

2018

The Hermitian Null-range of a Matrix over a Finite Field

Edoardo Ballico

University of Trento, ballico@science.unitn.it

Follow this and additional works at: <http://repository.uwyo.edu/ela>



Part of the [Algebra Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Ballico, Edoardo. (2018), "The Hermitian Null-range of a Matrix over a Finite Field", *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, Volume 34, pp. 205-216.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.13001/1081-3810, 1537-9582.3416>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Wyoming Scholars Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra by an authorized editor of Wyoming Scholars Repository. For more information, please contact scholcom@uwyo.edu.



THE HERMITIAN NULL-RANGE OF A MATRIX OVER A FINITE FIELD*

E. BALLICO[†]

Abstract. Let q be a prime power. For $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n), v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$, let $\langle u, v \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^q v_i$ be the Hermitian form of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$. Fix an $n \times n$ matrix M over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . In this paper, it is considered the case $k = 0$ of the set $\text{Num}_k(M) := \{\langle u, Mu \rangle \mid u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n, \langle u, u \rangle = k\}$. When M has coefficients in \mathbb{F}_q the paper studies the set $\text{Num}_k(M)_q := \{\langle u, Mu \rangle \mid u \in \mathbb{F}_q^n, \langle u, u \rangle = k\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$. The set $\text{Num}_1(M)$ is the numerical range of M , previously introduced in a paper by Coons, Jenkins, Knowles, Luke, and Rault (case q a prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$), and by the author (arbitrary q). In this paper, it is studied in details $\text{Num}_0(M)$ and $\text{Num}_k(M)_q$ when $n = 2$. If q is even, $\text{Num}_0(M)_q$ is easily described for arbitrary n . If q is odd, then either $\text{Num}_0(M)_q = \{0\}$, or $\text{Num}_0(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_q$, or $\#\text{Num}_0(M)_q = (q + 1)/2$.

Key words. Numerical range, Finite field, Hermitian variety over a finite field.

AMS subject classifications. 12E20, 15A33, 15A60.

1. Introduction. Fix a prime p and a power q of p . Up to field isomorphisms there is a unique field \mathbb{F}_q such that $\#\mathbb{F}_q = q$ ([10, Theorem 2.5]). Let e_1, \dots, e_n be the standard basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$. For all $v, w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$, say $v = a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_n e_n$ and $w = b_1 e_1 + \dots + b_n e_n$, set $\langle v, w \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^q b_i$. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard Hermitian form of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$. The set $\{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n \mid \langle u, u \rangle = 1\}$ is an affine chart of the Hermitian variety of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ ([4, Ch. 5], [6, Ch. 23]). Let M be an $n \times n$ matrix with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . In [1], we made the following definition. The *numerical range* $\text{Num}(M)$ (or $\text{Num}_1(M)$) of M is the set of all $\langle u, Mu \rangle$ with $\langle u, u \rangle = 1$. \mathbb{C} is a degree 2 Galois extension of \mathbb{R} with the complex conjugation as the generator of the Galois group. \mathbb{F}_{q^2} is a degree 2 Galois extension of \mathbb{F}_q with the map $t \mapsto t^q$ as a generator of the Galois group. Hence, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the Hermitian form associated to this Galois extension. Thus, the definition of $\text{Num}(M)$ is a natural extension of the notion of numerical range in linear algebra ([3], [7], [8], [11]). This extension was introduced in [2] when q is a prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. In this paper, we consider related subsets $\text{Num}'_0(M) \subseteq \text{Num}_0(M) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

As in [2] for any $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ set $C_n(k) := \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{q+1} = k\}$. The set $C_n(0)$ is a cone of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$ and its projectivization $\mathcal{H}_n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ is the Hermitian variety of dimension $n - 2$ of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_{q^2})$ with rank n . Set $C'_n(0) := C_n(0) \setminus \{0\}$. Recall that $\langle u, u \rangle \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for all $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$. For any $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} and any $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ let $\text{Num}_k(M)$ (resp., $\text{Num}'_0(M)$) be the set of all $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that there is $u \in C_n(k)$ (resp., $u \in C'_n(0)$ and $n \geq 2$) with $a = \langle u, Mu \rangle$. We always have $0 \in \text{Num}_0(M)$, $\text{Num}_0(M) = \text{Num}'_0(M) \cup \{0\}$ and quite often, but not always, we have $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(M)$ (Propositions 2.8, 2.11, 2.12). For instance, we have $\text{Num}'_0(\mathbb{I}_{n \times n}) = \{0\}$ for all $n \geq 2$, where $\mathbb{I}_{n \times n}$ denote the unity $n \times n$ matrix. If $n = 1$, i.e., M is the multiplication by a scalar m , we have $\text{Num}_k(M) = mk$. There is an ambiguity if $n = 1$, because $C'_1(0) = \emptyset$. Hence, we do not define Num'_0 for 1×1 matrices. We say that $\text{Num}'_0(M)$ is the *Hermitian null-range* of the matrix M .

