

2011

A lower bound for the second largest Laplacian eigenvalue of weighted graphs

Abraham Berman

Miriam Farber

Follow this and additional works at: <http://repository.uwyo.edu/ela>

Recommended Citation

Berman, Abraham and Farber, Miriam. (2011), "A lower bound for the second largest Laplacian eigenvalue of weighted graphs", *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, Volume 22.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.13001/1081-3810.1501>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Wyoming Scholars Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra by an authorized editor of Wyoming Scholars Repository. For more information, please contact scholcom@uwyo.edu.

A LOWER BOUND FOR THE SECOND LARGEST LAPLACIAN EIGENVALUE OF WEIGHTED GRAPHS*

ABRAHAM BERMAN[†] AND MIRIAM FARBER[†]

Abstract. Let G be a weighted graph on n vertices. Let $\lambda_{n-1}(G)$ be the second largest eigenvalue of the Laplacian of G . For $n \geq 3$, it is proved that $\lambda_{n-1}(G) \geq d_{n-2}(G)$, where $d_{n-2}(G)$ is the third largest degree of G . An upper bound for the second smallest eigenvalue of the signless Laplacian of G is also obtained.

Key words. Weighted graph, Laplacian matrix, Second largest eigenvalue, Lower bound, Signless Laplacian, Merris graph.

AMS subject classifications. 15A42, 05C50, 05C69.

1. Introduction. Let $G = (E(G), V(G))$ be a simple graph (a graph without loops or multiple edges) with $|V(G)| = n$. We say that G is a *weighted graph* if it has a weight (a positive number) associated with each edge. The weight of an edge $\{i, j\} \in E(G)$ will be denoted by w_{ij} . We define the *adjacency matrix* $A(G)$ of G to be a symmetric matrix which satisfies

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \{i, j\} \notin E(G) \\ w_{ij} & \text{if } \{i, j\} \in E(G) \end{cases}.$$

The *Laplacian matrix* $L(G)$ is defined to be $D(G) - A(G)$ with $D(G) = \text{diag}(\deg(v_1), \deg(v_2), \dots, \deg(v_n))$, where $\deg(v_i)$ is the sum of weights of all edges connected to v_i . The *signless Laplacian matrix* $Q(G)$ is defined by $D(G) + A(G)$. We denote by $0 = \lambda_1(G) \leq \lambda_2(G) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(G)$ the eigenvalues of $L(G)$, and by $\mu_1(G) \leq \mu_2(G) \leq \dots \leq \mu_n(G)$ the eigenvalues of $Q(G)$. We order the degrees of the vertices of G as $d_1(G) \leq d_2(G) \leq \dots \leq d_n(G)$. Various bounds for the Laplacian eigenvalues of unweighted graphs, in terms of their degrees, were studied in the past (e.g., [1]). Li and Pan [6] showed that for an unweighted connected graph G with $n \geq 3$, $\lambda_{n-1}(G) \geq d_{n-1}(G)$. It is interesting to ask whether there exists a similar bound for weighted graphs. We will show it by using the following lemma ([5, p. 178]).

LEMMA 1.1. *Let A be a symmetric matrix with eigenvalues $\theta_1(G) \leq \dots \leq \theta_n(G)$. Then $\theta_k(A) = \max \left\{ \frac{\langle Af, f \rangle}{\langle f, f \rangle} \mid f \perp f_{k+1}, f_{k+2}, \dots, f_n \right\} = \min \left\{ \frac{\langle Af, f \rangle}{\langle f, f \rangle} \mid f \perp f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{k-1} \right\}$,*

*Received by the editors on June 5, 2011. Accepted for publication on November 20, 2011.
Handling Editor: Bryan L. Shader.

[†]Mathematics Department, Technion-IIT, Haifa 32000, Israel (berman@technion.ac.il, miriamf@technion.ac.il).

when f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n are eigenvectors of the eigenvalues $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n$, respectively.

2. The main result. We are ready now to present our main result.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let G be a simple weighted graph on n vertices with $n \geq 3$. Then $\lambda_{n-1}(G) \geq d_{n-2}(G)$.*

Proof. First we check the case $\lambda_{n-1}(G) = \lambda_n(G)$. Let u be the vertex with the largest degree in G . From Lemma 1.1,

$$\lambda_n(G) = \max \left\{ \frac{\langle L(G)f, f \rangle}{\langle f, f \rangle} \right\}.$$

Define a vector v by

$$v_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq u \\ 1 & \text{if } i = u \end{cases}.$$

Then we have

$$\lambda_n(G) \geq \frac{\langle L(G)v, v \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle} = d_n(G).$$

Hence, in this case, $d_{n-2}(G) \leq d_n(G) \leq \lambda_n(G) = \lambda_{n-1}(G)$. Suppose then that $\lambda_{n-1}(G) < \lambda_n(G)$. Let h be an eigenvector that corresponds to $\lambda_n(G)$. Using Lemma 1.1 we have

$$(2.1) \quad \lambda_{n-1}(G) = \max \left\{ \frac{\langle L(G)f, f \rangle}{\langle f, f \rangle} \mid f \perp h \right\}.$$

Let s, t, q be the vertices with the largest degrees in the graph. Then there are two possibilities:

- 1) At least one of h_s, h_t, h_q is zero.
- 2) All the numbers h_s, h_t, h_q are different from zero.

