

1-1-2013

Old Faithful Visitor's Center Exhibit Observation Study

Pat Stephens Williams

Stephen F. Austin State University


Ray Darville

Stephen F. Austin State University

Sarah Fuller

Stephen F. Austin State University

Follow this and additional works at: http://repository.uwyo.edu/uwnpsrc_reports

 Part of the [American Studies Commons](#), [Anthropology Commons](#), [Education Commons](#), [History Commons](#), [Life Sciences Commons](#), and the [Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Williams, Pat Stephens; Darville, Ray; and Fuller, Sarah (2013) "Old Faithful Visitor's Center Exhibit Observation Study," *University of Wyoming National Park Service Research Center Annual Report*: Vol. 36 , Article 12.

Available at: http://repository.uwyo.edu/uwnpsrc_reports/vol36/iss1/12

This Yellowstone National Park Report is brought to you for free and open access by Wyoming Scholars Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in University of Wyoming National Park Service Research Center Annual Report by an authorized editor of Wyoming Scholars Repository. For more information, please contact scholcom@uwyo.edu.

OLD FAITHFUL VISITORS CENTER EXHIBIT OBSERVATION STUDY

◆ PAT STEPHENS WILLIAMS ◆ RAY DARVILLE ◆ SARAH FULLER ◆ ARTHUR TEMPLE
COLLEGE OF FORESTRY AND AGRICULTURE ◆ STEPHEN F. AUSTIN STATE UNIVERSITY ◆
NACOGODCHES, TX

◆ OBJECTIVES

This report was a result of volunteer research orchestrated by Katy Duffy, Interpretive Planner, Yellowstone National Park. The data collection was a direct need for grant compliance for the National Science Foundation associated with exhibits for the new Old Faithful Visitor Education Center, which opened to the public in August, 2006. The objective of this research was to understand visitor interaction with these exhibits using an unobtrusive form of data collection.

◆ METHODS

Volunteer researchers from Stephen F. Austin State University met with Ms. Duffy, Ms. Linda Young, Ms. Tami Blackford, and Ms. Rebecca Garoville at a conference room at the Park Headquarters in Mammoth Hot Springs on Monday, July 22, 2013. Discussions had already taken place between Ms. Duffy and Ms. Linda Young and Dr. Pat Stephens Williams prior to the trip to Yellowstone.

The data collection site was the Old Faithful Visitor Education Center (OFVEC) opened in August, 2006. The Visitor Education Center, located nearby to Old Faithful itself contains an information desk, exhibit hall, Yellowstone Association Store, an auditorium for Yellowstone videos, restrooms, and offices. Each day park staff count the number of visitors to the OFVEC using an electronic counter

mounted at each of the Center's three entrances. During July 26th the official count was 9,125 visitors while 8,035 were counted during the 8 a.m. to 8 p.m. time period in which the Center is open to the public. For Friday, July 26th, 3.3% (302/9125) of visitors were studied in the Exhibit area while on Saturday, July 27th, 2.6% (202/8035) of visitors were studied.

Ms. Duffy had established a research protocol and had already collected data at the Old Faithful Visitor Education Center. The volunteers followed Ms. Duffy's protocol. Eight students from Stephen F. Austin State University were selected and used as data collectors. They were trained by Ms. Duffy in the data collection protocol and supervised by the professors with a graduate student, Ms. Sarah Fuller, selected as the lead volunteer assistant. The following is the protocol for data collection.

Form

Ms. Duffy created a data collection form used for the process. On the data collection form were three demographic questions: visitor's sex, age, and type of group. Once these data were recorded, next the volunteers recorded data pertaining to the total time at an exhibit, and ten questions related to activities at the exhibit:

- whether the person skipped the exhibit,
- whether the person skipped the exhibit with the exhibit occupied by one or more individuals,
- whether the person looked at the exhibit,
- whether the person read all or part of the information on the exhibit,

- whether the person read aloud information on the exhibit,
- whether the person interacted with the exhibit,
- whether the person engaged in shared interaction at the exhibit,
- whether there was unintended interaction at the exhibit,
- whether one or more photos was taken of the exhibit,
- and whether there was any other behavior at the exhibit not previously identified.