We have $\text{Num}_k(M) = k\text{Num}_1(M)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ (use Remark 2.2 to adapt the proof of [2, Lemma 2.3]). Thus, we know all numerical ranges of M if we know $\text{Num}_1(M)$ and $\text{Num}'_0(M)$. The first part of

*Received by the editors on October 3, 2016. Accepted for publication on April 5, 2018. Handling Editor: Ilya Spitkovsky.

[†]Department of Mathematics, University of Trento, 38123 Trento (TN), via Sommarive 14, Italy (ballico@science.unitn.it). Partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA (INdAM), Italy.

this paper studies $\text{Num}'_0(M)$. If $n = 2$ we prove several results concerning the set $\text{Num}'_0(M)$ under different assumptions on the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of M . As a byproduct of our study of the case $n = 2$ we get the following result.

COROLLARY 1.1. *Assume that $M \neq c\mathbb{I}_{n \times n}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. Then we have $\sharp(\text{Num}_0(M)) \geq \lceil (q+1)/2 \rceil$.*

See Propositions 2.8, 2.11 and 2.12 and Lemma 2.10 for the cases in which we describe $\text{Num}_0(M)$ and $\text{Num}'_0(M)$, not just we give lower bounds for their cardinality.

In the second part of this paper, we consider the following question. Fix $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and suppose that all coefficient m_{ij} of the matrix M are elements of \mathbb{F}_q . For any $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ let $\text{Num}_k(M)_q$ be the set of all $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that there is $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $\langle u, u \rangle = k$ and $\langle u, Mu \rangle = a$. If $n > 1$, $k = 0$ and we also impose that $u \neq 0$, then we get the definition of $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q$. Note that $\text{Num}_k(M)_q \subseteq \text{Num}_k(M) \cap \mathbb{F}_q$ and that $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q \subseteq \text{Num}'_0(M) \cap \mathbb{F}_q$. These inclusions are not always equalities (see Example 3.12). In this part, there are huge differences between the case q even and the case q odd.

First assume that q is even. For any matrix M we have $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q \neq \emptyset$, either $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q = \{0\}$ or $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q \supseteq \mathbb{F}_q^*$, and $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q = \{0\}$ if and only if $m_{ij} + m_{ji} + m_{ii} + m_{jj} = 0$ for all $i \neq j$ (see Proposition 3.13 for a more general result).

Now assume that q is odd. For any $M \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ either $\text{Num}_0(M)_q = \{0\}$, or $\text{Num}_0(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_q$, or $\sharp(\text{Num}_0(M)_q) = (q+1)/2$ (Lemma 3.2). There is a difference between the case $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (in which -1 is a square in \mathbb{F}_q) and the case $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ (in which -1 is a not square in \mathbb{F}_q). For instance if $n = 2$ and $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, then $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q = \emptyset$ (part (i) of Proposition 3.9). Now assume $n = 2$ and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. By part (iii) of Proposition 3.9 we have:

1. If $m_{12} + m_{21} \neq 0$, then $\text{Num}_0(M)_q$ contains at least $(q-1)/2$ elements of \mathbb{F}_q^* and we give a condition on $m_{22} - m_{11}$ and $m_{12} + m_{21}$ which gives $\text{Num}_0(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_q$.
2. Assume $m_{12} + m_{21} = 0$. If $m_{11} = m_{22}$, then $\text{Num}_k(M)_q = \{km_{11}\}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(M)_q$. If $m_{11} \neq m_{22}$, then $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_q) \leq (q+1)/2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $\sharp(\text{Num}_0(M)_q) = (q+1)/2$ and $0 \notin \text{Num}'_0(M)_q$.

See Propositions 3.9 and 3.13 for cases in which we describe $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q$.

2. Preliminaries. For any field K , set $K^* := K \setminus \{0\}$. For any $n \times n$ matrix $N = (n_{ij})$, $n_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ for all i, j , set $N^\dagger = (n_{ji}^q)$. For all $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$ we have $\langle u, Nv \rangle = \langle N^\dagger u, v \rangle$. The matrix N is called unitary if $N^\dagger N = \mathbb{I}_{n \times n}$ (or equivalently $NN^\dagger = \mathbb{I}_{n \times n}$). Note that $\text{Num}_k(M) = \text{Num}_k(U^\dagger MU)$ for every unitary matrix U .

