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ABSTRACT

Ice concentrations in orographic wave clouds at temperatures between −24° and −29°C were shown to be related to aerosol characteristics in nearby clear air during five research flights over the Rocky Mountains. When clouds with influence from colder temperatures were excluded from the dataset, mean ice nuclei and cloud ice number concentrations were very low, on the order of 1–5 L⁻¹. In this environment, ice number concentrations were found to be significantly correlated with the number concentration of larger particles, those larger than both 0.1- and 0.5-m diameter. A variety of complementary techniques was used to measure aerosol size distributions and chemical composition. Strong correlations were also observed between ice concentrations and the number concentrations of soot and biomass-burning aerosols. Ice nuclei concentrations directly measured in biomass-burning plumes were the highest detected during the project. Taken together, this evidence indicates a potential role for biomass-burning aerosols in ice formation, particularly in regions with relatively low concentrations of other ice nucleating aerosols.

1. Introduction

The formation of ice in clouds is still a relatively poorly understood process. Ice nucleation occurs in two primary ways: through homogeneous freezing of liquid solution droplets and through heterogeneous freezing by a particulate nucleus. Homogeneous nucleation, or freezing, occurs at temperatures $T$ colder than about −37°C, while heterogeneous freezing can occur at temperatures as warm as −5°C (Mossop 1976). For both these processes, nucleating efficiency varies with temperature and supersaturation with respect to ice (Myers et al. 1992). Under specialized conditions, ice may also be formed by secondary processes such as rime splintering (Hallett and Mossop 1974).

Homogeneous freezing of water droplets can be predicted by classical theory (Heymsfield and Miloshevich 1995; Tabazadeh et al. 1997) whereas heterogeneous ice nucleation is much more complex. There are several mechanisms by which a heterogeneous ice nucleus may initiate ice formation (Cantrell and Heymsfield 2005). These include immersion and condensation freezing, where the ice nucleus is initially present within a cloud droplet, and deposition or contact nucleation (Cooper 1974), where immersion within the liquid phase is not required. Modeling studies have shown that the type and quantity of atmospheric aerosol particles acting as ice nuclei (IN) influence ice cloud microphysical and radiative properties (Jensen et al. 2001; Gierens 2003; Haag and Kärcher 2004).

Particles acting as ice nuclei under various conditions are beginning to be better characterized through both detailed laboratory studies and improved atmospheric measurements. Soil dust is known to be a good heterogeneous ice nucleus (Isono et al. 1959; Sassen et al. 2003;
2. Experiment

The Ice in Clouds Experiment–Layer Clouds (ICE-L) was a field investigation of ice formation processes, focusing on primary heterogeneous nucleation. The fall campaign was conducted along the Rocky Mountain range of the United States, where lenticular wave clouds driven by orography are common. The thermodynamic and kinematic environments of lenticular wave clouds are relatively simple and steady, so repeated sampling of the same cloud was possible without substantial temporal changes in cloud characteristics. Wave clouds provide a range of temperature, humidity, and vertical wind conditions in which first ice is expected to form through primary (heterogeneous or homogeneous freezing) processes. In wave clouds the conditions necessary for known secondary ice formation processes like rime splintering (Hallett and Mossop 1974), crystal-to-crystal collision (Vardiman 1978), and fragmentation during sublimation of dendrites (Oraltay and Hallett 1989) are minimized and there is little evidence that such processes occur in these clouds (Cooper and Vali 1981).

Baker and Lawson (2006) summarized the microphysics of wave clouds sampled in this area. They found that in general droplets grow rapidly (within a few hundred meters) in the upwind portion of the wave and then remain relatively monodispersed until they evaporate approximately midway through the cloud. Detectable ice crystals are typically observed mixed with the liquid water portion within a few kilometers downwind from the cloud’s leading edge (Cooper and Vali 1981). ICE-L flight tracks included sampling of the clear air upstream of the cloud in a crosswind bow-tie pattern, after which horizontal legs at different altitudes along the wind trajectory were flown. Clouds sampled during ICE-L were approximately 5–30 km across when sampled horizontally.