If an individual was observed engaging in any of these activities, data collectors were to indicate the activity on the form. As the visitor left the exhibit, the time at the exhibit was noted and recorded on the form.

Protocol

Two days were selected for data collection: Friday, July 26th and Saturday, July 27th. On Friday, students collected data from 9:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. for a total of 6.5 hours and on Saturday, July 27th from 10:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. for a total of 5 hours. A total of 11.5 hours of data collection was used.

Students were dressed in normal, visitor attire and stationed at specifically selected points inside the Exhibit Hall where they could clearly observe the visitors without intruding upon, or influencing, the visitor experience. These locations were selected based on Ms. Duffy’s recommendations and an on-site review of the exhibit area. Students were to maintain an unobtrusive appearance throughout the data collection time.

Following the data collection period, data were entered into an Excel spreadsheet and then copied into an SPSS data file for analysis. The data files were cleaned and some checks of data input accuracy were made. Data analysis was performed using standard statistical techniques in SPSS Version 21.

◆ **RESULTS**

Observation results

Observations were conducted during two consecutive days, Friday, July 26th and Saturday, July 27th, 2013 by the researchers and research assistants. During July 26th, observations were made from 9:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (total of 6.5 hours) and during July 27th observations were made from 10:30 a.m. to 3:30

p.m. (total of 5 hours); total number of hours was 11.5. During this time, the OFVC was open for a total of 24 hours.

Every fifth person entering into the Exhibit Hall was observed. A total of 514 visitors were observed following the research protocol. Of those, 58.8% (n=302) were observed on July 26th and 41.2% (n= 212) were observed on July 27th (Table 1).

Table 1. Date of observation.

| | Date | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------|----------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | 07/26/13 | 302 | 58.8 | 58.8 | 58.8 |
| | 07/27/13 | 212 | 41.2 | 41.2 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 514 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

More visitors were observed in the afternoon (59.9%) compared to the morning (40.1%) (Table 2).

Table 2. Time of day of observation.

| | Time of Day | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|---------|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | morning | 203 | 39.5 | 40.1 | 40.1 |
| | afternoon | 303 | 58.9 | 59.9 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 506 | 98.4 | 100.0 | |
| Missing | System | 8 | 1.6 | | |
| | Total | 514 | 100.0 | | |

Demographics of visitors

The sex distribution was almost even with males representing 51.1% of visitors and females comprising 48.9% of visitors (Table 3).

Table 3. Sex of visitors.

| | Sex of Visitor | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|---------|----------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid | female | 250 | 48.6 | 48.9 | 48.9 |
| | male | 261 | 50.8 | 51.1 | 100.0 |
| | Total | 511 | 99.4 | 100.0 | |
| Missing | not known | 3 | .6 | | |
| | Total | 514 | 100.0 | | |

Age of visitor was estimated by each observer using eight age categories: 10-14, 15-19, 20s, 30s, 40s, 50s, 60s, and 70 or older. Some one-quarter of visitors were 10 to 19 years old. The modal (typical) age of visitors was in the forties (19.3%) while 9.2% of visitors were in their 60s or older (Table 4).

Table 4. Age of visitor.

| Age of Visitor | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid 10-14 | 66 | 12.8 | 12.9 | 12.9 |
| 15-19 | 60 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 24.6 |
| 20s | 62 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 36.6 |
| 30s | 97 | 18.9 | 18.9 | 55.6 |
| 40s | 99 | 19.3 | 19.3 | 74.9 |
| 50s | 82 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 90.8 |
| 60s | 38 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 98.2 |
| 70 or older | 9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 513 | 99.8 | 100.0 | |
| Missing not known | 1 | .2 | | |
| Total | 514 | 100.0 | | |

Individuals were observed in the context of their group make-up as they were moving through the exhibit hall. Groups were designed as one of the following: alone, multi, couple, family, or other. The distribution of groups is below. The most prevalent group type was family (53.2%) while couples represented the next most common group type (21.9%) (Table 5).

Age was cross-tabulated by sex to look at age distribution more closely. Despite the protocol of every fifth visitor being selected as they entered the hall, males were more likely to be observed than females among those 10-14, 20s, and 60s while females were more likely than males to be observed among those 15-19, 30s, 40s, 50s, and 70 or older. However, the percent differences were relatively small and less than 5% for all age groups (Table 6).

