

2008

## Eigenvalues of partially prescribed matrices

Marija Dodig  
dodig@cii.fc.ul.pt

Follow this and additional works at: <http://repository.uwyo.edu/ela>

---

### Recommended Citation

Dodig, Marija. (2008), "Eigenvalues of partially prescribed matrices", *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, Volume 17.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.13001/1081-3810.1266>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Wyoming Scholars Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra by an authorized editor of Wyoming Scholars Repository. For more information, please contact [scholcom@uwyo.edu](mailto:scholcom@uwyo.edu).

## EIGENVALUES OF PARTIALLY PRESCRIBED MATRICES\*

MARIJA DODIG†

**Abstract.** In this paper, loop connections of two linear systems are studied. As the main result, the possible eigenvalues of a matrix of a system obtained as a result of these connections are determined.

**Key words.** Loop connections, Feedback equivalence, Eigenvalues.

**AMS subject classifications.** 93B05, 15A21.

**1. Introduction.** Consider two linear systems  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , given by the following equations:

$$S_i \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}_i = A_i x_i + B_i u_i \\ y_i = C_i x_i \end{cases} \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where  $A_i \in \mathbb{K}^{n_i \times n_i}$  is usually called *the matrix of the system*  $S_i$ ,  $B_i \in \mathbb{K}^{n_i \times m_i}$ ,  $C_i \in \mathbb{K}^{p_i \times n_i}$ ,  $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Also,  $x_i$  is the state,  $y_i$  is the output and  $u_i$  is the input of the system  $S_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ; for details see [4].

By *loop (or closed) connections* of the linear systems  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  we mean connections where the input of  $S_2$  is a linear function of the output of  $S_1$ , and the input of  $S_1$  is a linear function of the output of  $S_2$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} u_2 &= \bar{X}_1 y_1 \\ u_1 &= \bar{X}_2 y_2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{X}_1 \in \mathbb{K}^{m_2 \times p_1}$  and  $\bar{X}_2 \in \mathbb{K}^{m_1 \times p_2}$ . As a result of this connection we obtain a system  $S$  with the state  $\begin{bmatrix} x_1^T & x_2^T \end{bmatrix}^T$ , and the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & B_1 \bar{X}_2 C_2 \\ B_2 \bar{X}_1 C_1 & A_2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1.1)$$

Analogously to [1], we shall only consider the systems  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  with the properties  $\text{rank } B_1 = n_1$  and  $\text{rank } C_2 = n_2$ . Hence, studying the properties of the system  $S$  gives the following matrix completion problem:

---

\* Received by the editors June 5, 2007. Accepted for publication June 8, 2008. Handling Editor: Joao Filipe Queiro.

† Centro de Estruturas Lineares e Combinatórias, CELC, Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Prof. Gama Pinto 2, 1649-003 Lisboa, Portugal (dodig@cii.fc.ul.pt).

PROBLEM 1.1. *Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field. Determine possible eigenvalues of the matrix*

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & X_2 \\ B_2 X_1 C_1 & A_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.2)$$

when matrices  $X_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{m_2 \times p_1}$  and  $X_2 \in \mathbb{F}^{n_1 \times n_2}$  vary.

Similar completion problems have been studied in papers by G. N. de Oliveira [6], [7], [8],[9], E. M. de Sá [10], R. C. Thompson [13] and F. C. Silva [11], [12]. In the last two papers, F. C. Silva solved two special cases of Problem 1.1, both in the case when eigenvalues of the matrix (1.2) belong to the field  $\mathbb{F}$ . In [11], he solves the Problem 1.1 in the case when  $\text{rank } B_2 = n_2$  and  $\text{rank } C_1 = m_1$ . Moreover, in [12], he solves the Problem 1.1 in the case when the matrix  $X_1$  is known.

This paper is a natural generalization of those results. As the main result (Theorem 3.1), we give a complete solution of Problem 1.1 in the case when the eigenvalues of the matrix (1.2) belong to  $\mathbb{F}$ , and  $\mathbb{F}$  is an infinite field. In particular, this gives the complete solution of Problem 1.1 over algebraically closed fields. Moreover, in Theorem 4.2, we study the possible eigenvalues of the matrix (1.1) in the case when  $\text{rank } C_1 = n_1$  and  $\text{rank } C_2 = n_2$  while  $\text{rank } B_1 = \text{rank } B_2 = 1$ . In this special case, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of matrices  $\bar{X}_1$  and  $\bar{X}_2$  such that the matrix (1.1) has prescribed eigenvalues, over algebraically closed fields.

