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Abstract

Grizzly bears have been moving slowly southward in the Tetons and increasing their abundance over the last 20 years. Their distribution is now expanding into areas previously occupied by black bears only that are heavily developed and receive proportionately more recreational use. At the same time, white pine blister rust and other human-caused factors may reduce bear food abundance in the near future. Grand Teton National Park is now positioned for an unprecedented interplay of bears, declining bear food sources, and humans in a relatively small temporal and spatial scale. In a new study designed to provide information critical to future black and grizzly bear conservation in this area, 6 spread spectrum technology (SST) GPS radio collars were deployed on black bears in the southern end of Grand Teton National Park in 2005. SST collars allow interrogation of the data in the GPS unit on a regular basis. GPS units were programmed to record positions every 90 to 190 minutes, and data were downloaded aerially on a weekly basis. Four female bears, two with cubs of the year, an adult male, and a subadult male provided the bulk of approximately 2700 positions obtained in 2005, about 150 of which were visited for detailed site analysis. Sites visited were based on a randomly selected 24 hour period for each bear each week, and all sites were visited within a week of the location’s recording. Efforts in 2006 will focus more intensively on site visits and associated data collection and analyses.

Introduction

Like most protected areas across the West, Grand Teton National Park (GTNP) has experienced the pressure of gradual but consistent increasing recreation use and development. This is particularly true in the south end of the park, where both front and backcountry use is intense, park development densities are highest, large blocks of Teton County private lands abut the park’s borders and the town of Jackson is just a stone’s throw away. With these increased pressures have come escalating black bear-human conflicts, well illustrated by the 14 bears killed in Teton County by Wyoming authorities in the year 2002 alone. Furthermore, ecological changes, particularly the recolonization of wolves in the park, whitebark pine (Pinus albicaulis) blister rust
infection, potential changes in ungulate numbers and distribution due to disease or related intensive management programs, and the slow but steady march of the Yellowstone grizzly population southward, also figure prominently on the landscape. The additive properties of these changes in GTNP have exacerbated the need for complex, potentially unprecedented human and wildlife management strategies. Scientific knowledge in several key areas of black bear habitat use, interactions with other species, and relationships with human activities will be critical in the development of these strategies.

Grizzly bears have been moving slowly southward in the Teton and increasing their abundance over the last 20 years (Pyare et al. 2004, Schwartz et al. 2002). In addition, their distribution is slowly but surely expanding into southern areas previously occupied by black bears only that are heavily developed and receive proportionately more recreational use. At the same time, white pine blister rust and other human-caused factors may reduce bear food abundance in the near future. Thus, the Park is now experiencing an unprecedented interplay of bears, declining bear food sources, and humans in a relatively small temporal and spatial scale. The distribution of black and grizzly bears in GTNP, the associated infestation of blister rust, the complex ungulate management system, and a broad spectrum of human influences provide a compelling incentive to investigate the effects of changing food abundance on both resident grizzly and black bear populations, and the associated impacts on the bear-human interface. From a management perspective, black bear movement and habitat use data from the southern Teton are essential for predicting, documenting, and mitigating changes in the bear-human interface, both in terms of providing for long-term bear conservation and minimizing bear-human confrontations.

In an on-going study in the park’s more remote northern half, GTNP and the Interagency Grizzly Bear Study Team (IBGST) are investigating relationships among recreation, grizzly and black bear habitat use, and the implications of potentially declining food sources. The northern study presents a unique opportunity to conduct this companion work in the southern end of the park on black bears, thus contrasting 1) high vs. low recreation use and development, and 2) grizzly- occupied vs. grizzly-free effects on how black bears use the landscape and interact with humans. The results of both studies will help us predict how bear-bear and bear-human relationships may change as grizzlies colonize the southern Teton. This will aid National Park Service, U.S. Forest Service, state, county, and city managers in planning future recreation management appropriately, with long-term bear conservation as a primary component. Results of this study will also help land managers mitigate current effects of recreation on black bears and reduce human-bear conflicts.

As food resources become more limited, the competition between black and grizzly bears will amplify. Scenarios discussed above suggest an increase in bear-human confrontations as a result of declining foods and the potential influence of ungulate management practices. Accordingly, this study and the companion northern study will provide information on habitat use and foraging habits of these two overlapping bear populations, a more detailed understanding of how black bears use the ecosystem, how grizzly bears interface with black bears that share the landscape, how each of them interface with ungulate management programs and share the landscape with humans, and, finally, what changes might be expected as major bear foods decline and grizzly bears increase their use of the southern Teton. This information is critical for providing a solid foundation to direct adaptive management policies for bears, ungulates, and human recreation within GRTE (Grand Teton National Park). This work, therefore, represents a compelling combination of research, management, and conservation interest.

