating fluids [Lister, 1974, 1983; Manning et al., 2000]. As the cracking front develops, it mines heat from the surrounding rocks driving high-temperature hydrothermal circulation. On the basis of observations of samples from ODP Hole 735B, Mélèval and Cannat [1991] noted that the distribution of cracks and hydrothermal veins in the top 500 m is not consistent with a simple cracking front model. More recent studies of the distribution of cracks and hydrothermal veins over the entire length of ODP Hole 735B [e.g., Robinson et al., 2002; Alt and Bach, 2006] also do not support a simple cracking front model. Instead, these studies indicate that hydrothermal circulation was localized in discrete zones in the upper 700–900 m of Hole 735B [e.g., Robinson et al., 2002], and did not significantly penetrate deeper portions of the lower crust. These results are supported by downhole oxygen isotopic studies, which show relatively unaltered isotopic compositions below ~600 m [Alt and Bach, 2006; Gao et al., 2006], also inconsistent with a cracking front model.

6.2. Serpentinization Reactions

[38] Possible evidence for hydrothermal venting and exothermic serpentinization reactions on Atlantis Bank is recorded in fluid inclusion data.

which contain trace amounts of methane [Vanko and Stakes, 1991], which may have formed as the by-product of serpenitization reactions [Vanko and Stakes, 1991]. Although evidence for methane may suggest the involvement of serpenitization reactions, fluid temperatures (40–70°C at the surface, up to 225°C at depth [Kelley and Früh-Green, 2001; Früh-Green et al., 2003]) from modern ultramafic-hosted hydrothermal vents such as the Lost City require time scales of ~275 ka (assuming a 45 μm effective diffusion radius at 225°C) to completely reset the (U-Th)/He zircon system. These time scales are much greater than those observed even in long-lived ultramafic hosted vent systems (~30 ka [Früh-Green et al., 2003]). In addition, some studies of high-temperature hydrothermal vent systems at the Rainbow hydrothermal vent and at 10–16°C on the Southwest Indian Ridge suggest that exothermic serpenitization reactions are insufficient to produce the required high-temperature fluid fluxes [Bach et al., 2002; German and Von Damm, 2003; Baker and German, 2004; Baker et al., 2004; German and Lin, 2004]. Thus, exothermic serpenitine reactions do not likely explain the anomalously young ages we observe over reasonable time scales.

6.3. Off-Axis Magmatism

[39] High-temperature (>300°C) hydrothermal vent systems (e.g., TAG and Rainbow hydrothermal vent fields [e.g., Kleinrock and Humphris, 1996; Humphris and Cann, 2000; Allen and Seyfried, 2004; Seyfried et al., 2004]) have fluid temperatures similar to estimated temperatures of greenschist facies metamorphic assemblages that we find associated with transform-parallel and transform-perpendicular faults on Atlantis Bank. In the case of the TAG and Rainbow vents, hydrothermal circulation is believed to be tectonically controlled by normal fault systems, and fed by episodic basaltic magmatism at depth [Humphris and Cann, 2000; Charlou et al., 2002; Douville et al., 2002]. Time scales for active venting in these systems are on the order of 1 to 10 ka [Lalou et al., 1990, 1993; German and Parson, 1998; Humphris and Cann, 2000; Cave et al., 2002], which if analogous to Atlantis Bank, would result in complete reequilibration of the (U-Th)/He zircon system, as discussed above. Thus, these high-temperature magma-fed hydrothermal vent systems may be modern analogs to the systems that produced the anomalously young ages on Atlantis Bank.

[40] Modern high-temperature hydrothermal vents are located within or near the axial valley, where episodic basaltic magmatism can provide sufficient heat to drive hydrothermal circulation. In the case of Atlantis Bank, the young (U-Th)/He zircon ages require a source of heat (>300°C) significantly off axis to explain their anomalous ages. One possibility is that transform between 19.5 and 7.5 Ma related to changes in the direction of plate motion [Baines et al., 2003] may have induced mantle upwelling and partial melting beneath the Atlantis II transform fault resulting in melt migration and magmatic underplating beneath Atlantis Bank [cf. Natland and Dick, 2002]. Numerical models and studies of other transform faults suggest that centers of oceanic transform faults may be warmer than normally assumed, and during periods of transtension may result in the local development of partial melts and intratransform spreading centers [Menard and Atwater, 1969; Lonsdale, 1989; Behn et al., 2007].