Since the proof of the main result strongly uses previous results from [11] and [12], we cite here the main result of [12], written in its transposed form, as it will be used later in the proof of Theorem 3.1:

THEOREM 1.2. *Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field. Let  $c_1, \dots, c_{m+n} \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $A_{11} \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times m}$ ,  $A_{21} \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$ , and  $A_{22} \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ . Let  $f_1(\lambda) | \dots | f_m(\lambda)$  be the invariant factors of  $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda I_m - A_{11} \\ -A_{21} \end{bmatrix}$ , and let  $g_1(\lambda) | \dots | g_n(\lambda)$  be the invariant factors of  $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda I_n - A_{22} & -A_{21} \end{bmatrix}$ .*

*There exists  $A_{12} \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$  such that the matrix*

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.3)$$

has eigenvalues  $c_1, \dots, c_{m+n}$  if and only if the following conditions hold:

- (a)  $c_1 + \dots + c_{n+m} = \text{tr } A_{11} + \text{tr } A_{22}$
- (b)  $f_1(\lambda) \cdots f_m(\lambda)g_1(\lambda) \cdots g_n(\lambda)|(\lambda - c_1) \cdots (\lambda - c_{n+m})$
- (c) One of the following conditions is satisfied:
  - (c<sub>1</sub>) For every  $\nu \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $A_{21}A_{11} + A_{22}A_{21} \neq \nu A_{21}$
  - (c<sub>2</sub>)  $A_{21}A_{11} + A_{22}A_{21} = \nu A_{21}$   
 with  $\nu \in \mathbb{F}$ , and there exists a permutation  
 $\pi : \{1, \dots, m+n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m+n\}$  such that  

$$c_{\pi(2i-1)} + c_{\pi(2i)} = \nu$$
 for every  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , where  $l = \text{rank } A_{21}$ , and  

$$c_{\pi(2l+1)}, \dots, c_{\pi(m+n)}$$
 are the roots of  $f_1(\lambda) \cdots f_m(\lambda)g_1(\lambda) \cdots g_n(\lambda)$ .

**2. Notation and technical results.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field. All the polynomials in this paper are considered to be monic. If  $f$  is a polynomial,  $d(f)$  denotes its degree. If  $\psi_1 | \cdots | \psi_n$  are invariant factors of a polynomial matrix  $A(\lambda)$  over  $\mathbb{F}[\lambda]$ ,  $\text{rank } A(\lambda) = n$ , then we assume  $\psi_i = 1$ , for any  $i \leq 0$ , and  $\psi_i = 0$ , for any  $i \geq n+1$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $A, A' \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ ,  $B, B' \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times l}$ . Two matrices

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \end{bmatrix}, \quad K' = \begin{bmatrix} A' & B' \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.1}$$

are said to be feedback equivalent if there exists a nonsingular matrix

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} N & 0 \\ V & T \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $N \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ ,  $V \in \mathbb{F}^{l \times n}$ ,  $T \in \mathbb{F}^{l \times l}$ , such that  $K' = N^{-1}KP$ .

It is easy to verify that two matrices of the form (2.1) are feedback equivalent if and only if the corresponding matrix pencils

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I - A & -B \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } R' = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I - A' & -B' \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.2}$$

are strictly equivalent, i.e., if there exist invertible matrices  $D \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  and  $T \in \mathbb{F}^{(n+l) \times (n+l)}$  such that  $R = DR'T$ ; for details see [5].

By invariant polynomials of the matrix  $K$  from (2.1), we mean invariant factors of the corresponding matrix pencil  $R$  from (2.2).

Let  $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  and  $B \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$ . Denote by  $S(A, B)$  the controllability matrix of the pair  $(A, B)$ , i.e.,

$$S(A, B) = [ B \quad AB \quad A^2B \quad \dots \quad A^{n-1}B ] \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times nm}.$$

If  $\text{rank } S(A, B) = n$ , then we say that the pair  $(A, B)$  is controllable.

LEMMA 2.2. ([1, Lemma 3.4], [2]) *Let  $(A, B) \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$  be such that  $\text{rank } S(A, B) = r$ . Then there exists a nonsingular matrix  $P \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  such that*

$$PAP^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ 0 & A_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad PB = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $(A_1, B_1) \in \mathbb{F}^{r \times r} \times \mathbb{F}^{r \times m}$  is a controllable pair. The pair  $(PAP^{-1}, PB)$  is called the Kalman decomposition of the pair  $(A, B)$ .

Moreover, the matrix  $A_1$  from (2.3), is called the restriction of the matrix  $A$  to the controllable space of the pair  $(A, B)$ . Also, recall that the nontrivial invariant polynomials of the matrix  $A_3$  from (2.3), coincide with the nontrivial invariant factors of the matrix pencil  $[\lambda I - A \quad -B]$ . By trivial polynomials we mean polynomials equal to 1.

Analogously to [3], we introduce the following definition:

DEFINITION 2.3. *Two polynomial matrices  $A(\lambda) \in \mathbb{F}[\lambda]^{n \times m}$  and  $B(\lambda) \in \mathbb{F}[\lambda]^{n \times m}$  are SP-equivalent if there exist an invertible matrix  $P \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  and an invertible polynomial matrix  $Q(\lambda) \in \mathbb{F}[\lambda]^{m \times m}$  such that*

$$PA(\lambda)Q(\lambda) = B(\lambda).$$

Also, we give the following proposition which follows from Proposition 2 in [3]:

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an infinite field and let  $A(\lambda) \in \mathbb{F}[\lambda]^{n \times n}$  with  $\det A(\lambda) \neq 0$ . Then  $A(\lambda)$  is SP-equivalent to a lower triangular matrix  $S(\lambda) = (s_{ij}(\lambda))$  with the following properties:*

1.  $s_{ii}(\lambda) = s_i(\lambda)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $s_1(\lambda) | \dots | s_n(\lambda)$  are the invariant factors of  $A(\lambda)$
2.  $s_{ii}(\lambda) | s_{ji}(\lambda)$  for all integers  $i, j$  with  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$
3. if  $i < j$  and  $s_{ji}(\lambda) \neq 0$  then  $s_{ji}(\lambda)$  is monic and  $d(s_{ii}(\lambda)) < d(s_{ji}(\lambda)) < d(s_{jj}(\lambda))$ .