Objectives

The overriding objectives of this study are to:

1) Evaluate black bear movements, activity patterns, and habitat use in response to patterns of visitor use and development, and use of whitebark pine and other foods and habitat resources.
2) Evaluate consequences of future interspecific competition between grizzly and black bears in the southern Teton and the potential effects on human-bear conflicts.

STUDY AREA

This research is being conducted in the southern Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem, specifically within the southern half of GTNP (Fig. 1) where grizzly bears are absent or occur infrequently and at low density. GTNP is located in northwest Wyoming, just south of Yellowstone National Park. The Teton Range runs north to south approximately 40 miles and is bordered to the west by Idaho’s Teton
Valley, to the east by Jackson Hole, to the north by Yellowstone National Park, and to the south by the Snake River mountain range. Seven glacial lakes and associated drainages lie east of the range, creating numerous riparian corridors. The study area will focus from Leigh Canyon to the southern border of the park. The area is surrounded by National Forest to the East and West. The southern border is lined with several housing subdivisions, a ski resort and the National Elk Refuge. The study area encompasses the valley floor, riparian corridors along the Snake River, alpine lakes, and the peaks of the Teton Range. The habitat is very diverse, ranging from valley sagebrush-grassland meadows to whitebark pine and subalpine fir stands.

**METHODS**

**Trapping and radio-collaring**

All trapping was conducted with culvert traps at low elevations on or near the valley floor, in areas away from human activity. In 2005, trapping began 20 May and ended on 29 September. Traps were baited with meat from elk, moose, or deer roadkills within the park, and were set at 6 different locations for a total of 219 trap nights (Table 1). Captured bears were fitted with two ear tags and a pit tag, a vestigial pre-molar was taken for aging, hair and blood samples were obtained, and several measurements were taken. Those bears meeting sex, age and weight requirements were fitted with Telonics spread spectrum technology (SST) downloadable GPS radio collars. This technology allows for interrogation of the collar to collect stored GPS fixes on a regular schedule. All bears were released on site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Total Trap Nights</th>
<th>Successful Trap Nights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whitegrass</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaufman JY</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenny Lake</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JY North</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lupine Meadows</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaver Creek</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>219</strong></td>
<td><strong>12</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Black bear trap nights in Grand Teton National Park.

Female and male collars were programmed to record positions every 190 and 90 minutes, respectively. Telonics CR2-A collar decouplers were programmed to release collars from all individuals on October 1, 2006. The SST units were also programmed for downloading communication every Tuesday and Thursday from 0800hrs - 1200hrs MDT.

Generally, downloads occurred during weekly flights. From each week’s data sets, a 24 hour period of locations was then chosen randomly for each bear, and all recorded positions were visited for detailed site analyses within a week’s time of occupancy. Target sites were not visited when marked bears were nearby so as to not affect their movements.

**Site Visits**

Bear use of each site was determined by conducting searches within 15 meters of the locations. Each location was investigated for grazing, log rips, tree cambium tears, day beds, scats, digs, tree rubs, carcasses and several other feeding and non feeding activities (Appendix 1*). An established feeding site protocol developed by the IGBST (Mattson 1989, Mattson and Blasche 1990, Podruzny and Schwartz 2002) was followed. Detailed information included (1) physical site characteristics, (2) vegetation, and (3) black bear activity. Procedures included (1) a standard variable-radius forest overstory inventory plot, (2) cover and frequency of bear foods from microplots, (3) a plant species list with cover, abundance, and phenology ratings, (4) standard site parameters, and (5) feeding and bedding activity analysis (Mattson and Blasche 1990). We used Hitchcock and Cronquist (1973) as the definitive flora, Pfister et al. (1977) and Steele et al. (1983) for standard climax habitat types, and the classifications of Despain (1986) for forest cover types. All bear scats at feed sites and scats encountered during travels among sites were
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collected. Black bear food habits will be estimated from feeding site analyses and from laboratory analysis of scats. Bear species identification will be determined using polymerase chain reaction amplification and mitrochondrial DNA determination following the procedures of Waits (1996). Evidence of various feeding activities is variably observable and easily digestible food items can be under-documented in fecal analyses. By using both techniques, food items will be identified that one technique alone might miss. Collected scats will be air-dried and their volume measured by volumetric displacement. After softening by soaking in water, the scats will be washed through 2 screens (holes 0.125 and 0.0328 inches) to retain coarse and fine material. All items will be identified to species when possible and the percent volume for each item will be visually estimated (Mattson et al. 1991).