In looking at whether time of day was related to group type, the cross tabulation revealed families

and singles were more likely to be observed in the afternoon while the multi group and couples were more likely observed in the morning (Table 7).

Table 5. Type of group for visitors.

| Group Type | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid alone | 96 | 18.7 | 18.8 | 18.8 |
| multi | 29 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 24.5 |
| couple | 112 | 21.8 | 21.9 | 46.4 |
| family | 272 | 52.9 | 53.2 | 99.6 |
| other | 2 | .4 | .4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 511 | 99.4 | 100.0 | |
| Missing not known | 3 | .6 | | |
| Total | 514 | 100.0 | | |

Table 6. Age of visitor of sex of visitor.

| Age of Visitor | Sex | | Total | |
|----------------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|
| | female | male | | |
| 10-14 | Count | 28 | 38 | 66 |
| | % within Sex | 11.2% | 14.6% | 12.9% |
| 15-19 | Count | 34 | 26 | 60 |
| | % within Sex | 13.6% | 10.0% | 11.7% |
| 20s | Count | 29 | 33 | 62 |
| | % within Sex | 11.6% | 12.6% | 12.1% |
| 30s | Count | 51 | 45 | 96 |
| | % within Sex | 20.4% | 17.2% | 18.8% |
| 40s | Count | 50 | 49 | 99 |
| | % within Sex | 20.0% | 18.8% | 19.4% |
| 50s | Count | 40 | 41 | 81 |
| | % within Sex | 16.0% | 15.7% | 15.9% |
| 60s | Count | 13 | 25 | 38 |
| | % within Sex | 5.2% | 9.6% | 7.4% |
| 70 or older | Count | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| | % within Sex | 2.0% | 1.5% | 1.8% |
| Total | Count | 250 | 261 | 511 |
| | % within Sex | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Table 7. Group type by time of day of observation.

| Group Type | Time of Day | | Total |
|----------------------|-------------|-----------|--------|
| | morning | afternoon | |
| alone | | | |
| Count | 38 | 58 | 96 |
| % within Time of Day | 18.8% | 19.3% | 19.1% |
| multi | | | |
| Count | 12 | 16 | 28 |
| % within Time of Day | 5.9% | 5.3% | 5.6% |
| couple | | | |
| Count | 50 | 59 | 109 |
| % within Time of Day | 24.8% | 19.6% | 21.7% |
| family | | | |
| Count | 101 | 167 | 268 |
| % within Time of Day | 50.0% | 55.5% | 53.3% |
| other | | | |
| Count | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| % within Time of Day | 0.5% | 0.3% | 0.4% |
| Total | | | |
| Count | 202 | 301 | 503 |
| % within Time of Day | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Time spent at exhibits

Researchers used stop-watches to observe the total time spent at each of the exhibits. For all visitors, the mean time was 1.4129 minutes (standard deviation = 1.59 minutes), or about 85 seconds (standard deviation = 95 seconds). Because of skewness, the median (Md = .88 minutes) may be the better measure of central tendency. The minimum recorded time was .02 minutes (1 second) while the maximum recorded time was 10.03 minutes, or 602 seconds. Some 75% of visitors were at the exhibits two minutes or less while 90% were at the exhibits 3.3 minutes or less (Table 8).

Visitor activities at exhibits

Below is a summary table that displays results from each of the exhibits (Table 9). The table shows the number of individuals observed who

engaged in at least one activity for a particular exhibit, the mean number of activities observed (eight possible activities per exhibit) as well as the minimum number and the maximum number. In addition, the table displays the mean and standard deviation of times at each exhibit.