The matrix  $S(\lambda)$  is called the SP-canonical form of the matrix  $A(\lambda)$ .

**3. Main result.** In the following theorem we give a solution to Problem 1.1, over infinite fields.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an infinite field. Let  $A_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{n_1 \times n_1}$ ,  $A_2 \in \mathbb{F}^{n_2 \times n_2}$ ,  $B_2 \in \mathbb{F}^{n_2 \times m_2}$  and  $C_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{p_1 \times n_1}$ . Let  $\rho_1 = \text{rank } B_2$  and  $\rho_2 = \text{rank } C_1$ . Let  $c_1, \dots, c_{n_1+n_2} \in \mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\phi(\lambda) = (\lambda - c_1) \cdots (\lambda - c_{n_1+n_2})$ . Let  $\alpha_1 | \cdots | \alpha_{n_1}$  be the invariant factors of*

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda I - A_1 \\ -C_1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}[\lambda]^{(n_1+p_1) \times n_1},$$

and  $\beta_1 | \cdots | \beta_{n_2}$  be the invariant factors of

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda I - A_2 & -B_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}[\lambda]^{n_2 \times (n_2+m_2)}.$$

Let  $\gamma_1 | \cdots | \gamma_x$ ,  $x = n_2 - d(\prod_{i=1}^{n_2} \beta_i)$ , be the invariant polynomials of the restriction of the matrix  $A_2$  to the controllable space of the pair  $(A_2, B_2)$ . Let  $\delta_1 | \cdots | \delta_y$ ,  $y = n_1 - d(\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} \alpha_i)$ , be the invariant polynomials of the restriction of the matrix  $A_1$  to the controllable space of the pair  $(A_1^T, C_1^T)$ .

There exist matrices  $X_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{m_2 \times p_1}$  and  $X_2 \in \mathbb{F}^{n_1 \times n_2}$  such that the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & X_2 \\ B_2 X_1 C_1 & A_2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.1}$$

has  $c_1, \dots, c_{n_1+n_2}$  as eigenvalues if and only if the following conditions are valid:

- (i)  $\text{tr } A_1 + \text{tr } A_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1+n_2} c_i$ ,
- (ii)  $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n_1} \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n_2} \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{x-\rho_2} \delta_1 \cdots \delta_{y-\rho_1} | \phi(\lambda)$ ,
- (iii) If  $\rho_1 = x$ ,  $\rho_2 = y$ ,  $\gamma_i = \lambda - b$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, x$ , and  $\delta_i = \lambda - a$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, y$ , for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ , then there exists a permutation  $\pi : \{1, \dots, n_1 + n_2\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n_1 + n_2\}$  such that
 
$$c_{\pi(2i-1)} + c_{\pi(2i)} = a + b$$
 for every  $i = 1, \dots, \min\{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$  and
 
$$c_{\pi(2 \min\{\rho_1, \rho_2\} + 1)}, \dots, c_{\pi(n_1+n_2)}$$
 are the roots of  $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n_1} \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n_2} \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{x-\rho_2} \delta_1 \cdots \delta_{y-\rho_1}$ .

**REMARK 3.2.** Before proceeding, we shall give the equivalent form of Theorem 3.1 that we will actually prove.

Note that by Lemma 2.2, there exist invertible matrices  $P_i \in \mathbb{F}^{n_i \times n_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$Q \in \mathbb{F}^{m_2 \times m_2}$  and  $R \in \mathbb{F}^{p_1 \times p_1}$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_1^{-1}A_1P_1 \\ RC_1P_1 \end{bmatrix} = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c} T' & P' & S' \\ \hline 0 & H' & N' \\ 0 & E' & M' \\ \hline 0 & 0 & I_{\rho_2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right], \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_2B_2Q & P_2A_2P_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} 0 & I_{\rho_1} & M & N & S \\ \hline 0 & 0 & E & H & P \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & T \end{array} \right], \quad (3.3)$$

where  $T' \in \mathbb{F}^{(n_1-y) \times (n_1-y)}$ ,  $H' \in \mathbb{F}^{(y-\rho_2) \times (y-\rho_2)}$ ,  $M' \in \mathbb{F}^{\rho_2 \times \rho_2}$ ,  $T \in \mathbb{F}^{(n_2-x) \times (n_2-x)}$ ,  $H \in \mathbb{F}^{(x-\rho_1) \times (x-\rho_1)}$ ,  $M \in \mathbb{F}^{\rho_1 \times \rho_1}$ , and the pairs  $(H'^T, E'^T)$  and  $(H, E)$  are controllable.

Thus, the nontrivial among the polynomials  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_1}$ , and  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n_2}$ , coincide with the nontrivial invariant polynomials of the matrices  $T'$  and  $T$ , respectively.