Wave cloud passes during five flights aboard the National Science Foundation’s C-130 research aircraft were subjected to an extensive screening process to evaluate ice concentrations in clouds that were comparable from flight to flight. This included restrictions based on temperature and horizontal isolation from clouds above and below, as described below. Although temperatures as cold as −38°C were encountered by the aircraft, we focus here on a more limited range of temperatures (−24° to −29°C). These in-cloud temperatures were selected as ones common to all five flights; they are also warm enough in most cases to limit possible involvement of homogeneously nucleated ice falling from above, as was seen on some flights (Pratt et al. 2009a; P. R. Field et al. 2010, unpublished manuscript). Video from the forward camera and onboard radar and lidar data were also examined to determine the vertical extent of the clouds and sedimentation of ice from colder temperatures above. Using the remote sensing data, clouds that extended to more than 500 m above the aircraft were excluded from the data presented here. Interestingly, ice sometimes originates from air lofted from the surface (e.g., Rogers and Vali 1987). In the case of flight 6 (29 Nov 2007), this was occasionally observed; clouds showing influence of convective cells below the flight level were also eliminated. Flight dates and time periods encompassing the selected cloud passes are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Flights and time periods encompassing the data that passed selection criteria. Note that all data within these time periods were not necessarily used; only those subperiods that passed the criteria given in the text (for reference, see actual cloud data in Fig. 1.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Flight No.</th>
<th>Time (UTC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 Nov 2007</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19:27:40–20:50:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Nov 2007</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17:38:40–18:45:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Nov 2007</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18:30:00–19:00:30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ice size distributions in cloud were measured with a Particle Measuring Systems 2D-C probe modified with faster electronics relative to the standard instrument and with sizing at 25-μm resolution up to 1600-μm diameter. Because of uncertainties in the depth of field at small particle sizes and possible overlap with larger cloud droplets, number concentrations reported here begin at a minimum size limit of approximately 60-μm diameter, where undercounting errors are expected to be less than 10% (Korolev et al. 1998). Breakup on instrument surfaces upstream of the sample volume can lead to overcounting,
but this is expected have no discernable effect on 2D-C number concentrations unless crystals exceed 600 μm in size (Korolev and Isaac 2005), which rarely occurred during ICE-L (mean crystal diameters for the time periods used ranged from 75 to 575 μm). Finally, some real ice crystals may have been not detected by the 2D-C because of the minimum threshold size used. In these wave clouds, however, calculations and observations (Cooper and Vali 1981) indicate that heterogeneously nucleated ice particles would reach detectable size within 1–2 km downstream of the leading cloud edge, which is only about 1/10 of the horizontal distance typically sampled in cloud. Additionally, our temperature and remote sensing screening eliminates possible influence of small ice from homogeneous freezing regions above. Therefore the mean ice crystal concentrations reported here can be assumed to be accurate within ~20%.

Equivalent potential temperature \( \theta_e \) is conserved for saturated adiabatic processes (Wallace and Hobbs 1977) and should be nearly constant as an air parcel moves from upwind of a wave cloud through it along individual streamlines (note that the aircraft itself samples across streamlines). Therefore, mean in-cloud \( \theta_e \) values were used to identify clear-air periods that were characteristic of air feeding the clouds of interest. Flights with large variations in observed \( \theta_e \) were reexamined; in some cases, in particular if aerosol characteristics were found to be variable with \( \theta_e \), cloud data periods were regrouped into fewer passes with more uniform \( \theta_e \) characteristics. Number concentrations for both cloud and aerosol parameters are reported in terms of ambient pressure and temperature conditions.

Clear air was defined to occur when concentrations from the 2D-C probe were equal to 0.0 and concentrations from Droplet Measurement Technologies’ cloud droplet probe (CDP), which measures particles or droplets 2–50 μm in diameter, were less than 0.1 cm\(^{-3}\). Clear-air \( \theta_e \) values were also limited to those within 1.5° above or below the mean of the corresponding cloud periods, as discussed above. While a more stringent \( \theta_e \) criterion would have been desirable, this would have greatly limited data from some of the instruments. For some flights, clear-air data were sparse, in particular those days with extensive cloud such as flight 3. Aerosol data were matched as closely as possible to the times of the actual cloud passes in each period. However, some of the aerosol data were not always available immediately upstream of the cloud passes and had to be averaged over longer or different time segments (but still within the 3° \( \theta_e \) range) to obtain meaningful values.