Forage items (>5%) identified in bear scats will be collected at bear feeding sites and random locations. Samples will be oven-dried at 40°C for 48 hours and then stored in plastic bags in a freezer pending chemical analysis. If funding is available, dried samples will be analyzed for crude protein, dietary fiber, and ash (Pritchard and Robbins 1990). Root samples will also be analyzed for starch content. Because we did not know details of annual food habits prior to scat collection and analysis, we used previously published data (Barnes 1967, Graham 1978, Mealey 1980, Hammond 1983, Harting 1985, Mack 1988, Mattson et al. 1991, Holm 1998) to guide forage reference collections efforts the first year. In the following year, we will rely on results from scat analyses and published information. We anticipate that there will be approximately 12-15 major food items for the black bear.

### SOME PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Six female and 5 male black bears were trapped in 2005. Radio collars were deployed on 4 female and 3 male bears, although one male bear dropped its collar within a week of deployment (Table 2). Two females (22220 and 22212) had 2 cubs of the year each when captured. Collar downloading flights began on 21 June, after the first bear was collared, and continued weekly until 25 October. A total of nearly 2700 locations were gathered during this period (Table 2, Appendix 2*), about 150 of which were visited for detailed documentation of habitat, feed site, and behavioral attributes. Sites not visited will also eventually be analyzed through remote sensing techniques.

Two bears captured in 2005 had been captured previously. Bear 22046 was captured in July 2004 and fitted with a store-on-board GPS collar. She had been observed early in 2005 with a cub of the year, but was in estrus when caught in August, indicating her cub had died sometime previously. Bear 22212, a very old female, had been caught in the Tetons in August 2002 during an earlier black bear study led by the Wildlife Conservation Society, but was no longer wearing a radio collar when caught in 2005. The remaining nine bears had not been captured before.

On a somewhat subjective, body indexing scale, all bears appeared to be in good physical condition. More objectively, fat measurements showed gradual increases in fat content throughout the summer, as would be expected; none of the bears had outlying measurements (Fig 2). Age of bears ranged from 1 to 20 years, with only the oldest bear showing signs of severely worn teeth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capture</th>
<th>Bear</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Collar</th>
<th>GPS Location</th>
<th>GPS Position</th>
<th>Habitat Plots</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19/6/05</td>
<td>22220</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Kaufman</td>
<td>773</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2 cubs of the year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/7/05</td>
<td>22221</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Kaufman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/7/05</td>
<td>22222</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Kaufman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/7/05</td>
<td>22223</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Kaufman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/7/05</td>
<td>22224</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Kaufman</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/8/05</td>
<td>22046</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>JY North</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>in estrus when captured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/8/05</td>
<td>22225</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Kaufman</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Shed collar 8/11/05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/8/05</td>
<td>22226</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>JY North</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/8/05</td>
<td>22227</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Jenny L.</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21/9/05</td>
<td>22212</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>JY North</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 cubs of the year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29/9/05</td>
<td>22228</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Kaufman</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2483</td>
<td>123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Collar status, GPS positions, collection, and habitat plots documented for black bears, 2005

*Appendices are available upon request. Contact UW-NPS through our website www.uwyo.edu/uwnps
positions collected and plots documented as of October 14, 2005

As of the last flight on 25 October, all four collared females were denned in the Death Canyon area (Fig. 3). Bear 22228, an adult male, discovered 2 elk carcasses in mid to late October, and had not denned by this flight. Bear 22224, a subadult male, was northeast of the Gros Ventre Range’s Green Mountain feeding on whitebark pine nuts in late October and had also not denned. Bear 22223, which was not collared, was harvested in Idaho by a hunter in October.

We documented bears using a wide variety of foods in 2005. Hawthorn (*Crataegus douglasii*) berries were an important food to three females in September and October (Fig. 4). An additional unmarked sow with 2 cubs of the year was also observed focusing on hawthorn berries during this time. Several of the females worked hawthorn thickets that were either along the Moose- Wilson road or in the general Moose-Wilson corridor area (Fig. 5). Hawthorn shrubs are not specific to this area but thrive in the southwestern part of the park. These preliminary data clearly illustrate the importance of this area and hawthorn to black bears.

Bear 22224, a two-year-old male, left the park and frequented a 4 mile stretch of the Fish Creek road to Teton Village corridor during July and August. This area shares many of the same excellent bear habitat features that occur in the southern end of the park, but has been somewhat of a mortality pit for park bears because of the many unsecured human food sources associated with residential development. In recent years, at least 3 park bears with no nuisance history in the park have been euthanized in this area by the Wyoming Game and Fish Department (WGFD). WGFD was
actively pursuing at least one nuisance bear during the period 22224 was in the area in 2005, but he was never trapped. He made a long, northeast movement to the Gros Ventre range by September (see Appendix 2*).

FUTURE DIRECTION

The 2006 field season will focus on conducting detailed habitat and feeding site inventories at sites downloaded from the bears currently radio-instrumented, as soon as they emerge from dens, and collaring additional bears to increase sample size as funding permits. In addition, high priority will be given to establishing an additional habitat field crew to increase our capacity for site visits and inventories.
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