Since the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} M & N \\ E & H \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^{x \times x}$$

is the restriction of the matrix  $A_2$  to the controllable space of the pair  $(A_2, B_2)$ , its invariant polynomials are  $\gamma_1 | \dots | \gamma_x$ . And, analogously,  $\delta_1 | \dots | \delta_y$  are the invariant polynomials of the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} H' & N' \\ E' & M' \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^{y \times y}.$$

Finally, in this way we have concluded that the matrix (3.1) is similar to the following one

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} T' & P' & S' & Z_2 \\ \hline 0 & H' & N' & \\ 0 & E' & M' & \\ \hline 0 & & Z_1 & M \ N \ S \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \frac{E \ H \ P}{0 \ 0 \ T} \end{array} \right], \quad (3.4)$$

where  $Z_2 = P_1^{-1}X_2P_2^{-1}$  and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & Z_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_{\rho_1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1}X_1R^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_{\rho_2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Z_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{\rho_1 \times \rho_2}.$$

In this notation, the theorem becomes:

There exist matrices  $Z_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{\rho_1 \times \rho_2}$  and  $Z_2 \in \mathbb{F}^{n_1 \times n_2}$  such that the matrix (3.4) has  $c_1, \dots, c_{n_1+n_2} \in \mathbb{F}$  as eigenvalues, if and only if the following conditions are valid:

- (i)'  $\text{tr } T' + \text{tr } H' + \text{tr } M' + \text{tr } T + \text{tr } H + \text{tr } M = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1+n_2} c_i,$
- (ii)'  $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n_1} \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n_2} \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{x-\rho_2} \delta_1 \cdots \delta_{y-\rho_1} |\phi(\lambda),$
- (iii)' One of the following statements is true:
  - (a) at least one of the matrices  $M$  or  $M'$  is not of the form  $\nu I$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  
or at least one of the matrices  $E$  or  $E'$  is nonzero.
  - (b)  $M' = aI_{\rho_2}$ ,  $M = bI_{\rho_1}$ ,  $E = 0$ ,  $E' = 0$ , with  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ , and there exists a permutation  $\pi : \{1, \dots, n_1 + n_2\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n_1 + n_2\}$  such that  
 $c_{\pi(2i-1)} + c_{\pi(2i)} = a + b$ , for every  $i = 1, \dots, y$ , and  
 $c_{\pi(2y+1)}, \dots, c_{\pi(n_1+n_2)}$  are the roots of  $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n_1} \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n_2} \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{x-y}$ .

(Note that  $E = 0$  implies  $x = \rho_1$  and  $E' = 0$  implies  $y = \rho_2$ ).

*Proof.*

*Necessity:*

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\rho_1 \geq \rho_2 > 0$ . Thus, condition (ii)' becomes

$$\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n_1} \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n_2} \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{x-\rho_2} |\phi(\lambda).$$

Suppose that there exist matrices  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  such that the matrix (3.4) has prescribed eigenvalues from the field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then condition (i)' is trivially satisfied.

Let

$$Z_2 = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^{n_1 \times n_2}, \text{ where } Z_{21} \in \mathbb{F}^{y \times x}.$$

Denote by  $\xi(\lambda)$ , the product of the invariant polynomials of the matrix

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} H' & N' & & \\ E' & M' & & \\ \hline 0 & Z_1 & M & N \\ 0 & 0 & E & H \end{array} \right] \in \mathbb{F}^{(x+y) \times (x+y)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Then we have

$$\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n_1} \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n_2} \xi(\lambda) = \phi(\lambda). \quad (3.6)$$



Let  $\mu_1 | \cdots | \mu_x$  be the invariant factors of

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & Z_1 & \lambda I - M & N \\ 0 & 0 & E & \lambda I - H \end{array} \right].$$

Using the classical Sá-Thompson result (see [10, 13]) we obtain

$$\mu_i | \gamma_i | \mu_{i+\rho_2}, \quad i = 1, \dots, x, \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{i=1}^x \mu_i | \xi(\lambda).$$

Thus,  $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{x-\rho_2} | \xi(\lambda)$ , which together with (3.6) gives the condition (ii)'.

In order to prove the necessity of condition (iii)', we shall use the result from Theorem 1 in [11].

In fact, if both matrices  $E$  and  $E'$  are zero, and if  $M' = aI_{\rho_2}$  and  $M = bI_{\rho_1}$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ , then the matrices (3.2) and (3.3) are of the forms

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} T' & S' \\ \hline 0 & M' \\ \hline 0 & I_{\rho_2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} 0 & I_{\rho_1} & M & S \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & T \end{array} \right], \tag{3.8}$$

respectively. Thus, in this case, the matrix (3.4) becomes

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c|cc} T' & S' & Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ 0 & M' & Z_{21} & Z_{22} \\ \hline 0 & Z_1 & M & S \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & T \end{array} \right]. \tag{3.9}$$

Since  $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n_1} \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n_2} | \phi(\lambda)$ , and  $d(\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} \alpha_i) = n_1 - y$ ,  $d(\prod_{i=1}^{n_2} \beta_i) = n_2 - x$ , then  $n_1 + n_2 - y - x$  of the eigenvalues  $c_1, \dots, c_{n_1+n_2}$  are the roots of the polynomial  $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n_1} \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n_2}$ . We shall assume, without loss of generality, that those eigenvalues are  $c_{x+y+1}, \dots, c_{n_1+n_2}$ .