Table 8. Total time at exhibits.

| | | Statistic | Std. Error |
|----------------------|--|-----------|------------|
| Total Time (minutes) | Mean | 1.4129 | .06999 |
| | 95% Lower Confidence Interval for Mean | 1.2754 | |
| | 5% Upper Bound | 1.5504 | |
| | 5% Trimmed Mean | 1.2091 | |
| | Median | .8800 | |
| | Variance | 2.518 | |
| | Std. Deviation | 1.58689 | |
| | Minimum | .02 | |
| | Maximum | 10.03 | |
| | Range | 10.01 | |
| | Interquartile Range | 1.70 | |
| | Skewness | 2.093 | .108 |
| | Kurtosis | 5.388 | .215 |

The number of visitors observed was 514. Among these, there were 831 individual observations recorded, indicating that the average visitor stopped at about 1.5 exhibits while in the exhibit area. The number of observations varied by exhibit. More individuals were recorded at the diorama (n = 130) than any other exhibit while the rock exhibit had the fewest number of individuals (n =48).

Results show that 831 total observations were made during the data collection phase. The grand mean number of activities per exhibit was 1.56. Mean number of activities was the lowest at the rock exhibit while the above/below ground exhibit resulted in the highest mean number of activities (M = 2.29).

Total time in minutes at each exhibit was recorded for each visitor. The grand mean was 2.44 minutes for all exhibits. Times at exhibit varied from a low of 0.92 minutes at the diorama to 3.07 minutes at the rock exhibit.

Table 9. Descriptive statistics for exhibits.

| Exhibit | Number of Observations | Number of Activities at Exhibit | | | Time at Exhibit | |
|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-----|------|-----------------|--------------------|
| | | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Standard Deviation |
| HT | 65 | 1.68 | 1 | 5 | 2.70 | 1.97 |
| Gush | 56 | 1.29 | 1 | 3 | 2.79 | 1.90 |
| Steam | 63 | 1.38 | 1 | 3 | 2.60 | 1.78 |
| Hiss | 50 | 1.22 | 1 | 5 | 2.61 | 1.80 |
| Bubble | 52 | 1.40 | 1 | 5 | 2.74 | 1.97 |
| Diorama | 130 | 2.12 | 1 | 5 | 0.92 | 0.91 |
| Volc | 65 | 1.55 | 1 | 3 | 2.79 | 2.27 |
| Heat | 61 | 1.39 | 1 | 3 | 3.07 | 2.31 |
| H2O | 52 | 1.46 | 1 | 5 | 3.01 | 2.26 |
| Frac | 54 | 1.22 | 1 | 2 | 3.00 | 2.21 |
| Rock | 48 | 1.19 | 1 | 3 | 3.07 | 2.21 |
| Above/Below Ground | 68 | 2.29 | 1 | 5 | 1.12 | 1.17 |
| pH | 67 | 2.09 | 1 | 4 | 1.33 | 1.22 |
| Totals | 831 | 1.56 | 1 | 3.92 | 2.44 | 1.84 |

The three demographic factors (sex, approximate age, group structure) were analyzed to determine if they significantly impacted total time at each of the exhibits. Thirty-nine One-Way ANOVA tests were conducted to examine the data for mean differences by sex, age, and group. Of the 39 tests, only one produced a significant outcome-total time at the Diorama Exhibit by group. Those having the longest time at the Diorama exhibit were those in multi-groups (M = 1.78 minutes) and couples (M = 1.03) while those spending the least time there were those who appeared to be alone (M = 0.75) (Table 10).

◆ **DISCUSSION**

It is obvious that use of the visitor center is not uniform throughout the day. Larger numbers of visitors do not arrive until 9 a.m. or so and by 4 p.m. the number of visitors in the visitor center has decreased dramatically from levels earlier in the day. Highest visitation appears to be during the mid-day hours of 10 a.m. to 3 p.m.

Table 10. ANOVA results of total time (in minutes) at the Diorama Exhibit by group.

| | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------|-----|--------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | | |
| alone | 26 | .7515 | 1.06383 | .20863 | .3218 | 1.1812 | .05 | 4.70 |
| multi | 10 | 1.7770 | 1.34790 | .42624 | .8128 | 2.7412 | .42 | 4.00 |
| couple | 30 | 1.0333 | .90807 | .16579 | .6943 | 1.3724 | .08 | 3.93 |
| family | 63 | .7778 | .66223 | .08343 | .6110 | .9446 | .03 | 3.05 |
| Total | 129 | .9094 | .90776 | .07992 | .7512 | 1.0675 | .03 | 4.70 |

It is also obvious that use of the visitor center is a function of eruption times of Old Faithful. Immediately prior to and following an eruption, visitation of the exhibit area increased.