A variety of techniques was used to provide clear-air aerosol information, including size distributions and refractory black carbon and ice nuclei concentrations. Two different types of aerosol mass spectrometers [a Compact Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (C-ToF-AMS) and an Aircraft Aerosol Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometer (A-ATOFMS)] as well as electron microscopy were used to provide detailed chemical information. In some cases these instruments were also operated in-cloud behind a counterflow virtual impactor (CVI; Noone et al. 1988). Much of that data is presented elsewhere (Pratt et al. 2009a, 2010a; Eidhammer et al. 2010; DeMott et al. 2010, hereafter DM). The aerosol instruments with appropriate references detailing the techniques are listed in Table 2.

3. Results and discussion

a. Ice concentrations

Figure 1 shows 1-Hz (~150-m horizontal average) ice concentrations greater than 0.1 L\(^{-1}\) for the periods meeting all criteria for the five flights studied. While none of the ice concentrations were very high, in a relative sense, flights 1, 3, and 4 had low ice concentrations for all passes within the criteria set here, and flight 12 had higher ice concentrations. Only one wave cloud period for flight 3 matched both the temperature and isolated wave cloud criteria, since most of the clouds sampled that day had complex layered structures with substantial ice sedimentation from above. Ice concentrations in clouds with ice falling from above were often higher by an order of magnitude or more than for isolated clouds at the same altitude.

Flight mean and peak ice concentrations for all accepted 1-Hz in-cloud data (see Fig. 1), regardless of duration, are shown in Fig. 2. Flight 12 had the highest ice concentration (mean of 4.6 L\(^{-1}\)); flight 4 was an order of magnitude lower (mean of 0.4 L\(^{-1}\)). From the Scientific Overview Document, the overarching goal of the Ice in Clouds Experiment is “To show that under given conditions, direct ice nucleation measurement(s), or other specific measurable characteristics of the aerosol, can be used to predict the number of ice particles forming by nucleation mechanisms in selected clouds” (available online at http://www.eol.ucar.edu/projects/ice-l/). To help achieve this, differences in aerosol characteristics on the five different days are examined in the next section. While ice nuclei are, of course, highly specialized and constitute a very small segment of the total aerosol number, it is expected that ice nuclei populations are enhanced in conjunction with certain types of aerosol populations that have similar source regions or atmospheric histories.

b. Aerosol number concentration in different size ranges

A condensation particle counter measured the total concentration of particles larger than about 0.01 μm in
CNC  
Thermo Systems Inc./National Center for Atmospheric Research  
Total number concentration $>0.01 \, \mu m$ diameter  
UWyo  

Ultra High Sensitivity Aerosol Spectrometer  
UHSAS  
Particle Metrics Inc./National Center for Atmospheric Research  
Size distribution $0.10$–$1.0 \, \mu m$ diameter**  
Wing-mount  

Single Particle Soot Photometer (Schwarz et al. 2006)  
SP-2  
Droplet Measurement Technologies/Droplet Measurement Technologies  
Refractory black carbon number and mass $>0.08 \, \mu m$ diameter  
Rear-facing inlet on bottom of plane  

Continuous Flow Diffusion Chamber (Rogers et al. 2001)  
CFDC  
Colorado State University/Colorado State University  
Ice nuclei concentration  
UWyo  

Aircraft Aerosol Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometer (Pratt et al. 2009b)  
A-ATOFMS  
UC San Diego/UC San Diego  
Single particle composition  
UWyo  

Compact Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (Murphy et al. 2009)  
C-ToF-AMS  
Aerodyne/Cal Tech  
Nonrefractory aerosol composition  
HIMIL  

Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy  
STEM/EDS  
Oregon State University/RJ Lee Group  
Single particle morphology and composition  
CVI w/o counterflow  

* UWyo refers to the University of Wyoming-designed isokinetic diffusing inlet located on the right side of the aircraft; HIMIL refers to the High-Performance Instrumented Airborne Platform for Environmental Research (HIAPER) modular inlet, a smaller flow-through diffusing inlet located on the left side of the aircraft.  
** Normally sizes particles down to $0.055 \, \mu m$ but not during this project because of electronic noise.
Andreae and Gelencsér (2006). Here we use the generic term “soot” for general discussion and more specific terms when discussing individual measurements from different instruments that measure specific properties of the particles.