Let

$$\bar{\phi}(\lambda) := (\lambda - c_1) \cdots (\lambda - c_{x+y}).$$

Furthermore, from the existence of matrices  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  such that the matrix (3.9) has prescribed eigenvalues  $c_1, \dots, c_{n_1+n_2}$ , there exist matrices  $Z_1$  and  $Z_{21}$  such that the matrix

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} M' & Z_{21} \\ \hline Z_1 & M \end{array} \right]$$

has prescribed eigenvalues  $c_1, \dots, c_{x+y}$ . Finally, since  $M' = aI$  and  $M = bI$ , for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ , by applying Theorem 1 from [11], there exists a permutation  $\pi : \{1, \dots, x + y\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, x + y\}$  such that

$$c_{\pi(2i-1)} + c_{\pi(2i)} = a + b$$

for every  $i = 1, \dots, y$ , and  $c_{\pi(j)} = b$ , for  $2y < j \leq x + y$ . Putting everything together gives condition  $(iii)'$ , as wanted.

*Sufficiency:*

Consider the matrix (3.4). Suppose that conditions  $(i)'$ ,  $(ii)'$  and  $(iii)'$  are valid.

Let

$$Z_2 = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^{n_1 \times n_2}, \text{ where } Z_{21} \in \mathbb{F}^{y \times x}.$$

Our aim is to define matrices  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  (i.e.  $Z_{11}$ ,  $Z_{12}$ ,  $Z_{21}$  and  $Z_{22}$ ) such that the matrix (3.4) has  $c_1, \dots, c_{n_1+n_2}$  as eigenvalues.

Since the pair  $(H, E)$  is controllable, the matrix

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} Z_1 & \lambda I - M & -N \\ \hline 0 & -E & \lambda I - H \end{array} \right],$$

is equivalent to

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c} Z_1 & K(\lambda) & S(\lambda) \\ \hline 0 & 0 & I_{x-\rho_1} \end{array} \right], \tag{3.10}$$

for some matrices  $K(\lambda) \in \mathbb{F}[\lambda]^{\rho_1 \times \rho_1}$  and  $S(\lambda) \in \mathbb{F}[\lambda]^{\rho_1 \times (x-\rho_1)}$ .

By Proposition 2.4, there exist invertible matrices  $Q \in \mathbb{F}^{\rho_1 \times \rho_1}$  and  $Q(\lambda) \in \mathbb{F}[\lambda]^{\rho_1 \times \rho_1}$  such that the matrix  $QK(\lambda)Q(\lambda)$  is the SP-canonical form of the matrix  $K(\lambda)$ , with polynomials  $\gamma_x, \dots, \gamma_{x-\rho_2}, \dots, \gamma_{x-\rho_1+1}$  on the main diagonal:

$$\bar{K}(\lambda) = QK(\lambda)Q(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{x-\rho_1+1} & & & & \\ * & \ddots & & & \\ * & * & \gamma_{x-\rho_2} & & \\ * & * & * & \ddots & \\ * & * & * & * & \gamma_x \end{bmatrix},$$

where nonmarked entries are equal to zero and \* denote unimportant entries. This last statement is true since there are at least  $x - \rho_1$  trivial polynomials among  $\gamma_1 | \dots | \gamma_x$ ,

and the nontrivial polynomials among  $\gamma_1 | \cdots | \gamma_x$  coincide with the nontrivial invariant factors of  $K(\lambda)$ .

Let

$$Z_1 = Q^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ I_{\rho_2} \end{bmatrix} = Q^{-1}L. \quad (3.11)$$

Now, the matrix (3.5) becomes

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} H' & N' & & \\ E' & M' & & \\ \hline 0 & Q^{-1}L & M & N \\ 0 & 0 & E & H \end{array} \right]. \quad (3.12)$$

Since the product of the invariant polynomials of the matrices  $T$  and  $T'$  divides  $\phi(\lambda)$ , put  $Z_{11} = 0$ ,  $Z_{12} = 0$  and  $Z_{22} = 0$ . Also, as in the necessity part of the proof, we shall assume, without loss of generality, that  $c_{x+y+1}, \dots, c_{n_1+n_2}$ , are the zeros of the polynomial  $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n_1} \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n_2}$ . Now, the problem reduces to defining the matrix  $Z_{21}$  such that the matrix (3.12) has  $c_1, \dots, c_{x+y}$  as eigenvalues.

The product of the invariant factors of the matrix

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} \lambda I - H' & -N' & \\ -E' & \lambda I - M' & \\ \hline 0 & -Q^{-1}L & \\ 0 & 0 & \end{array} \right] \quad (3.13)$$

is equal to the product of the invariant factors of the matrix

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} \lambda I - H' & -N' & \\ -E' & \lambda I - M' & \\ \hline 0 & -I_{\rho_2} & \end{array} \right] \in \mathbb{F}^{(y+\rho_2) \times y},$$

which is equal to 1. Also, the product of the invariant factors of the matrix

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} \lambda I - M & -N & 0 & -Q^{-1}L \\ -E & \lambda I - H & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \quad (3.14)$$

is equal to the product of  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{x-\rho_2}$ . Therefore, from condition (ii)', we have that the product of the invariant factors of the matrices (3.13) and (3.14), divide  $\bar{\phi}(\lambda) := (\lambda - c_1) \cdots (\lambda - c_{x+y})$ . So, in order to apply Theorem 1.2, and thus to conclude the existence of the matrix  $Z_{21}$  with the wanted properties, we need to prove that condition (c) from Theorem 1.2 is valid. In our case, condition (c) from Theorem 1.2 becomes:

(c) If

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & Q^{-1}L \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] + \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} H' & N' \\ \hline E' & M' \end{array} \right] + \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} M & N \\ \hline E & H \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & Q^{-1}L \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \nu Q^{-1}L \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right], \quad (3.15)$$

for some  $\nu \in \mathbb{F}$ , then there exists a permutation  $\pi : \{1, \dots, x + y\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, x + y\}$  such that

$$c_{\pi(2i-1)} + c_{\pi(2i)} = \nu$$

for every  $i = 1, \dots, \rho_2$ , where  $c_{\pi(2y+1)}, \dots, c_{\pi(x+y)}$  are the roots of  $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{x-\rho_2}$ .

From conditions (i)', (ii)' and (iii)', in order to prove condition (c), it is enough to prove that (3.15) implies  $M' = aI_{\rho_2}$ ,  $M = bI_{\rho_1}$ ,  $E = 0$  and  $E' = 0$ , for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ .

The equation (3.15) is equivalent to

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} Q^{-1}LE' & Q^{-1}LM' + MQ^{-1}L \\ \hline 0 & EQ^{-1}L \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \nu Q^{-1}L \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]. \quad (3.16)$$

Now, let

$$Y := \left[ \begin{array}{cc} Q & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} M & N \\ \hline E & H \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} Q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c} A & B & C \\ \hline D & G & F \\ \hline S & W & H \end{array} \right] \in \mathbb{F}^{x \times x}, \quad (3.17)$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{F}^{(\rho_1-\rho_2) \times (\rho_1-\rho_2)}$ ,  $G \in \mathbb{F}^{\rho_2 \times \rho_2}$ .

With this notation, the equation (3.16) is equivalent to the following ones:

$$E' = 0, \quad (3.18)$$

$$M' + G = \nu I, \quad (3.19)$$

$$W = 0, \quad (3.20)$$

$$B = 0. \quad (3.21)$$

From (3.10), and by definition of  $\bar{K}(\lambda)$ , the matrix  $\lambda I - Y$  is equivalent to

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \bar{K}(\lambda) & QS(\lambda) \\ \hline 0 & I_{x-\rho_1} \end{array} \right]. \quad (3.22)$$

Thus, the submatrix of  $\lambda I - Y$  formed by the rows  $1, \dots, \rho_1 - \rho_2, \rho_1 + 1, \dots, x$ , has the same invariant factors as the submatrix of (3.22) formed by the same rows. In fact, by using the form (3.17), we obtain that the matrix

$$\lambda I - Z := \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \lambda I - A & -C \\ -S & \lambda I - H \end{array} \right], \quad (3.23)$$

has the same invariant factors as the submatrix of (3.22) formed by the rows  $1, \dots, \rho_1 - \rho_2, \rho_1 + 1, \dots, x$ . In particular, the degree of the product of the invariant factors of this submatrix is equal to the degree of the product of the invariant factors of (3.23).

The dimension of the matrix (3.23) is equal to the product of its invariant factors, i.e.,

$$\dim Z = d(\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{x-\rho_2}).$$

Now, we have two cases:

$$\dim Z > 0, \tag{3.24}$$

$$\dim Z = 0. \tag{3.25}$$

In the first case, we have that  $d(\gamma_{x-\rho_2}) \geq 1$ . From  $\dim Z = d(\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{x-\rho_2})$ , and since  $\gamma_1 | \cdots | \gamma_x$  are the invariant polynomials of  $Y$ , we have

$$\rho_2 = d(\gamma_{x-\rho_2+1} \cdots \gamma_x).$$

Thus, the degrees of all  $\gamma_1 | \cdots | \gamma_x$  are equal to one, i.e., the matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} M & N \\ E & H \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Y$$

are of the form  $kI$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{F}$ .

Hence, the equation (3.15) implies that  $E = 0$ ,  $E' = 0$ ,  $M = aI$ ,  $M' = bI$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ , i.e., condition (c) from Theorem 1.2 is satisfied. Thus, there exists a matrix  $Z_2$  with the wanted properties.

The case (3.25) can occur only if  $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = x = y$ . In this particular case the matrix (3.5) becomes:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} M' & Z_{21} \\ \hline Z_1 & M \end{array} \right] \in \mathbb{F}^{2x \times 2x}.$$

Furthermore, in this case, condition (iii)' becomes:

*If  $M' = aI_x$  and  $M = bI_x$ , with  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ , then there exists a permutation  $\pi : \{1, \dots, 2x\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, 2x\}$ , such that*

$$c_{\pi(2i-1)} + c_{\pi(2i)} = a + b \quad \text{for every } i = 1, \dots, x.$$

Now, we can apply the result of Theorem 1 from [11], and thus we finish the proof.  $\square$

**4. Special case.** Consider the matrix (1.1). Let  $\text{rank } B_2 = \text{rank } B_1 = 1$  and let  $\text{rank } C_1 = n_1$  and  $\text{rank } C_2 = n_2$ . Then we have the following matrix completion problem:

PROBLEM 4.1. *Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field. Let  $\text{rank } B_2 = \text{rank } B_1 = 1$ . Determine the possible eigenvalues of the matrix*

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} A_1 & B_1 X_2 \\ \hline B_2 X_1 & A_2 \end{array} \right] \quad (4.1)$$

when the matrices  $X_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{m_2 \times n_1}$  and  $X_2 \in \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times n_2}$  vary.