From the observations here there is some baseline information from which to build. There is now documented use by specific age groups and dynamics, times, and exhibits. A deeper look into the data here may give specific indications of what particular types of exhibits seem to draw specific audiences and engage them for what might be perceived as an accurate amount of time for them to clearly internalize the message of the exhibit. This information will help in the future design of exhibits and exhibit halls with specific audiences and intents in mind.

YOUNG SCIENTIST! CHILDREN'S AREA

◆ **RESULTS**

Demographics

Observers recorded data on 54 children while they were in the children's area. Of those 54 children, 31 (57.4%) were boys and 23 (42.6%) were girls (Table 11).

Table 11. Sex of Child.

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid Girl | 23 | 42.6 | 42.6 | 42.6 |
| Valid Boy | 31 | 57.4 | 57.4 | 100.0 |
| Valid Total | 54 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Age, using four age groups, of the child was estimated and recorded as well. About 9% were younger than kindergarten age. The modal category was kindergarten through 3rd grade with 44.4% in this category. Those in the fourth through 8th grade were the second most common (29.6%) and about one-of-six children (16.7%) were in high school (Table 12).

Table 12. Age of Child.

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|----------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid Pre-K | 5 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 |
| Valid K-3rd | 24 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 53.7 |
| Valid 4th-8th | 16 | 29.6 | 29.6 | 83.3 |
| Valid 9th-12th | 9 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 100.0 |
| Valid Total | 54 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Researchers also recorded the type of group with which the children entered the children’s area. Three group types were identified and recorded: alone, with single adult, or with family. Over 50% of children came to the area with their family. Another third came to the area with a single adult while 13% entered alone (Table 13).

Table 13. Group Type.

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid alone | 7 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| Valid with single adult | 18 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 46.3 |
| Valid with family | 29 | 53.7 | 53.7 | 100.0 |
| Valid Total | 54 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Lastly, the number of children in the group was noted; this included the child being observed. Two was the modal category (40.7%) while another 35.2% entered alone (Table 14).

Table 14. Number of Children in Group.

| | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Valid 1 | 19 | 35.2 | 35.2 | 35.2 |
| Valid 2 | 22 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 75.9 |
| Valid 3 | 9 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 92.6 |
| Valid 4 | 3 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 98.1 |
| Valid 5 | 1 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 100.0 |
| Valid Total | 54 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

Time in area

Once the child entered the children’s area, time was recorded using a stopwatch until the child exited the area. Total time was recorded in seconds and in minutes. The results for the number of minutes the observed children were in the children’s area are in the table below (Table 15). The mean time in the children’s area was 3.63 minutes (SD = 3.12 minutes). The median was 2.83 minutes, which means that about half the children were there less than 2.83 minutes and about half were there more than 2.83 minutes. The minimum time was .13 minutes (or about 8 seconds) while the maximum time was 16.15 minutes. Ninety percent were in the area less than 7 minutes.

Total time in the children’s area was examined using the demographic variables to determine if any of the variables explained variability in the time spent in the area. Sex of the child did exhibit some variability in average time: boys (M = 2.97) were in the area for a shorter time period than girls (M = 4.52). However, the mean difference of 1.55 was not statistically significant at the 0.05 level (p = .07). Of note, the standard deviation for girls (SD = 3.80) was well over one minute more than for boys (SD = 2.33); this suggests that time in the area for boys was more consistent and less varied than for girls (Table 16).

Table 15. Total Time in Young Scientist! Children's Area.

| | Statistic | Std. Error |
|--|-----------|------------|
| Mean | 3.6287 | .42392 |
| 95% Lower Confidence Interval for Mean | 2.7784 | |
| 95% Upper Bound | 4.4790 | |
| 5% Trimmed Mean | 3.3221 | |
| Median | 2.8333 | |
| Variance | 9.704 | |
| Std. Deviation | 3.11516 | |
| Minimum | .13 | |
| Maximum | 16.15 | |
| Range | 16.02 | |
| Interquartile Range | 3.58 | |
| Skewness | 1.630 | .325 |
| Kurtosis | 3.980 | .639 |

Age also could influence the amount of time in the children's area. Pre-Kindergarten children had the longest average time in the area (M = 3.93) while high schoolers had the shortest mean time (M = 2.43). However, while there were mean differences by age group, the test for statistical significance was not significant at the .05 level (p = .673) (Table 17).

