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LOWER BOUNDS FOR THE ESTRADA INDEX OF GRAPHS

YILUN SHANG

Abstract. Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ be its eigenvalues. The Estrada index of $G$ is defined as $EE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\lambda_i}$. In this paper, new lower bounds for the Estrada index are established.
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1. Introduction. Throughout this paper, let $G$ be an undirected simple graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. We say that $G$ is an $(n, m)$-graph. Let the spectrum of $G$ be $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ arranged in a non-increasing order. The properties of graph spectrum can be found in [1]. The Estrada index [3] is a spectrum-based graph invariant promoted by Estrada [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9] and defined by

$$EE = EE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\lambda_i}. \quad (1.1)$$

The Estrada index was used to study the folding degree of proteins and other long-chain molecules [4, 5, 6, 9]. It also has numerous applications in the vast field of complex networks [7, 8, 13, 14, 17]. A number of properties especially lower and upper bounds [3, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 18, 19, 20] for the Estrada index are known. In this paper, we establish further lower bounds improving some results in [3, 12].

2. Preliminaries. We begin by some notation that will be used in the following proofs of results.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $d_i$ be the degree of vertex $v_i$ in $G$. The first Zagreb index [2] of the graph $G$ is defined as $Z_1(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2$. For $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, let $M_k = M_k(G)$ be the $k$th spectral moment of a graph $G$,

$$M_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^k.$$
From (1.1) we have
\[ EE(G) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{M_k(G)}{k!}. \] (2.1)

Recall that \( M_k \) is the number of close walks of length \( k \) in the graph \( G \). The first few spectral moments of an \((n, m)\)-graph \( G \) are well known: \( M_0 = n, M_1 = 0, M_2 = 2m \) and \( M_3 = 6t \), where \( t = t(G) \) is the number of triangles in \( G \). Denote by \( K_n \) the complete graph on \( n \) vertices.

**Lemma 2.1** ([1]). Let \( G \) be a graph with \( m \) edges. For \( k \geq 4 \),
\[ M_{k+2} \geq M_k, \]
with equality for all even \( k \geq 4 \) if and only if \( G \) consists of \( m \) copies of \( K_2 \) and possibly isolated vertices, and with equality for all odd \( k \geq 5 \) if and only if \( G \) is a bipartite graph.

The following is an immediate result of Lemma 2.1.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let \( G \) be an \((n, m)\)-graph. For \( k \geq 4 \), we have
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (2\lambda_i)^{k+2} \geq 4 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (2\lambda_i)^{k}, \]
with equality for all even \( k \geq 4 \) if and only if \( G \) consists of \( m \) copies of \( K_2 \) and possibly isolated vertices, and with equality for all odd \( k \geq 5 \) if and only if \( G \) is a bipartite graph.

**3. Results.** In this section, we present our lower bounds for the Estrada index and compare them to some existing bounds.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( G \) be an \((n, m)\)-graph. Then we have
\[ EE(G) \geq \sqrt{n^2 + 4m + 8t + \left( \frac{e^2 + e^{-2}}{2} - 3 \right) M_4 + \left( \frac{e^2 - e^{-2}}{2} \right) \frac{10}{3} M_5}, \] (3.1)
with equality if and only if \( n = 2 \) or \( m = 0 \).

As a simple example, for \( G = K_2 \), it follows from the above result that \( EE(K_2) = e + e^{-1} \) since \( n = M_4 = 2, m = 1 \) and \( t = M_5 = 0 \). This is confirmed by directly applying definition (1.1).