In the following theorem we give a complete solution to Problem 4.1, in the case when  $\mathbb{F}$  is an algebraically closed field:

THEOREM 4.2. *Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an algebraically closed field. Let  $A_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{n_1 \times n_1}$ ,  $A_2 \in \mathbb{F}^{n_2 \times n_2}$ ,  $B_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{n_1 \times m_1}$  and  $B_2 \in \mathbb{F}^{n_2 \times m_2}$  be such that  $\text{rank } B_1 = \text{rank } B_2 = 1$ . Let  $c_1, \dots, c_{n_1+n_2} \in \mathbb{F}$ . There exist matrices  $X_1 \in \mathbb{F}^{m_2 \times n_1}$  and  $X_2 \in \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times n_2}$  such that the matrix (4.1) has  $c_1, \dots, c_{n_1+n_2}$  as eigenvalues if and only if the following conditions are valid:*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \text{tr } A_1 + \text{tr } A_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1+n_2} c_i, \\ (ii) \quad & \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n_1} \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n_2} | \phi(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\phi(\lambda) = (\lambda - c_1) \cdots (\lambda - c_{n_1+n_2})$ , while  $\alpha_1 | \cdots | \alpha_{n_1}$  are the invariant factors of

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc} \lambda I - A_1 & -B_1 \end{array} \right],$$

and  $\beta_1 | \cdots | \beta_{n_2}$  are the invariant factors of

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc} \lambda I - A_2 & -B_2 \end{array} \right].$$

REMARK 4.3. *As in Theorem 3.1, before proceeding, we give the matrix similar to the matrix (4.1) that will be used in the proof.*

Let  $\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} d(\alpha_i) = x$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n_2} d(\beta_i) = y$ . Since  $\text{rank } B_1 = \text{rank } B_2 = 1$ , by Lemma 2.2 there exist invertible matrices  $P_i \in \mathbb{F}^{n_i \times n_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $Q \in \mathbb{F}^{m_2 \times m_2}$  and  $R \in \mathbb{F}^{m_1 \times m_1}$  such that

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc|cc} P_1 A_1 P_1^{-1} & P_1 B_1 R & m' & n' & s' & 1 & 0 \\ \hline & & E' & H' & P' & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & 0 & 0 & T' & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right], \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc|c} P_2 B_2 Q & P_2 A_2 P_2^{-1} & m & n & s \\ \hline 0 & 0 & E & H & P \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & T \end{array} \right], \quad (4.3)$$

where  $m, m' \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $H \in \mathbb{F}^{(n_2-y-1) \times (n_2-y-1)}$ ,  $H' \in \mathbb{F}^{(n_1-x-1) \times (n_1-x-1)}$ ,  $T \in \mathbb{F}^{y \times y}$ ,  $T' \in \mathbb{F}^{x \times x}$ , and  $(H, E)$  and  $(H', E')$  are controllable pairs of matrices.

Hence, the matrix (4.1) is similar to the following one

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} m' & n' & s' & z_1^2 & \cdots & z_{n_2}^2 \\ \hline E' & H' & P' & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T' & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline z_1^1 & \cdots & z_{n_1}^1 & m & n & s \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & E & H & P \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & T \end{array} \right], \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc} z_1^2 & \cdots & z_{n_2}^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} R^{-1} X_2 P_2^{-1},$$

and

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc} z_1^1 & \cdots & z_{n_1}^1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1} X_1 P_2^{-1}.$$

Also, the nontrivial polynomials among  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_1}$  and  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n_2}$ , coincide with the nontrivial invariant polynomials of the matrices  $T'$  and  $T$ , respectively.

*Proof.*

*Necessity:*

The first condition is trivially satisfied. Furthermore, since the nontrivial invariant polynomials of the matrix  $T'$  coincide with the nontrivial polynomials among  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_1}$ , and the nontrivial invariant polynomials of the matrix  $T$  coincide with the nontrivial polynomials among  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n_2}$ , from the form of the matrix (4.4), we have

$$\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n_1} \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n_2} | \phi(\lambda),$$

as wanted.

*Sufficiency:*

Since  $E \in \mathbb{F}^{(n_2-y-1) \times 1}$  and  $E' \in \mathbb{F}^{(n_1-x-1) \times 1}$ , the matrices  $\begin{bmatrix} E & H \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} E' & H' \end{bmatrix}$  from (4.4) can be considered in the following feedback equivalent forms:

$$M = \left[ \begin{array}{c|ccc} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \in \mathbb{F}^{(n_2-y-1) \times (n_2-y)}$$

and

$$M' = \left[ \begin{array}{c|ccc} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \in \mathbb{F}^{(n_1-x-1) \times (n_1-x)},$$

respectively.

Thus, the matrix (4.4) is similar to the following one

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} w' & s' & \bar{x} & x' \\ \hline M' & K' & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T' & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \bar{y} & y' & w & s \\ \hline 0 & 0 & M & K \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & T \end{array} \right], \quad (4.5)$$

for corresponding matrices  $w \in \mathbb{F}^{1 \times (n_2-y)}$ ,  $w' \in \mathbb{F}^{1 \times (n_1-x)}$ ,  $K \in \mathbb{F}^{(n_2-y-1) \times y}$ ,  $K' \in \mathbb{F}^{(n_1-x-1) \times x}$ .