REMARK 2.1. Fix a prime p and let r be a power of p . Up to field isomorphisms there is a unique finite field, \mathbb{F}_r , with r elements and $\mathbb{F}_r = \{x \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p} \mid x^r = x\}$. The group \mathbb{F}_r^* is a cyclic group of order $r-1$ and $\mathbb{F}_r^* = \{x \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p} \mid x^{r-1} = 1\}$ ([4, page 1], [10, Theorem 2.8], [12, Proposition 1.6]).

REMARK 2.2. Fix $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Since $q+1$ is invertible in \mathbb{F}_q , the polynomial $t^{q+1} - a$ and its derivative $(q+1)t^q$ have no common zero. Hence, the polynomial $t^{q+1} - a$ has $q+1$ distinct roots in $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$. Fix any one of them, b . Since $a^{q-1} = 1$ (Remark 2.1), we have $b^{q^2-1} = 1$. Hence, $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ (Remark 2.1). Thus, there are exactly $q+1$ elements $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ with $c^{q+1} = a$.

REMARK 2.3. Let \mathbb{F} be a finite field. If \mathbb{F} has even characteristic, then for each $a \in \mathbb{F}$ there is a unique $b \in \mathbb{F}$ with $b^2 = a$ (e.g. because \mathbb{F}^* is a cyclic group with odd order by Remark 2.1). Now assume that \mathbb{F}

has odd characteristic. Each element of \mathbb{F} is a sum of 2 squares of elements of \mathbb{F} ([4, Lemma 5.1.4]). For each $c \in \mathbb{F}^*$ there are either 0 or 2 elements $t \in \mathbb{F}$ with $t^2 = c$. Hence, each non-empty fiber of the map $t \mapsto t^2$ from \mathbb{F}^* into \mathbb{F}^* has cardinality 2. Thus, \mathbb{F}^* has exactly $(\#\mathbb{F} - 1)/2$ elements, which are squares (this statement is the case $d = 2$ of [12, Ex. 1.7]). Obviously 0 is a square in \mathbb{F} .

REMARK 2.4. If $n \geq 2$, then $\text{Num}'_0(\mathbb{I}_{n \times n}) = \{0\}$, because $C_n(0) \neq \{0\}$ for all $n \geq 2$.

LEMMA 2.5. Fix $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$. We have $\alpha \in \text{Num}_k(M)$ (resp., $\alpha \in \text{Num}'_0(M)$) if and only if $\alpha^q \in \text{Num}_k(M^\dagger)$ (resp., $\alpha^q \in \text{Num}'_0(M^\dagger)$). Thus, $\#\text{Num}_k(M) = \#\text{Num}_k(M^\dagger)$ and $\#\text{Num}'_0(M) = \#\text{Num}'_0(M^\dagger)$.

Proof. Fix $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$ and let M be an $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . We have $\langle u, Mu \rangle = \langle M^\dagger u, u \rangle = (\langle u, M^\dagger u \rangle)^q$. Since $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ is a cyclic group of order $(q+1)(q-1)$ and q is coprime with $(q+1)(q-1)$, the map $t \mapsto t^q$ induces a bijection $\mathbb{F}_{q^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, proving the lemma. \square

REMARK 2.6. Fix $c, d \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$. For any $n \times n$ matrix M over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} we have $\text{Num}_k(c\mathbb{I}_{n \times n} + dM) = ck + d\text{Num}_k(M)$.

LEMMA 2.7. Assume $n \geq 2$ and that $M = A \oplus B$ (orthonormal decomposition) with A an $x \times x$ matrix, B an $(n-x) \times (n-x)$ matrix and $0 < x < n$. Then $\text{Num}_0(M) = \text{Num}_0(A) + \text{Num}_0(B) \cup \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} (k\text{Num}_1(A) - \text{Num}_1(B))$. We have $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(M)$ if and only if either $x \geq 2$ and $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(A)$ or $x \leq n-2$ and $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(B)$ or there is $a \in \text{Num}_1(A)$ with $-a \in \text{Num}_1(B)$.