Soot is known to be present in both water drops and ice crystals in the atmosphere (Twohy et al. 1989; Chyłek et al. 1996; Baumgardner et al. 2008; Cozic et al. 2008). Some types of soot particles from combustion have been shown to sometimes act as ice nuclei in the laboratory under condensation or immersion freezing conditions (e.g., DeMott 1990; DeMott et al. 1999; Diehl and Mitra 1998; Dymarska et al. 2006; Gorbunov et al. 2001; Möhler et al. 2005). However, this is not a consistent feature of soot particles, and it is not clear what the controlling factors are (Kärcher et al. 2007). Dymarska et al. (2006) found that soot particles were ineffective ice nuclei under subsaturated conditions with respect to liquid water at temperatures warmer than −30°C. Most ICE-L clouds showed little evidence either of deposition nucleation—occurring in ice-supersaturated but liquid water subsaturated regions upstream of the liquid water clouds—or of contact nucleation occurring in evaporating downdraft regions (Durant and Shaw 2005). Therefore, the probable modes of nucleation in these clouds were condensation or immersion freezing in the regions containing liquid water.

For ICE-L, mean number concentrations of refractory black carbon (rBC; Schwarz et al. 2009) from the Single Particle Soot Photometer (SP-2) are compared to ice crystal concentrations in Fig. 4. Both total rBC concentrations [measured mass range is 0.5–10.5 fg or 0.08–0.22-μm mass-equivalent diameter (MED)] and only rBC particles larger than 0.10-μm MED, a size range corresponding with an ice nucleation formulation used in some models (Phillips et al. 2008), are shown. A very high correlation ($r^2 > 0.99$) is observed for rBC in both size ranges; in fact, both are significant not only at a $p$ value of 0.05 (Table 3) but also down to probabilities less than 0.0005. Within the relatively low ice concentration range observed on most ICE-L flights, then, ice concentrations are strongly positively correlated with rBC concentration in the ambient aerosol for these ICE-L cases. This does not necessarily occur in all environments, however. During dust-storm outbreaks (sometimes mixed

![Fig. 1. Ice crystal number concentrations (>60 μm) vs time for the selected data periods during flights (a) 1, (b) 3, (c) 4, (d) 6, and (e) 12. Data points are reported each second (about 150 m in horizontal distance), with uncertainty in the concentrations estimated at about 20%.](image-url)
with pollution) that can occur downwind of Asia, high ice nuclei concentrations were more strongly related to dust concentrations than to refractory black carbon concentrations (Stith et al. 2009).

2) SINGLE PARTICLE COMPOSITION BY A-ATOFMS

The A-ATOFMS measured both positive and negative ions associated with individual aerosol particles between about 70- and 1200-nm vacuum aerodynamic diameter, although maximum transmission and scattering efficiency occurs above 200 nm (Pratt et al. 2009b). Particles sampled from air in the range described earlier were categorized as crustal dust, biological, salts (NaKCl), biomass burning, organic carbon (OC), elemental carbon (EC), or internal mixtures of OC and EC as detailed in Spencer and Prather (2006). Since the A-ATOFMS detects elemental carbon based on the presence of carbon cluster ions (C\textsubscript{n}\textsuperscript{+}), we use the more correct “elemental carbon” nomenclature in this section, recognizing that it is related to the refractory black carbon measured by incandescence by the SP-2 and to the generic “soot” used elsewhere (Andreae and Gelencsér 2006). Particles containing sulfate and/or nitrate, for which no positive ion mass spectra were collected, are included within the category “sulfate–nitrate only.” Biomass-burning particles were defined as those with potassium dominating the positive ions, as well as containing organic carbon and elemental carbon, and sulfate (Silva et al. 1999; Reid et al. 2005), and occasional internal mixing with nitrate and ammonium was also observed. While certain particle types were typically externally mixed (e.g., biological particles and biomass-burning particles), it is important to note that these particle type

![Fig. 2](image2.png)

**Fig. 2.** Mean and maximum ice concentrations for isolated ICE-L clouds during different flights at temperatures of −24\degree to −29\degree C. Uncertainty in the measured concentrations is estimated at about 20%.