In case 1), we assume without loss of generality that $h_t = 0$. Define a vector g by

$$g_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq t \\ 1 & \text{if } i = t \end{cases}.$$

Since g is orthogonal to h , we get from (2.1) that $\lambda_{n-1}(G) \geq \frac{\langle L(G)g, g \rangle}{\langle g, g \rangle}$, and hence,

$$\lambda_{n-1}(G) \geq \frac{\langle L(G)g, g \rangle}{\langle g, g \rangle} = \frac{\sum_{uv \in E(G)} w_{uv}(g_u - g_v)^2}{\sum_{z \in V(G)} g_z^2} = \frac{\sum_{tv \in E(G)} w_{tv}(g_t - g_v)^2}{1}$$

$$= \sum_{tv \in E(G)} w_{tv} = \deg(t) \geq \min \{ \deg(s), \deg(t), \deg(q) \} = d_{n-2}(G),$$

and we are done.

In case 2), at least two of h_s, h_t, h_q have the same sign. Suppose without loss of generality that h_s, h_t have the same sign. Define a vector g by

$$g_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq t, s \\ 1 & \text{if } i = t \\ -\delta & \text{if } i = s \end{cases}$$

with $\delta > 0$ such that g is orthogonal to h (such a positive δ exists since h_s and h_t are with the same sign). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{n-1}(G) &\geq \frac{\langle L(G)g, g \rangle}{\langle g, g \rangle} = \frac{\sum_{uv \in E(G)} w_{uv}(g_u - g_v)^2}{\sum_{z \in V(G)} g_z^2} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{tv \in E(G), v \neq s} w_{tv}(g_t - g_v)^2 + \sum_{us \in E(G), u \neq t} w_{us}(g_u - g_s)^2 + w_{ts}(g_t - g_s)^2}{1 + \delta^2}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{n-1}(G) &\geq \frac{\sum_{tv \in E(G), v \neq s} w_{tv} + \delta^2 \left(\sum_{us \in E(G), u \neq t} w_{us} \right) + w_{ts}(1 + 2\delta + \delta^2)}{1 + \delta^2} \\ &= \frac{\deg(t) - w_{ts} + \delta^2(\deg(s) - w_{ts}) + w_{ts}(1 + 2\delta + \delta^2)}{1 + \delta^2} \\ &= \frac{\deg(t) + \delta^2 \deg(s) + 2w_{ts}\delta}{1 + \delta^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and since $\delta > 0$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{n-1}(G) &\geq \frac{\deg(t) + \deg(s)\delta^2 + 2w_{ts}\delta}{1 + \delta^2} \geq \frac{\deg(t) + \deg(s)\delta^2}{1 + \delta^2} \\ &\geq \min \{ \deg(s), \deg(t) \} \geq \min \{ \deg(s), \deg(t), \deg(q) \} = d_{n-2}(G) \end{aligned}$$

and we are done. \square

REMARK 2.2. As we mentioned before, for connected unweighted graphs with $n \geq 3$, $\lambda_{n-1}(G) \geq d_{n-1}(G)$ ([6]). This is not true for weighted graphs as is shown by Figure 2.1:




FIG. 2.1.

Note that the eigenvalues of $L(G)$ are 0, 9, 23, so $9 = \lambda_{n-1}(G) < d_{n-1}(G) = 10$.

3. Application. For a weighted graph G , we define $m_{L(G)}(I)$ to be the number of the eigenvalues of $L(G)$ that fall inside an interval I (counting multiplicities). The independence number of G is denoted by $\alpha(G)$. Merris [7] showed that if G is a simple unweighted graph on n vertices, then $m_{L(G)}([d_1(G), n]) \geq \alpha(G)$. Graphs which attain equality in the expression above were studied by Goldberg and Shapiro [4]. By similar technique to the one used by Merris in [7], we can show the following version for weighted graphs.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let G be a simple weighted graph on n vertices. Then we have $m_{L(G)}([d_1(G), \infty]) \geq \alpha(G)$.*

Various examples of weighted graphs that attain equality can be found, and some of them are mentioned in [4] (for the special case of unweighted graph). This suggests the following question: Does there exist a graph for which there is no way to *assign weights* to the edges so that $m_{L(G)}([d_1(G), \infty]) = \alpha(G)$?