Proof. Take $u = (v, w) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$ with $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$, $v \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^x$ and $w \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^{n-x}$. We have $\langle u, Mu \rangle = \langle v, Av \rangle + \langle w, Bw \rangle$. We have $\langle u, u \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$, and hence, the assumption " $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$ " is equivalent to the assumption " $\langle w, w \rangle = -\langle v, v \rangle$ " (note that this is also true when q is even). First assume $\langle v, v \rangle = 0$. We get $\langle w, w \rangle = 0$, $\langle v, Av \rangle \in \text{Num}_0(A)$ and $\langle w, Bw \rangle \in \text{Num}_0(B)$ and so $\text{Num}_0(M) \supseteq \text{Num}_0(A) + \text{Num}_0(B)$. Now assume $k := \langle v, v \rangle \neq 0$. We get $\langle u, Mu \rangle = a + b$ with $a \in \text{Num}_k(A)$ and $b \in \text{Num}_{-k}(B)$. Since $\text{Num}_x(M) = x\text{Num}_1(M)$ for all $x \neq 0$, we have $\text{Num}_k(M) = -\text{Num}_{-k}(M)$ if $k \neq 0$. Hence, $\text{Num}_0(M) \subseteq \text{Num}_0(A) + \text{Num}_0(B) \cup \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} k(\text{Num}_1(A) - \text{Num}_1(B))$. The same proof gives the opposite inclusion. Since $u = 0$ if and only if $v = 0$ and $w = 0$, we get that $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(M)$ if and only if we came from a case with $k \neq 0$ or with a case in which $\langle v, v \rangle = \langle w, w \rangle = 0$ and either $v \neq 0$ or $w \neq 0$. \square

PROPOSITION 2.8. Assume that M is unitarily equivalent to a diagonal matrix with c_1, \dots, c_k , $k \geq 2$, different eigenvalues, $c_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ for all i , and c_i occurring with multiplicity $m_i > 0$.

(a) Assume $k \geq 3$. If $(c_i - c_1)/(c_j - c_1) \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ for all $1 < i < j \leq k$, then $\text{Num}_0(M) = \{t(c_2 - c_1)\}_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q}$. In the other cases, we have $\text{Num}_0(M) = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

(b) If $k \geq 3$, then $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(M)$ if and only if either $k \geq 4$ or $n \geq 4$ or $n = k = 3$ and $(c_3 - c_1)/(c_2 - c_1) \notin \mathbb{F}_q^*$.

(c) If $k = 2$ and $n \geq 3$, then $\text{Num}'_0(M) = \{t(c_2 - c_1)\}_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q}$.

(d) If $k = n = 2$, then $\text{Num}'_0(M) = \{t(c_2 - c_1)\}_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q}$.

Proof. Note that $c_i - c_j \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ for all $i \neq j$. Assume for the moment $k \geq 3$ and fix integers i, j such that $2 \leq j < i \leq k$. Since \mathbb{F}_{q^2} is a 2-dimensional \mathbb{F}_q -vector space, $c_i - c_1$ and $c_j - c_1$ are a basis of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} over \mathbb{F}_q (i.e., $(c_i - c_1)/(c_j - c_1) \notin \mathbb{F}_q^*$) if and only if $c_i - c_j$ and $c_1 - c_j$ are another basis of \mathbb{F}_{q^2} . Hence, $(c_i - c_1)/(c_j - c_1) \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \Leftrightarrow (c_i - c_j)/(c_1 - c_j) \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \Leftrightarrow (c_j - c_1)/(c_j - c_1) \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.

By Remark 2.6, we reduce to the case $c_1 = 0$. Fix $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

(i) Assume $k = 2$. We reduced to the case $c_1 = 0$, and hence, $c_2 - c_1 \neq 0$. Let V_1 (resp., V_2) the eigenspace

for the eigenvalue 0 (resp., $c_2 - c_1$). Take $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and write $u = u_1 + u_2$ with $u_1 \in V_1$ and $u_2 \in V_2$. Since $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$ for all $v \in V_1$ and $w \in V_2$, we have $\langle u, u \rangle = \langle u_1, u_1 \rangle + \langle u_2, u_2 \rangle$ and $\langle u, Mu \rangle = (c_2 - c_1)\langle u_2, u_2 \rangle$. Since $\langle u_2, u_2 \rangle \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we get $\text{Num}_0(M) \subseteq \{t(c_2 - c_1)\}_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q}$. Since we may take as $\langle u_2, u_2 \rangle$ any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ (Remark 2.2) and then take u_1 with $\langle u_1, u_1 \rangle = -\alpha$ (Remark 2.2), we get $\text{Num}_0(M) = \{t(c_2 - c_1)\}_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q}$. If $n = 2$ we have $\langle u, Mu \rangle = 0$ if and only if $u_2 = 0$. Hence, if $n = 2$ we have $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$ if and only if $u_1 = u_2 = 0$ and so $0 \notin \text{Num}'_0(M)$. If $n \geq 3$, then $m_i \geq 2$ for some i , and hence, $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(M)$ (Remark 2.4).