[41] Various observations of off-axis magmatism in the region support this leaky transform model. A present-day active volcanic edifice was observed along the eastern wall of the Atlantis II transform, ~30 km south of the present-day ridge axis [Dick et al., 1991b; Kinoshita et al., 2001]. Diabase dikes were observed cutting the detachment fault surface on dive 645 during Jamstec MODE 2001 [Arai et al., 2001], suggesting post-detachment-faulting magmatism. On Shinkai dive 467, NW of Hole 735B along the eastern wall of the Atlantis II transform at 32°38′S (Figure 1), a gently dipping diabase dike was observed filling a joint/fault which cuts a moderately north dipping mylonitic fabric [Kinoshita et al., 2001]. An anomalously young Pb/U zircon age of ~11.4 Ma was obtained for a sample collected from the eastern flank of Atlantis Bank during Jamstec MODE 2001 dive 653 [Baines et al., 2009]. This sample is ~1.1 Ma younger that the sea surface magnetic age for the area where it is located [Baines et al., 2008] and on the basis of seafloor spreading rates during the formation of Atlantis Bank [Baines et al., 2008], it likely formed outside the rift valley. Last, fresh pillow basalt lavas with glassy margins collected from the detachment surface on the same dive.

[42] Basaltic underplating can also explain the young ages at the base of ODP Hole 735B, which do not show signs of extensive greenschist facies alteration. In the underplating model, these ages would be reset by conductive heating due to the intrusion of underplated magma at depth beneath...
Hole 735B, rather than by advection of heat by hydrothermal circulation along faults.

[43] Analyses of seismic and gravity data suggests thick crust beneath Atlantis Bank, consistent with a model of mafic underplating [Muller et al., 1997, 2000]. These data indicate that the seismic Moho beneath Atlantis Bank is approximately 6 km deep which suggests anomalously thick crust compared to the average 3 ± 1 km regional crustal thickness [Muller et al., 1997, 2000], a situation made even more acute if the 1.5–2.0 km of upper crust removed by detachment faulting is restored [Vanko and Stakes, 1991]. Muller et al. [1997] prefer to interpret the seismic Moho as a serpentinization front with the crust-mantle boundary at approximately 3 km depth. However, an alternative interpretation of these data is that the seismic Moho is indeed the petrological Moho [Muller et al., 1997], in which case we suggest that the thick crust may be the result of significant off-axis magmatic underplating. This underplating may have provided a source of heat for overlying tectonically controlled hydrothermal circulation systems, and may partly explain the anomalously high elevation of Atlantis Bank.

One plausible model for the late stage development of Atlantis Bank is shown in Figure 11. This model assumes Atlantis Bank was subject to a period of off-axis magmatism as a consequence of transtension on the Atlantis II transform fault. Heat derived from this magmatism may have been supplemented by exothermic serpentinization reactions. These combined heat sources are inferred to have driven high-temperature (>300°C) hydrothermal circulation along transform-parallel and transform-perpendicular faults. A major difference between this model and modern, structurally controlled high-temperature vent systems is that focused hydrothermal fluid flow at Atlantis Bank appears to have taken place well outside the axial valley. If this model for Atlantis Bank is correct, it may be applicable to other oceanic core complexes formed adjacent to transform faults and they may be subject to protracted cooling histories involving high-temperature hydrothermal circulation up to several million years off axis.

7. Conclusions

[43] Twenty-six new (U-Th)/He zircon ages from Atlantis Bank, Southwest Indian Ridge, indicate that the oceanic core complex initially cooled rapidly (<1 Ma), yielding cooling rates >1200°C/ Ma. These rates are consistent with other thermochronologic studies of Atlantis Bank as well as other thermochronologic and numerical models for the cooling of lower oceanic crust. The majority of our data (>70%), however, show evidence for elevated temperature conditions (i.e., temperatures
above the closure temperature of the (U-Th)/He zircon system (200°C ± 15)) up to 3–4 Ma after igneous crystallization, well outside the axial ridge valley. Similar anomalously young ages are also recorded by zircon, sphene and apatite fission track data from ODP Hole 735B. These young ages are inconsistent with simple conductive cooling models for lower oceanic crust and suggest an off-axis thermal event. These samples are located near major faults, which postdate the formation of the core complex, and are metamorphosed at greenschist facies conditions. We interpret these anomalously young ages as recording high-temperature (>300°C), focused hydrothermal fluid flow along transform-parallel and transform-perpendicular normal faults outside the axial ridge valley. We suggest that the heat source may have been off-axis basaltic underplating beneath Atlantis Bank related to a period of transtension along the Atlantis II transform fault related to a change in plate spreading direction. In addition, this magmatic event may be partially responsible for the anomalously high elevation of Atlantis Bank.

Appendix A: Additional Cooling Profiles and Detailed Rock Descriptions of (U-Th)/He Zircon Thermochronology Samples Collected by Manned Submersible Dives on Atlantis Bank, Southwest Indian Ridge

Sample 459-12 consists of oxide olivine gabbro collected from outcrop sample at 2294 mbsl. This sample is a coarse-grained (5–30 mm) oxide gabbro intruded by 5 cm thick medium-grained, sheared gabbro with recrystallized/albitized plagioclase.