![Fig. 3](image3.png)

**Fig. 3.** Relationship between mean aerosol particle concentration and ice crystal concentration in different size ranges for the five flights. Error bars represent a 95% confidence interval for the sample means [±(standard error × 1.96)]. Standard errors for the aerosol means were relatively low for the \(\theta_c\) ranges used. Uncertainty due to the measurement itself is estimated at 20% for ice concentration and 10% for particle concentration.

### Table 3. Significance of relationships (at probability level \(p = 0.05\)) between aerosol particle parameters and ice number concentration in clouds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>(r^2)</th>
<th>(r^b)</th>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>(t_{obs})</th>
<th>(t_{crit})</th>
<th>Reject?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol &gt; 0.01 (\mu m)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol &gt; 0.10 (\mu m)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol &gt; 0.50 (\mu m)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rBC total number</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rBC &gt; 0.10 (\mu m)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN concentration</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) Pearson coefficient of determination from the appropriate figure/regression.

\(b\) Pearson coefficient of correlation. Also denotes sign of relationship (positive or negative).

\(c\) Number of samples.

\(d\) Observed \(t\) value, \(= r[(df)/(1 − r^2)]^{0.5}\); \(df = \) degrees of freedom \((n − 2\) for linear fit).

\(e\) Critical \(t\) value at \(p = 0.05\), from statistical tables.

\(f\) If \(t_{obs} > t_{crit}\), null hypothesis may be rejected, where the null hypothesis states that there is no relationship between ice concentration and the parameter of interest.
classifications do not reflect all of the chemical components within these particles. For example, EC and EC–OC particles were usually internally mixed with some potassium and sulfate. Likewise, organic carbon particles were often mixed with sulfate and sometimes nitrate and ammonium. Since the number of analyzed particles matching the \( \theta_e \) criteria was small, the following sets of categories were combined in our analysis: dust with biological particles and EC with OC–EC mixed particles. Percentages for each particle type were calculated from the number of particles detected in each category and the total number of particles analyzed within the \( \theta_e \) criterion. This total ranged from 33 particles for flight 1 to 198 for flight 12.

Correlations between particle percentage in each of the six categories and ice concentration were examined, with significance testing given in Table 4 (first six rows of data). No significant relationships were found when percentages only were used. However, ice concentrations would be expected to correlate with the number concentration of preferred nuclei rather than the percent of nuclei relative to other particle types. Therefore, the percentage of particle types in each category was multiplied by the total number of particles greater than 0.1 \( \mu m \) as measured by the UHSAS, which measures a similar size range to the A-ATOFMS. Using this estimate of the number concentration of particles of each type, two correlations with ice crystal concentration were significant: 1) the number concentration of biomass-burning particles and 2) the number concentration of combined EC and EC–OC particles. While not shown in a figure, concentrations in both of these categories were highest for the high-ice flight 12, in agreement with the SP-2 that measured high concentrations of rBC on this day. Both A-ATOFMS and electron microscopy data indicated that biomass-burning particles at cloud level were usually internally mixed with substantial sulfate, indicative of atmospheric aging. Hudson et al. (2004) and Pratt and Prather (2010) determined that biomass-burning particles comprised approximately 1/3 of the particles detected in the North American free troposphere.

Gross et al. (1988) found that woody stems of Prunus contained active ice nucleation sites that were deactivated at higher temperatures than bacterial ice nuclei and were stable when treated with bacterial ice nucleation inhibitors. Petters et al. (2009) showed that biomass-burning particles could be ice nuclei. Their IN efficiencies range from as low as 0 to as high as 1 in every 100 particles, depending on the type of biomass fuel burned; however, the actual compounds responsible for ice nucleation were not directly identified. Sassen and Khvorostyanov (2008) also found evidence for aerosols within boreal biomass smoke nucleating ice at relatively warm temperatures. Insoluble organics and soot both were predicted by Phillips et al. (2008) to produce most of the heterogeneous ice crystals in a modeled biomass-burning-influenced cloud. Further examination of the chemical composition of the ICE-L biomass-burning particles and how they interacted with clouds during flight 12 can be found in Pratt et al. (2010b).