(ii) Assume $k \geq 3$, $c_1 = 0$, and that $c_i/c_j \notin \mathbb{F}_q^*$ for some $2 \leq i < j \leq k$, say $c_2/c_3 \notin \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Up to a unitary transformation we may assume that e_1 is an eigenvector of M with eigenvalue 0, e_2 is an eigenvector of M with eigenvalue $c_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \{0\}$ and e_3 is an eigenvector of M with eigenvalue $c_3 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q c_2$. Since \mathbb{F}_{q^2} is a two-dimensional \mathbb{F}_q -vector space and c_2 and c_3 are \mathbb{F}_q -linearly independent, there are uniquely determined $a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $a = a_2 c_2 + a_3 c_3$. By Remark 2.2 there are $u_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, $i = 2, 3$, such that $u_i^{q+1} = a_i$, $i = 2, 3$. Take $u_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that $u_1^{q+1} = -a_2 - a_3$ (Remark 2.2) and set $u := u_1 e_1 + u_2 e_2 + u_3 e_3$. We have $\langle u, u \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i^{q+1} = 0$ and $\langle u, Mu \rangle = c_2 u_2^{q+1} + c_3 u_3^{q+1} = a$. Hence, $\text{Num}_0(M) = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$.

(iii) Assume $k \geq 3$ and that $(c_i - c_1)/(c_j - c_1) \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ for all $1 < i < j \leq k$. Note that $\{t(c_2 - c_1)\}_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q} = \{t(c_i - c_1)\}_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q}$ for all $i = 3, \dots, k$. Hence, $z(c_x - c_1) \in \{t(c_2 - c_1)\}_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and all $x = 1, \dots, k$. Thus, $b^{q+1}(c_x - c_1) \in \{t(c_2 - c_1)\}_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q}$ for all $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and all $x = 1, \dots, k$. By assumption there is an orthonormal basis y_{ij} , $1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq j \leq m_i$, of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^{n_i}$ such that $My_{ij} = c_i y_{ij}$ for all i, j . Take $u \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$ such that $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$. Write $u = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} b_{ij} y_{ij}$ for some $b_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. We have $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} b_{ij}^{q+1} = 0$. We have $\langle u, Mu \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} b_{ij}^{q+1} c_i$. Taking $\langle u, Mu \rangle - c_1 \langle u, u \rangle$ we get $\text{Num}_0(M) \subseteq \{t(c_2 - c_1)\}_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q}$. The case $n = k = 2$ done in step (i) gives $\text{Num}_0(M) \supseteq \{t(c_2 - c_1)\}_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q}$, concluding the proof of part (a).

(iv) Now take $k = n = 3$. We need to check when $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(M)$. We need to find $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that $(u_1, u_2, u_3) \neq (0, 0, 0)$, $u_1^{q+1} + u_2^{q+1} + u_3^{q+1} = 0$ and $c_1 u_1^{q+1} + c_2 u_2^{q+1} + c_3 u_3^{q+1} = 0$. The previous conditions are satisfied if and only if there is $(u_2, u_3) \neq (0, 0)$ such that $(c_2 - c_1)u_2^{q+1} + (c_3 - c_1)u_3^{q+1} = 0$. Since u_2^{q+1} and u_3^{q+1} are elements of \mathbb{F}_q , $c_3 - c_2 \neq 0$ and $c_2 - c_1 \neq 0$, this is possible if and only if $(c_3 - c_1)/(c_2 - c_1) \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

(v) Now assume $k \geq 4$. We may assume $c_1 = 0$ and that e_i is an eigenvalue for c_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$. If $u = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, then Mu and $\langle u, Mu \rangle$ depend only on x_2, \dots, x_n , not on x_1 . If $n > 4$ take $x_i = 0$ for all $i > 4$. For any $x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ there is $u_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ with $u_1^{q+1} = -x_2^{q+1} - x_3^{q+1} - x_4^{q+1}$ (Remark 2.2). Hence, it is sufficient to find u_2, u_3, u_4 with $(u_2, u_3, u_4) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ and $\sum_{i=2}^4 (c_i - c_1)u_i^{q+1} = 0$. Since the map $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^*$ defined by the formula $t \mapsto t^{q+1}$ is surjective (Remark 2.2), it is sufficient to find $b_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $2 \leq i \leq 4$, such that $(b_2, b_3, b_4) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ and

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{i=2}^4 (c_i - c_1) b_i = 0.$$

Since \mathbb{F}_{q^2} is a 2-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_q , (2.1) is equivalent to a homogenous linear system with 2 equations and 3 unknowns over \mathbb{F}_q , and hence, it has a non-trivial solution.

(vi) Now assume $k = 3$ and $n \geq 4$. Without losing generality we may assume that the eigenspace of c_1 contains e_1, e_2 . Use Remark 2.4. \square

The case $a = -1$ of Remark 2.2 gives the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.9. *Set $\Theta := \{a \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q} \mid a^{q+1} = -1\}$. Then $\#\Theta = q + 1$ and $\Theta \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$.*

We write $M = (m_{ij})$.