Sample 458-5 is a float sample collected at 4195 m below sea level (mbsl). The sample is a coarse-grained (5–30 mm) oxide gabbronorite impregnated by 5 cm thick medium-grained, sheared gabbro with recrystallized albite.

Sample 460-15 consists of biotite hornblende oxide gabbro collected at 1640 mbsl from talus debris near top of Atlantis Bank. Primary mineralogy consists of coarse-grained plagioclase and clinopyroxene altered to chlorite and actinolite. The sample is located ~200 m above 40°W dipping normal fault.

Sample 467-8 consists of fine- to medium-grained hornblende gabbro collected on outcrop at 2526 mbsl. The sample is cut by amphibole and plagioclase filled fractures and primary mineralogy is altered to hornblende and chlorite. Further up slope, a gently dipping dike cuts a north dipping mylonitic fabric oriented at 010°/30°W. The dike may have filled a joint or fault.

Sample 172-18 consists of coarse-grained olivine oxide gabbro collected from outcrop at 3314 mbsl. The sample is 25% altered, with some semibrittle deformation. This sample is located approximately 300 m below the detachment fault surface (at 3040 mbsl) where massive outcrops of oxide gabbro are observed along steeply dipping E–W and N–S oriented joints and normal and strike-slip faults. Deformation fabrics in oriented samples from these outcrops indicate significant brittle deformation on steeply dipping faults. Cruise report observations indicate that many of the brittle fabrics are either crosscut or synchronous with high-temperature hydrothermal alteration [Arai et al., 2001]. Upslope from 172 to 18 approximately 300 m, sample 172-25 (see Figure 2) is an ultramylonite overprinted by cataclasitic fabric associated with a fault surface oriented 090°/70°N. The sample is characterized by epidote-cemented breccia/vein fill, indicating high-temperature (~300°C) hydrothermal fluid up flow on this fault and possible others in the area.

Sample 644-12 consists of medium- to coarse-grained oxide gabbronitite collected on outcrop along a fault scarp 1979 mbsl. The fault scarp is >100 m high with striae indicating sinistral oblique slip. The sample is 90% altered to chlorite, actinolite and hornblende. Hornblende veins crosscut the protomylonitic to mylonitic deformation fabric shown in Figure A2.

Sample 645-11/12 consists of talc-chlorite schist and diabase collected on the detachment fault surface at 2191 mbsf. The fine-grained diabase intrudes the talc-chlorite schist.

Sample 647-5/6 consists of hornblende olivine gabbro and hornblende olivine oxide gabbro collected on outcrop at 1946 mbsl. The sample has a protomylonitic to mylonitic fabric which is cut by 1 mm hornblende veins. The sample was collected adjacent to subvertical joints orientated at ~300°.

Sample 648-20 consists of medium-grained mylonitic gabbro collected at 1752 mbsl along a >1 km N–S striking fault scarp (000°/50°E). The
Figure A1. Cooling profiles for samples collected on Atlantis Bank showing slower cooling profiles. Thermal models for conductive cooling of oceanic lithosphere at various constant depths are shown by colored curves. Model cooling curves were calculated using a full-spreading rate of 14 mm/a, a lithospheric thickness of 100 km, a mantle potential temperature of 1330°C, thermal conductivity of 3.2 W/m/K, density of 3300 kg/m³, and specific heat capacity of 1170 J/kg/K.
sample is moderately altered to hornblende and serpentine and is cut by small white fractures.

[56] Sample 650-1/2 consists of olivine hornblende oxide gabbro and olivine oxide gabbronorite collected from outcrop along an E–W striking, north dipping fault surface at 2488 mbsl. The oxide olivine gabbronorite is approximately 58% altered in association with minor brittle deformation. Amphibole, chlorite and smectite veins crosscut the rock.

[57] Sample 651-1 consists of medium- to coarse-grained mylonitic oxide gabbro collected from float at 4306 mbsl. The sample has a minor cataclastic overprint. Dikes were observed approximately 100 m upslope at 4209 mbsl.

Acknowledgments

[58] We thank Henry Dick for access to samples from JAMSTEC and James Clark Ross cruises and for helpful discussions related to the geology of Atlantis Bank. Funding for this work was provided by NSF OCE grant 0352054 to M. J. Cheadle and B. E. John and a Wyoming NASA space grant to J. J. Schwartz. We wish to acknowledge constructive journal review by Andrew Fisher. We thank Stefan Nicolescu for analytical assistance. A.G.B.’s contribution makes TRaX record 016.

References


Baines, A. G., M. J. Cheadle, B. John, C. B. Grimes, J. J. Schwartz, and J. L. Wooden (2009), SHRIMP Pb/U zircon ages constrain gabbroic crustal accretion at the ultrasmall-