A first simple example is K_n ($n \geq 3$). There is no way to assign weights to the edges of the complete graph so that $m_{L(K_n)}([d_1(K_n), \infty]) = 1$. This follows from Theorem 2.1, since

$$\lambda_n(K_n) \geq \lambda_{n-1}(K_n) \geq d_{n-2}(K_n) \geq d_1(K_n).$$

Hence, for any weighting of K_n , $m_{L(K_n)}([d_1(K_n), \infty]) \geq 2$. Are there other examples? The answer is still yes. Using Theorem 2.1, we can construct a family of such graphs in the following way: First, we take two graphs G and H , each one of them is on at least four vertices, such that $\alpha(G), \alpha(H) \leq 2$. We obtain a new graph K by adding an edge between one vertex of G and one vertex of H . If $\alpha(K) \leq 3$, then there is no way to put weights on its edges such that $m_{L(K)}([d_1(K), \infty]) = \alpha(K)$. To show it, suppose in contradiction that there is such way. We look at the graph $G \cup H$ with weights induced by K (i.e., all the edges in $G \cup H$ have the same weight as they have in K). Recall that

$n \geq 4$, hence from Theorem 2.1 we have $\lambda_{n-1}(G) \geq d_2(G)$, $\lambda_{n-1}(H) \geq d_2(H)$, and hence $G \cup H$ has at least four eigenvalues greater than or equal to $\min \{d_2(G), d_2(H)\}$. Since $d_1(K) \leq \min \{d_2(G), d_2(H)\}$, using the interlacing theorem for adding an edge (which could be found in [3, p. 291] for unweighted graphs, but it is also true in the weighted case), we get that there are at least four eigenvalues of $L(K)$ which are above $d_1(K)$, so $\alpha(K) \geq 4$, contradicting the assumption that $\alpha(K) \leq 3$. To construct such graphs K , we can take G and H to be complete graphs (see Figure 3.1).




FIG. 3.1.

G and H can be chosen also to be noncomplete, but here one has to be careful in choosing the vertices. Since $\alpha(G \cup H)=4$, we must add an edge that will reduce the independence number of K to 3 (see Figure 3.2).




FIG. 3.2.

4. The signless Laplacian. It was proven in [2] that for a simple unweighted noncomplete graph G with n vertices ($n \geq 2$), $\mu_{n-1}(G) \geq \lambda_2(G)$. In this section, we deal with the relations between $\mu_2(G)$ and $\lambda_{n-1}(G)$. First, using techniques similar to those of the proof of Theorem 2.1, we prove the following:

THEOREM 4.1. *Let G be a simple weighted graph on n vertices. Then $\mu_2(G) \leq d_3(G)$.*

Proof. For the signless Laplacian, we have

$$\frac{\langle Q(G)g, g \rangle}{\langle g, g \rangle} = \frac{\sum_{uv \in E(G)} w_{uv}(g_u + g_v)^2}{\sum_{z \in V(G)} g_z^2}.$$

Here we denote by h an eigenvector that corresponds to $\mu_1(G)$, and hence from Lemma 1.1,

$$\mu_2(G) = \min \left\{ \frac{\langle Q(G)f, f \rangle}{\langle f, f \rangle} \mid f \perp h \right\}.$$

We denote by s, t, q be the three vertices with the smallest degrees in G , and again, at least two of h_s, h_t, h_q have the same sign. We construct the vector g in the same way as in Theorem 2.1, and conclude with

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2(G) &\leq \frac{\langle Q(G)g, g \rangle}{\langle g, g \rangle} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{tv \in E(G), v \neq s} w_{tv}(1+0)^2 + \sum_{us \in E(G), u \neq t} w_{us}(0+(-\delta))^2 + w_{ts}(1+(-\delta))^2}{1 + \delta^2} \\ &= \frac{\deg(t) + \delta^2 \deg(s) - 2w_{ts}\delta}{1 + \delta^2} \leq \frac{\deg(t) + \delta^2 \deg(s)}{1 + \delta^2} \leq d_3(G). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We conclude the paper with the following corollary, which follows directly from Theorems 2.1 and 4.1.

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let G be a simple weighted graph on n vertices, $n \geq 5$. Then $\mu_2(G) \leq \lambda_{n-1}(G)$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] A.E. Brouwer and W.H. Haemers. A lower bound for the Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph - proof of a conjecture by Guo. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 429:2131–2135, 2008.
- [2] D. Cvetkovic and S.K. Simic. Towards a spectral theory of graphs based on the signless Laplacian, I. *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd)*, 85(99):19–33, 2009.
- [3] C.D. Godsil and G.F. Royle. *Algebraic Graph Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 207, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [4] F. Goldberg and G. Shapiro. The Merris index of a graph. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, 10:212–222, 2003.
- [5] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985
- [6] J.-S. Li and Y.-L. Pan. A note on the second largest eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrix of a graph. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 48:117–121, 2000.
- [7] R. Merris. Laplacian matrices of graphs: A survey. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 197/198:143–176, 1994.