LEMMA 2.10. Assume $n = 2$, $m_{11} = m_{21} = m_{22} = 0$ and $m_{12} = 1$.

1. If q is even, then $\text{Num}'_0(M) = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$.
2. If q is odd, then $\sharp(\text{Num}'_0(M)) = (q^2 - 1)/2$ and $\text{Num}'_0(M)$ is the set of all zw with $z \in \Theta$ and $w \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.

Proof. Take $u = ae_1 + be_2$ such that $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$, i.e., such that $a^{q+1} + b^{q+1} = 0$. We have $\langle u, Mu \rangle = \langle u, be_1 \rangle = a^qb$. Note that $a = 0$ if and only if $b = 0$, and hence, $0 \notin \text{Num}'_0(M)$. Let Δ be the set of all a^qb with $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $a^{q+1} + b^{q+1} = 0$. Take $a^qb \in \Delta$. Since $ab \neq 0$ and $a^{q+1} + b^{q+1} = 0$, there is a unique $z \in \Theta$ such that $b = az$, but for a fixed a we may take any $z \in \Theta$ and then set $b := az$. Varying $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ we get as a^{q+1} all elements of \mathbb{F}_q^* (Remark 2.2). Thus, Δ is the set of all products cz with $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $z \in \Theta$. Note that $\sharp(\mathbb{F}_q^*) \cdot \sharp(\Theta) = \sharp(\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*)$ by Lemma 2.9. Take $c, c_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $z, z_1 \in \Theta$ and assume $cz = c_1z_1$. Hence, $c^{q+1}z^{q+1} = c_1^{q+1}z_1^{q+1}$. Since $z^{q+1} = z_1^{q+1} = -1$, we get $c^{q+1} = c_1^{q+1}$. Since $c, c_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, we get $c^2 = c_1^2$. If q is even, we get $c = c_1$. Hence, $z = z_1$. Hence, if q is even we get $\sharp(\text{Num}'_0(M)) = q^2 - 1$ and (since $0 \notin \text{Num}'_0(M)$), we get $\text{Num}'_0(M) = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$. Now assume that q is odd. We get that either $c = c_1$ or $c = -c_1$. If $c = c_1$, then we get $z = z_1$. Now assume $c = -c_1$, and hence, $z = -z_1$. We get $cz = (-c)(-z)$. In this case the set of all cz , $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $z \in \Theta$ has cardinality $(q^2 - 1)/2$, and hence, $\sharp(\text{Num}'_0(M)) = (q^2 - 1)/2$. \square

PROPOSITION 2.11. Take $n = 2$ and assume that M has a unique eigenvalue, c , and that the associated eigenspace is one-dimensional and generated by an eigenvector u with $\langle u, u \rangle \neq 0$. We have $0 \notin \text{Num}'_0(M)$. If q is even, then $\text{Num}'_0(M) = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$. If q is odd, then $\sharp(\text{Num}'_0(M)) = (q^2 - 1)/2$ and there is a matrix M_1 unitarily equivalent to a multiple of M such that $\text{Num}'_0(M_1)$ is the set of all zw with $z \in \Theta$ and $w \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.

Proof. Since $n = 2$ the characteristic polynomial $f(t) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[t]$ of M has degree 2. By assumption $f(t)$ has a unique root, c . If q is odd, then the high school formula for the roots of a degree 2 polynomial gives $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. The same holds for even q , because \mathbb{F}_q is perfect ([12, Ex. 1.1]) and, since $p = 2$, the monic polynomial $f(t) = t^2 + d_1t + d_2$ has c as its only root if and only if $f(t) = (t - c)^2$ (e.g. c is a root both of $f(t)$ and of $f'(t) = 2t + d_1 = d_1$ by [9, Theorem 1.68] and so $d_1 = 0$); see [4, pages 3–4] for the roots of an arbitrary degree 2 polynomial over a finite field with even characteristic. Taking $M - c\mathbb{I}_{2 \times 2}$ instead of M we reduce to the case $c = 0$ (Remark 2.6). Take $t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ such that $t^{q+1} = \langle u, u \rangle$ (Remark 2.2). Using $t^{-1}u$ instead of u we reduce to the case $\langle u, u \rangle = 1$. Hence, up to a unitary transformation we reduce to the case $u = e_1$. In this case, we have $m_{11} = m_{21} = 0$. Since m_{22} is an eigenvalue of M , we have $m_{22} = 0$. Since e_2 is not an eigenvector of M , we have $m_{12} \neq 0$. Take $M_1 := \frac{1}{m_{12}}M$ and apply Lemma 2.10 to M_1 . \square

PROPOSITION 2.12. Take $n = 2$ and assume that M has two distinct eigenvalues $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ and eigenvectors u_i of c_i , $1 \leq i \leq 2$, with $\langle u_i, u_i \rangle = 0$ for all i . Then there is $o \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ such that $\text{Num}'_0(M) = \{to\}_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q}$.