**Proof.** From the definition of (1.1), we have
\[ EE^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{2\lambda_i} + 2 \sum_{i<j} e^{\lambda_i} e^{\lambda_j}. \] (3.2)
By the arithmetic and geometric mean inequality and the fact that $M_1 = 0$,
\[
2 \sum_{i<j} e^{\lambda_i} e^{\lambda_j} \geq n(n-1) \left( \prod_{i<j} e^{\lambda_i} e^{\lambda_j} \right)^{1/(n(n-1))} = n(n-1) \left( \prod_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda_i} \right)^{n-1}\]
\[
= n(n-1) \left( \prod_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda_i} \right)^{n-1} = n(n-1) \left( e^{M_1} \right)^{2} = n(n-1),
\]
(3.3)
where the equality holds if and only if $\lambda_i + \lambda_j$ are equal for all $i < j$. This condition is tantamount to the fact that $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_n$ or $n = 2$. Therefore, the equality in (3.3) holds if and only if $m = 0$ or $n = 2$.

In view of the properties of $M_0$, $M_1$, $M_2$ and $M_3$, we obtain
\[
\sum_{i=1}^n e^{2\lambda_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(2\lambda_i)^k}{k!} = n + 4m + 8t + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k \geq 4} \frac{(2\lambda_i)^k}{k!} = n + 4m + 8t + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{(2\lambda_i)^{2k}}{(2k)!} + \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (2\lambda_i)^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}.
\]
(3.4)
Invoking Corollary 2.2 we get
\[
\sum_{i=1}^n e^{2\lambda_i} \geq n + 4m + 8t + \sum_{k \geq 3} \frac{4^{k-2} \sum_{i=1}^n (2\lambda_i)^4}{(2k)!} + \sum_{k \geq 3} \frac{4^{k-2} \sum_{i=1}^n (2\lambda_i)^5}{(2k+1)!} = n + 4m + 8t + \left( \frac{e^2 + e^{-2}}{2} - 3 \right) M_4 + \left( \frac{e^2 - e^{-2}}{2} - \frac{10}{3} \right) M_5,
\]
(3.5)
with equality holding if and only if $G$ consists of $m$ copies of $K_2$ and possibly isolated vertices.

Combining with (3.3) and (3.5), we obtain the desired lower bound (3.1), with equality if and only if $n = 2$ or $m = 0$. □

**Corollary 3.2.** Let $G$ be an $(n, m)$-graph. Then we have
\[
EE(G) \geq \sqrt{n^2 + 4m + (e^2 + e^{-2} - 6)(Zg(G) - m) + [15(e^2 - e^{-2}) - 92] t},
\]
(3.6)
with equality if and only if $n = 2$ or $m = 0$.

**Proof.** Recall that we have \([1]\)
\[
M_4 = 2Zg(G) - 2m + 8q,
\]
where $q$ is the number of quadrangles in $G$, and

$$M_5 = 30t + 10p + 10r,$$

where $p$ is the number of pentagons, and $r$ is the number of subgraphs consisting of a triangle with a pendent vertex attached. When $n = 2$ or $m = 0$, we have $p = q = r = 0$. The result then follows directly from Theorem 3.1.

When $n = 2$ or $m = 0$, we clearly have $t = 0$. Thus, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let $G$ be an $(n, m)$-graph. Then we have

$$EE(G) \geq \sqrt{n^2 + 4m + (e^2 + e^{-2} - 6)(Z_G(G) - m)},$$

with equality if and only if $n = 2$ or $m = 0$.

For an $(n, m)$-graph $G$, it is proved in [3] that

$$EE(G) \geq \sqrt{n^2 + 4m + 8t}.$$  (3.8)

Our bound in (3.1) is obviously better than the bound in (3.8). Recently, the lower bound is improved to [12]

$$EE(G) \geq \sqrt{n^2 + \frac{5}{3}m + 8t}.$$  (3.9)

By noting that $M_4 \geq 2m$ and $M_5 \geq 0$, we have

$$\left(\frac{e^2 + e^{-2}}{2} - 3\right) M_4 \geq \frac{4}{3}m,$$

and hence, our bound in (3.1) is better than the one in (3.9).

In [18] it is shown that if $n \geq 2$,

$$EE(G) \geq e^\lambda + (n - 1)e^{-\frac{\lambda}{n^2}}.$$  (3.10)

Clearly, the bounds in (3.1) and (3.10) are incomparable in general.
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