Next, total time in the children's area was examined with group type. Children entering in a family group spent the longest total time (M = 3.13 minutes) while those alone had the shortest mean time in the children's area (M = 1.14 minutes). The One-Way ANOVA test for significance of mean differences was not significant at the .05 level (p = 0.069) (Table 18).

Table 16. Total time in children's area by sex.

| Sex | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | Minimum | Maximum |
|-------|----|------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | | |
| girl | 23 | 4.52 | 3.80 | .792 | 2.88 | 6.16 | .38 | 16.15 |
| boy | 31 | 2.97 | 2.35 | .421 | 2.11 | 3.83 | .13 | 9.97 |
| Total | 54 | 3.63 | 3.12 | .424 | 2.78 | 4.48 | .13 | 16.15 |

Table 17. Total time in children's area by age group.

| Age Group | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | Minimum | Maximum |
|-----------|----|------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | | |
| Pre-K | 5 | 3.93 | 1.40 | .554 | 2.391 | 5.469 | 1.90 | 4.90 |
| K-3rd | 24 | 3.85 | 3.83 | .782 | 2.237 | 5.472 | .22 | 16.15 |
| 4th-8th | 16 | 3.87 | 2.93 | .732 | 2.308 | 5.430 | .13 | 9.97 |
| 9th-12th | 9 | 2.43 | 1.82 | .608 | 1.032 | 3.835 | .37 | 5.50 |
| Total | 54 | 3.63 | 3.12 | .424 | 2.778 | 4.479 | .13 | 16.15 |

Table 18. Total Time (in minutes) in children's area by group type.

| Group Type | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | Minimum | Maximum |
|-------------------|----|-------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | | |
| alone | 7 | 1.138 | 1.040 | .393 | .177 | 2.10 | .13 | 2.88 |
| with single adult | 18 | 3.795 | 2.955 | .696 | 2.33 | 5.27 | .38 | 9.97 |
| with family | 29 | 4.126 | 3.318 | .616 | 2.86 | 5.39 | .20 | 16.15 |
| Total | 54 | 3.629 | 3.115 | .424 | 2.78 | 4.48 | .13 | 16.15 |

Last, total time in the area was analyzed by number of children in the group. Results were statistically significant (p = .024). Those who were in the children's area alone spent significantly less time, on average, in the area (M = 2.00 minutes) compared to those who were in a group of three children (5.33 minutes) (Table 19).

Table 19. Total time in children's area by number of children.

| Number of Children | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error | 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------------|----|------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | | |
| 1 | 19 | 2.00 | 1.71 | .392 | 1.18 | 2.83 | .13 | 5.53 |
| 2 | 22 | 4.35 | 3.59 | .766 | 2.75 | 5.94 | .20 | 16.15 |
| 3 | 9 | 5.33 | 3.25 | 1.08 | 2.83 | 7.83 | 1.38 | 10.88 |
| 4 or 5 | 4 | 3.59 | 2.52 | 1.26 | -.421 | 7.60 | 1.00 | 6.53 |
| Total | 54 | 3.63 | 3.12 | .424 | 2.78 | 4.48 | .13 | 16.15 |

Individual exhibits

The table below shows the percentage (rounded to the nearest whole percentage) of children who entered the Young Scientist! area and their participation in the nine behaviors for each of the eleven exhibits (which includes the junior ranger station located in the near right corner of the room) (Table 20).

Only the observing and geysers model exhibit drew participation by over half of all children. Some 80% of children went to the observing exhibit and 76% of children went to the geysers model exhibit. The exhibits most likely skipped were the "Is It True?" exhibit with 82% skipping it and the ID Wildlife exhibit with 80% skipping it. The mean for all exhibits was 61% skipped.

Very few children skipped exhibits while exhibits were occupied; this occurred for only two exhibits (ID Wildlife and Predicting Geysers).