Proof. Each u_i gives that $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(M)$. Since u_1 and u_2 are a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^2$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is non-degenerate and $\langle u_i, u_i \rangle = 0$ for all i , we have $e := \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle \neq 0$. Taking u_1 and u_2/e instead of u_1 and u_2 we reduce to the case $e = 1$. Note that $\langle u_2, u_1 \rangle = 1$. Taking $M - c_1\mathbb{I}_{2 \times 2}$ instead of M we reduce to the case $c_1 = 0$, and hence, $c := c_2 - c_1 \neq 0$. Take $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ and set $u := au_1 + bu_2$. We have $\langle u, u \rangle = b^qa + a^qb$. Hence, $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$ if and only if $b^qa + a^qb = 0$. We have $\langle u, Mu \rangle = \langle u, cbu_2 \rangle = a^qbc$. Set $w := b/a$. We have $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$ if and only if $w^q + w = 0$. Since $b \neq 0$, we have $w \neq 0$ and so $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$ if and only if $w^{q-1} + 1 = 0$. We have $\langle u, Mu \rangle = a^{q+1}wc$. By Remark 2.2 varying $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ we get as a^{q+1} an arbitrary element of \mathbb{F}_q^* . If q is even, w is an arbitrary element of \mathbb{F}_q^* , because $w^{q-1} = 1$ and $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \{t \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q} \mid t^{q-1} = 1\}$, and hence, varying a and w we get that $\text{Num}'_0(M) = \{tc\}_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q}$. Now assume that q is odd. In this case, $w \notin \mathbb{F}_q$, because $w^{q-1} = -1 \neq 1$ (Remark 2.1). Take $w_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ with $w_1^{q-1} = -1$ (Remark 2.2). Since $(w/w_1)^{q-1} = 1$, we have $w/w_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Hence, varying w with $w^{q-1} = 1$ and a^{q+1} with $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$ we get exactly $q - 1$ elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$, all of them of the form $\{to\}_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q}$ with $o = wc$. \square

PROPOSITION 2.13. *Take $n = 2$ and assume $m_{21} \neq 0$ and $m_{12} \neq 0$. Then:*

(i) $\sharp(\text{Num}'_0(M)) \geq \lceil (q+1)/2 \rceil$;

(ii) *If $(-m_{12}/m_{21})^{q+1} \neq 1$, then $\sharp(\text{Num}'_0(M)) \geq q+1$.*

Proof. Using $M - m_{11}\mathbb{I}_{2 \times 2}$ instead of M we reduce to the case $m_{11} = 0$ (Remark 2.6). Take $u = ae_1 + be_2$. We have $\langle u, u \rangle = a^{q+1} + b^{q+1}$, $Mu = bm_{21}e_1 + (am_{12} + m_{22}b)e_2$ and $\langle u, Mu \rangle = a^qbm_{21} + b^q(am_{12} + m_{22}b) = a^qbm_{21} + b^qam_{12} + m_{22}b^{q+1}$. We take only the solutions obtained taking $b = 1$ and so $a \in \Theta$, where Θ is as in Lemma 2.9. To get the lemma we study the number of different values of the restriction to Θ of the polynomial $g(t) = m_{21}t^q + m_{12}t + m_{22}$. This number is the number of different values of the restriction to Θ of the polynomial $f(t) = m_{21}t^q + m_{12}t$. Fix $z, w \in \Theta$ and assume $f(z) = f(w)$. Hence, $f(z)zw = f(w)zw$. Since $z^{q+1} = w^{q+1} = -1$, we get $-m_{21}w + m_{12}z^2w = -m_{21}z + m_{12}zw^2$. Set $h_z(t) = m_{12}zt^2 - m_{12}z^2t + m_{21}t - m_{21}z$. The polynomial $h_z(t)$ has at most two zeroes in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , one of them being z . Hence, for each $z \in \Theta$ there is at most one $w \in \Theta$ with $w \neq z$ and $g(w) = g(z)$. Thus, $\sharp(\text{Num}'_0(M)) \geq \lceil (q+1)/2 \rceil$. Assume the existence of $w \neq z$ with $h_z(w) = 0$. Since z and w are the two roots of $h_z(t)$, we have $m_{12}z^2w = -m_{21}z$, i.e., (since $z \neq 0$) $m_{12}zw = -m_{21}$. Since $(zw)^{q+1} = 1$ and $(-1)^{q+1} = 1$ (even if q is even), we get part (ii). \square