### Table 4. Significance of relationships between ATOFMS aerosol chemistry and ice number concentration in clouds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATOFMS category</th>
<th>( r^2 )</th>
<th>( r )</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( \theta_{bc} )</th>
<th>( \theta_{est} )</th>
<th>Reject?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crustal–biological</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>(−) 0.30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>(−) 0.64</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>(+) 0.57</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic carbon (OC)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>(−) 0.33</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC and OC–EC mix</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>(+) 0.37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate–nitrate only</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>(−) 0.45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crustal–biological</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>(−) 0.05</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>(−) 0.64</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>(+) 0.95</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic carbon (OC)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>(+) 0.75</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC and OC–EC mix</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>(+) 0.81</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate–nitrate</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>(+) 0.59</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Relationship between refractory black carbon concentration and ice concentration for ICE-L wave cloud flights. Both total (0.08 \( \mu m \)) and 0.1–\( \mu m \) diameter particles containing rBC as measured by the SP-2 are shown. Error bars represent a 95% confidence interval for the sample means \([\pm(\text{standard error} \times 1.96)]\). Uncertainty in the measurement itself is estimated at 20% for ice concentration and 10% for rBC concentration.
Surprisingly, no significant correlation with dust and biological particles was found with ice at $-24^\circ > T > -29^\circ \text{C}$. However, these particles were only found in any substantial percentage on flight 1. Since the number of clear-air particles detected by the A-ATOFMS on this day was low, the dataset was extended to include clear-air particles detected by the A-ATOFMS on this flight. A-ATOFMS categories may give slightly different results than for the A-ATOFMS. In particular, internal mixing of sulfate and organic carbon with soot (detected as elemental carbon) was commonly observed by the A-ATOFMS; in fact, inferred number concentrations of particles containing EC were about a factor of 8 higher than rBC number concentrations measured by the SP-2, probably because of small inclusions that were below its 0.08-$\mu$m mass-equivalent diameter threshold. Although STEM can readily detect large soot particles by their morphology, smaller soot internally mixed with other particles is only apparent with high-resolution imaging, which was not feasible for all particles analyzed. Therefore, soot internally mixed with other particles is underestimated by the technique. For ICE-L, while some mixed and unmixed soot particles were observed via STEM, the numbers were too few to determine significant correlations.

The STEM provides information on the morphology of various nonvolatile particle types (Fig. 5). Two types of organic carbon were observed: round particles that appear to have been liquid upon impaction, as evidenced by satellite rings, and more irregular, apparently solid particles. Although they cannot be positively identified by STEM, the latter type may be biological. This particle type was observed in particularly high quantities on flight 1, where biological particles were also identified by the A-ATOFMS, as discussed by Pratt et al. (2009a). Particles identified as originating from biomass burning were heterogeneous, exhibiting a variety of different morphologies.

To improve sampling statistics, STEM categorization results for the small and large impactor stage of each sample were combined for comparison with ice concentrations. Results of correlations between STEM categories and ice concentrations are given in Table 5. Ice concentrations are positively correlated with biomass-burning aerosols (in terms of percent) and also correlated with biomass-burning, sulfate, organic, and mixed particle types when the number concentration of particles larger than 0.10 $\mu$m are taken into account. While sulfate itself is not thought to be involved in ice nucleation, biomass-burning aerosols as observed by the
A-ATOFMS and STEM were found to be internally mixed with sulfate, probably due to atmospheric aging. The C-ToF-AMS also showed the highest sulfate mass associated with flight 12, which was rich in biomass-burning particle types. Surprisingly, crustal material and metals measured by the STEM were not significantly correlated with ice concentrations, despite the fact that some types have been observed to nucleate ice in the laboratory and the atmosphere. This may simply be due to high uncertainty in their concentrations, since the number of these particles actually measured was only a few per sample. However, mixed particle types, which contained crustal dust, metals, or soot internally mixed with soluble material like sulfate or other salts, were measured in higher percentages. When combined with UHSAS number concentrations, these mixed particles were found to be positively correlated with ice concentrations.