By applying the second condition, our problem reduces to proving the existence of row matrices

$$\bar{x} = [ x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_{n_2-y} ] \in \mathbb{F}^{1 \times (n_2-y)}$$

and

$$\bar{y} = [ y_1 \quad \cdots \quad y_{n_1-x} ] \in \mathbb{F}^{1 \times (n_1-x)},$$

such that the product of the invariant polynomials of the matrix

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} w' & \bar{x} \\ \hline M' & 0 \\ \hline \bar{y} & w \\ \hline 0 & M \end{array} \right] := \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} C & D \\ \hline E & F \end{array} \right] \in \mathbb{F}^{(n_1+n_2-x-y) \times (n_1+n_2-x-y)}, \quad (4.6)$$



with  $C \in \mathbb{F}^{(n_1-x) \times (n_1-x)}$ , is equal to  $\Delta = \phi(\lambda)/(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{n_1} \beta_1 \dots \beta_{n_2})$ .

Let  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  be the determinants of the matrices  $\lambda I - C$  and  $\lambda I - F$ , respectively.

Let

$$w' = [ a_1 \quad \dots \quad a_{n_1-x} ] \in \mathbb{F}^{1 \times (n_1-x)} \text{ and } w = [ b_1 \quad \dots \quad b_{n_2-y} ] \in \mathbb{F}^{1 \times (n_2-y)}.$$

Then we have

$$\Delta_1 = \lambda^{n_1-x} - a_1 \lambda^{n_1-x-1} - \dots - a_{n_1-x}$$

and

$$\Delta_2 = \lambda^{n_2-y} - b_1 \lambda^{n_2-y-1} - \dots - b_{n_2-y}.$$

From condition (i), the polynomial  $\Delta_1 \Delta_2 - \Delta$  has degree at most  $n_1 + n_2 - x - y - 2$ . Since  $\mathbb{F}$  is an algebraically closed field, there exist polynomials

$$x(\lambda) = -x_1 \lambda^{n_2-y-1} - \dots - x_{n_2-y-1} \lambda - x_{n_2-y}$$

and

$$y(\lambda) = -y_1 \lambda^{n_1-x-1} - \dots - y_{n_1-x-1} \lambda - y_{n_1-x},$$

of degrees at most  $n_2 - y - 1$  and  $n_1 - x - 1$ , respectively, such that

$$x(\lambda)y(\lambda) = \Delta_1 \Delta_2 - \Delta. \tag{4.7}$$

Now, define

$$\bar{x} := [ x_1 \quad \dots \quad x_{n_2-y} ] \in \mathbb{F}^{1 \times (n_2-y)}$$

and

$$\bar{y} := [ y_1 \quad \dots \quad y_{n_1-x} ] \in \mathbb{F}^{1 \times (n_1-x)}.$$

Then the matrix

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \lambda I - C & -D \\ \hline -E & \lambda I - F \end{array} \right]$$

is equivalent to the following one

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} I & & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \Delta_1 & x(\lambda) \\ & y(\lambda) & \Delta_2 \end{array} \right]. \tag{4.8}$$

Obviously the determinant of the matrix (4.8) is equal to

$$\Delta_1 \Delta_2 - x(\lambda)y(\lambda) = \Delta,$$

as wanted.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The author is supported by *Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia* / (FCT), grant no. SFRH/BPD/26607/2006. When this work was started, the author was supported by grant SFRH/BD/6726/2001.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] I. Baragaña and I. Zaballa. Feedback invariants of restrictions and quotients: series connected systems. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 351/352:69-89, 2002.
- [2] G. Basile and G. Marro. *Controlled and Conditioned Invariants in Linear System Theory*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1992.
- [3] J. A. Dias da Silva and T. J. Laffey. On simultaneous similarity of matrices and related questions. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 291:167-184, 1999.
- [4] P. A. Fuhrmann. *A Polynomial Approach to Linear Algebra*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [5] F. R. Gantmacher. *The Theory of Matrices, vol.2*. Chelsea Publishing Comp., New York, 1960.
- [6] G. N. de Oliveira. Matrices with prescribed characteristic polynomial and a prescribed submatrix III. *Monatsh. Math.*, 75:441-446, 1971.
- [7] G. N. de Oliveira. Matrices with prescribed characteristic polynomial and several prescribed submatrices. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 2:357-364, 1975.
- [8] G. N. de Oliveira. Matrices with prescribed characteristic polynomial and principal blocks. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.*, 24:203-208, 1981.
- [9] G. N. de Oliveira. Matrices with prescribed characteristic polynomial and principal blocks II. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 47:35-40, 1982.
- [10] E. M. de Sá. Imbedding conditions for  $\lambda$ -matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 24:33-50, 1979.
- [11] F. C. Silva. Matrices with prescribed eigenvalues and principal submatrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 92:241-250, 1987.
- [12] F. C. Silva. Matrices with prescribed eigenvalues and blocks. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 148:59-73, 1991.
- [13] R. C. Thompson. Interlacing inequalities for invariant factors. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 24:1-32, 1979.