The most read exhibits were the Geysers Model (15%), Predicting Geysers (13%), and the Is it True? (11%). All other exhibits had fewer than 10% of children doing any reading. Reading aloud was less prevalent than reading to one's self (as might be expected). Reading aloud was observed for only three exhibits (Observing, Is It True?, and Geysers Model), each of which had 2%.

Interaction was observed for all eleven exhibits with an average of 19% across all exhibits. Interaction varied by exhibit, ranging from a high of 56% for the Observing exhibit to a low of 6% for the Young Scientist Trivia and Geysers Model exhibits. On average, shared interaction occurred 6% of the time. Observing had the highest amount of shared interaction (26%). Animal Tracks (9%), Geysers Model (7%), and Jr. Ranger (7%) had some shared interaction, too.

Behaviors were cross-tabulated with the demographic variables to determine if any statistical significant patterns existed. Results of all tests are shown below in the table (Table 21). Significant relationships are identified and the characteristic of the demographic variable with the highest frequency of that particular behavior is identified. For example, the relationship between skipped and sex was significant and furthermore, results indicate that boys were more likely to skip the junior ranger station than girls.

Table 20. Percentages of children at each exhibit engaging in selected behaviors.

| Exhibit Name | Skipped | Skipped, Occupied | Read | Looked | Read Aloud | Interact | Shared Interaction | Unintended Interaction | Photo Taken |
|------------------------|---------|-------------------|------|--------|------------|----------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Observing | 20% | 0% | 9% | 43% | 2% | 56% | 26% | 0% | 0% |
| Layers of Life | 69% | 0% | 4% | 24% | 0% | 7% | 4% | 0% | 0% |
| Young Scientist Trivia | 78% | 0% | 9% | 19% | 0% | 6% | 4% | 0% | 0% |
| Is it True? | 82% | 0% | 11% | 6% | 2% | 15% | 2% | 0% | 0% |
| Stage | 65% | 0% | 0% | 17% | 0% | 28% | 2% | 0% | 0% |
| Eating Silica | 56% | 0% | 7% | 32% | 0% | 22% | 6% | 0% | 0% |
| Animal Tracks | 67% | 0% | 9% | 22% | 0% | 19% | 9% | 0% | 0% |
| ID Wildlife | 80% | 2% | 0% | 13% | 0% | 7% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Predicting Geysers | 67% | 4% | 13% | 20% | 0% | 19% | 1% | 0% | 0% |
| Geysers Model | 24% | 0% | 15% | 70% | 2% | 6% | 7% | 0% | 0% |
| Jr. Ranger | 63% | 0% | 2% | 19% | 0% | 22% | 7% | 0% | 0% |
| Mean | 61% | 1% | 7% | 26% | 1% | 19% | 6% | 0% | 0% |

Table 21. Relationships between demographic variables and behaviors in children's area.

| Behavior | Sex | Age | Group Type | Number of Children |
|------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Skipped | Junior Ranger (boys more likely to skip than girls) | Junior Ranger (most likely to skip were high schoolers and least likely to skip were pre-schoolers) | No significant relationships | No significant relationships |
| Skipped, Occupied | No significant relationships | No significant relationships | No significant relationships | No significant relationships |
| Read | Animal Tracks (boys more likely than girls) | Is it true? (Children in 4 th – 8 th grade more likely); Eating Silica (Children in 4 th – 8 th grade more likely) Geyser Model (Children in 4 th – 8 th grade most likely) | Is it true? (Children with single adults more likely) | No significant relationships |
| Looked | No significant relationships | No significant relationships | No significant relationships | No significant relationships |
| Read Aloud | No significant relationships | No significant relationships | No significant relationships | |
| Interact | No significant relationships | Observing (Pre-K children more likely) ; Animal Tracks (Pre-K children more likely) | ID Wildlife (Children with single adult more likely) | No significant relationships |
| Shared Interaction | No significant relationships | No significant relationships | No significant relationships | Junior Ranger (Children in groups of three children more likely) |
| Unintended Interaction | None observed | None observed | None observed | None observed |
| Photo Taken | None observed | None observed | None observed | None observed |
| Other | None observed | None observed | None observed | None observed |