4) CHEMICAL COMPOSITION BY C-ToF-AMS

The C-ToF-AMS measured mass concentrations of sulfate, nitrate, total organics, ammonium, and chloride (the latter underestimated because a large fraction is refractory). Mass concentrations measured by the AMS were not multiplied by a collection efficiency because of the lack of an appropriate mass standard on the plane. Higher-frequency measurements from the C-ToF-AMS were combined into 30-s average mass concentrations that were used for statistical analysis. Most species were near detection limits except for sulfate and total organics. Mass to charge (m/z) values of 23, 39, 44, 57, and

![Fig. 5. Examples of different types of particles imaged and analyzed via electron microscopy.](image-url)
60 (nonquantitative markers for nonrefractory sodium, nonrefractory potassium, oxygenated organic aerosols, hydrocarbon-like organic aerosols, and biomass burning, respectively) were examined but were not meaningful, given the very low mass loadings. Even sulfate exhibited quite low mass concentrations in this region, from a mean of 0.03 μg m\(^{-3}\) on flight 1 to a maximum of 0.24 μg m\(^{-3}\) on flight 12. Table 6 shows that none of the chemical species measured by the C-ToF-AMS could be significantly tied to ice concentrations, except for sulfate. As discussed previously, the significant correlation with sulfate is likely due to the internal mixing of sulfate with other species in aged particles emitted from biomass burning. Additionally, since sulfate contributed most of the mass, sulfate mass is also likely correlated with the number concentrations of large particles, which in turn are related to ice crystal concentrations.

d. Ice nuclei

Ice nuclei number concentrations were measured with the Colorado State University continuous flow diffusion chamber (CFDC; Rogers et al. 2001; Eidhammer et al. 2010) under various temperature and water supersaturation conditions. In addition to removing sampling periods that did not fulfill the ϑe criteria used in aerosol analyses or when sampling was in series with the CVI inlet, periods that replicated homogeneous freezing (high supersaturation with respect to water and \(T < -35^\circ\mathrm{C}\)) were eliminated from data presented here. The instrument was operated in a liquid water supersaturated mode, so heterogeneous condensation and immersion-freezing processes were simulated. Because the amount of data remaining was relatively small and no discernable or significant variation with temperature alone was observed for the dataset as a whole (DM), IN concentrations were not restricted to processing conditions of \(-24^\circ > T > -29^\circ\) (as for the cloud passes); actual processing temperatures included here range from about \(-23^\circ\) to \(-34^\circ\). Because of low sample volumes and high variability of the IN data, they were first smoothed with a 60-s running average and then averaged for each flight as per the clear-air ϑe screening processes described earlier.

Figure 6 shows the relationship between mean IN concentration and average cloud ice for the selected wave cloud flights and the sampling criteria noted. While the higher IN concentrations do correspond to the flights with higher cloud ice concentrations, all the mean values are quite small. The relationship between IN and ice is not significant at a probability level of 0.05 (Table 3), although it would be significant if the probability level was relaxed to 0.15. In addition to the small sample size of five cases, several other factors could explain this relatively weak statistical significance.

First, IN concentrations of \(~1\) L\(^{-1}\) as observed in ICE-L are very low; in fact, the ICE-L values are the lowest measured of eight different airborne field projects throughout the world (DM). This highlights how deficient in IN the air sampled in ICE-L often was. Measurements at these IN levels are extremely challenging, especially for the small sample volumes (1 L min\(^{-1}\) sampling rate) possible during the limited time of operation at constant aircraft flight altitudes. In addition, the background counts from frost (determined by alternating periods of sampling with and without a high-efficiency filter) in CFDC-type instruments (Richardson et al. 2007) at times equal or exceed these low IN concentrations and limit periods of measurable IN signal. For consideration of the Poisson arrival process that the
IN measurement represents, the minimum uncertainty in IN concentrations at 1 L⁻¹ depends on the sampling time interval. This uncertainty ranges from 0.2 to 0.4 L⁻¹ for the 7- to 31-min time periods averaged in Fig. 6. Furthermore, a lower detection limit of 0.3 L⁻¹ has been experimentally determined for 5-min sample periods with the CFDC (Prenni et al. 2009). Also, while IN were generally sparse in the ICE-L environment, IN concentrations were quite variable and often detected in discrete layers and regions. These may not be well represented in the final average values or be fully representative of the air actually included in the cloud ice averages, despite our efforts to find comparable θₑ ranges and time periods.

When the IN data were averaged over different time periods not constrained to the θₑ ranges given by the cloud passes in our temperature range (−24°C > T > −29°C), higher IN concentrations sometimes resulted. For example, Fig. 7 shows IN concentrations tabulated using all available clear-air data for flights 1 and 3, plotted against the number concentration of rBC from the SP-2 as well as against the number concentration larger than 0.5 μm from the UHSAS. These two flights are notable because sampling also included two actively burning biomass-burning plumes (not directly associated with the wave clouds sampled on those days). When points inside and outside the biomass plumes are included, both relationships are significant at the 0.05 probability level (not shown in tables). The IN number concentrations in the biomass-burning plumes are one to two orders of magnitude higher than in the background atmosphere in this region. However, IN in the plumes alone (e.g., open triangles) do not show a clear positive relationship with refractory black carbon, suggesting that rBC may not be the primary source, or the only source, of ice nucleating material present. Additionally, it should be cautioned that the fits shown in Fig. 7 apply to the conditions and plumes sampled during ICE-L, and other biomass-burning scenarios may exhibit very different characteristics (Petters et al. 2009).

4. Summary and discussion

Ice crystal concentrations in orographic wave clouds at temperatures of −24°C > T > −29°C were compared to aerosol characteristics in nearby clear air with similar equivalent potential temperature values for five flights during ICE-L. Since some of the highest ice concentrations were associated with clouds showing sedimentation from colder temperatures, only data from vertically isolated clouds were included in this analysis to focus on heterogeneously nucleated ice. For these isolated clouds, mean ice concentrations varied by about a factor of 10 from a low on flight 4 (18 Nov 2007) to a high on flight 12 (16 Dec 2007). Several significant correlations were observed between clear-air aerosol characteristics and mean ice concentrations. Also, measured clear-air IN concentrations, while low and variable, were of similar magnitude to the measured in-cloud ice concentrations.

Mean ice concentrations were not shown to be related with total aerosol concentration but were positively and significantly correlated with aerosol number concentrations larger than both 0.1 and 0.5 μm diameter. In addition, ice concentration was related to refractory black carbon concentration measured by the SP-2 and elemental carbon detected by the A-ATOFMS. Both the A-ATOFMS and the STEM technique showed that the number concentrations of aerosols from biomass burning were positively correlated with ice concentration. Measurements of smoke plumes during biomass burning were related to ice concentrations.

While correlation does not necessarily signify causation, these relationships observed by several complementary techniques offer strong support for the idea that larger particles emitted by biomass burning play an important role in ice nucleation. Larger particles have also been shown to be correlated with measured ice nuclei.
concentrations (DM). Two likely reasons include the presence of more potential ice nucleating sites in larger particles and the inherent tendency for well-known ice nucleators such as silicates and metals to be large in size, since they are produced mechanically rather than through gas to particle conversion. Our results also show that fresh and aged biomass-burning plumes are apparently a source of ice nuclei. Biomass-burning aerosols are diverse and often contain soot carbon as well as a range of organic and inorganic material. Most prior results show that soot is not a very efficient ice nucleus, and Petters et al. (2009) found that fresh biomass smokes with more soot did not necessarily contain more IN. Therefore, the strong correlations of soot with ice concentrations in our study are not necessarily due to its ice nucleating ability but may be due to its ubiquitous presence in air masses influenced by biomass-burning plumes.

Small amounts of mineral dust or biological particles could have been lofted and transported to our sampling site in conjunction with biomass-burning aerosol (Diehl et al. 2007). However, ice nucleation characteristics of some biomass-burning aerosols reported in Petters et al. (2009) are unlikely to derive from dust, since similar IN efficiencies were observed whether or not the plant material was washed to remove surface particulates prior to burning (M. D. Petters 2009, personal communication). Because of the heterogeneous nature of biomass-burning particles (Fig. 5) and the very small percentage of particles that actually act as ice nuclei, the specific material responsible for ice nucleation cannot be definitely determined by this study. Based on the available evidence, we hypothesize that at least in some cases, it is some insoluble and heat-stable component of the plant material itself. Further studies are clearly needed.

The nucleating efficiency for biomass-burning particles (in terms of number of nuclei per number of particles) may be lower than for more powerful ice nucleators such as dust and some biological material. However, biomass-burning particles are often present in greater number concentrations and so still may be important, particularly in locations where the better-known ice nucleators are scarce and where ice concentrations are relatively low, such as we observed in the ICE-L region. Future studies using a CVI to collect and evaporate ice crystals, a CFDC to activate the portion of ice residue that acts as IN, and chemical measurement of those particles could isolate the specific components of biomass-burning aerosols that may be responsible for heterogeneous ice nucleation.
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