Proof of Corollary 1.1. By assumption there are $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $i \neq j$ and either $m_{ij} \neq 0$ or $m_{ii} \neq m_{jj}$. Up to a permutation of the indices $\{1, \dots, n\}$ (which is induced by a unitary transformation of \mathbb{F}_{q^2}), we may assume $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$. First assume $n = 2$. Using $M - m_{11}\mathbb{I}_{2 \times 2}$ instead of M we reduce to the case $m_{11} = 0$ (Remark 2.6). If $m_{21} = 0$, then we use either Proposition 2.8 (if M is unitarily equivalent to a diagonal matrix) or Proposition 2.11 (if 0 is the unique eigenvalue of M with e_1 spanning its eigenspace). If $m_{21} \neq 0$ we apply the last sentence to M^\dagger and use Lemma 2.5. Hence, we may assume that $m_{12}m_{21} \neq 0$. Apply Proposition 2.13. Now assume $n > 2$. Call $A = (a_{ij})$ the 2×2 matrix with $a_{ij} = m_{ij}$ for all $i, j = 1, 2$. Take $u = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with $x_i = 0$ for all $i > 2$ and apply the case $n = 2$ to A . \square

3. Matrices with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_q . We always assume $n \geq 2$. We assume $M = (m_{ij})$ with $m_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for all i, j . Take $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with $\langle u, u \rangle = k$ and write $u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i$ with $x_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for all i . Since $x_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we have $x_i^{q+1} = x_i^2$ and so the condition $\langle u, u \rangle = k$ is equivalent to the degree 2 equation

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = k.$$

Since $x_i^q = x_i$ for all i , the condition $\langle u, Mu \rangle = a$ is equivalent to

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n m_{ij}x_i x_j = a.$$

REMARK 3.1. Fix any $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$, any integer $n \geq 2$ and any $n \times n$ matrix M with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_q . Every element of \mathbb{F}_q is a sum of two squares of elements of \mathbb{F}_q (Remark 2.3). Hence, (3.2) has always a solution $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$. Setting $x_i := y_i$ in the left hand side of (3.3) we get $\text{Num}_k(M)_q \neq \emptyset$. However, there are a few cases with $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q = \emptyset$ (part (i) of Proposition 3.9). We always have $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q \neq \emptyset$ if q is even (part (a) of Proposition 3.13).

LEMMA 3.2. *Take $M \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.*

(a) *If q is even, then either $\text{Num}_0(M)_q = \{0\}$ or $\text{Num}_0(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_q$.*

(b) Assume q odd and that neither $\text{Num}_0(M)_q = \{0\}$ nor $\text{Num}_0(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_q$. Fix $a \in \text{Num}_0(M)_q \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\sharp(\text{Num}_0(M)_q) = (q + 1)/2$ and $\text{Num}_0(M)_q$ is the union of 0 and all $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that b/a is a square in \mathbb{F}_q .

Proof. Assume the existence of $a \in \text{Num}_0(M)_q$ with $a \neq 0$. Take $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ such that $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$ and $\langle u, Mu \rangle = a$. For any $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ we have $\langle tu, tu \rangle = 0$ and $\langle tu, M(tu) \rangle = t^2a$. Hence, $\text{Num}_0(M)_q \setminus \{0\}$ contains all $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that b/a is a square in \mathbb{F}_q . If q is even, then every element of \mathbb{F}_q is a square (Remark 2.3) and so $\text{Num}_0(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_q$, proving part (a). Now assume q odd. Since \mathbb{F}_q^* is a cyclic group of even order, \mathbb{F}_q^* has $(q - 1)/2$ squares (Remark 2.3). Hence, $\text{Num}_0(M)_q \setminus \{0\}$ contains the set Σ_a of all t^2a , $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Note that $\sharp(\Sigma_a) = (q - 1)/2$. Assume the existence of $d \in \text{Num}_0(M)_q \setminus (\{0\} \cup \Sigma_a)$. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and α is a square, β is a square if and only if $\alpha\beta$ (or $\alpha/\beta = \alpha\beta/\beta^2$) is a square. Thus, $\text{Num}_0(M)_q \setminus (\{0\} \cup \Sigma_a)$ contains a set, Σ_d , of cardinality $(q - 1)/2$. Hence, $\text{Num}_0(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_q$. \square

REMARK 3.3. Take $M \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. By Lemma 3.2, if q is even to describe $\text{Num}_0(M)_q$ we only need to say if $\text{Num}_0(M)_q$ is 0 or \mathbb{F}_q . Now assume that q is odd. Lemma 3.2 gives $\sharp(\text{Num}_0(M)_q) \in \{0, (q + 1)/2, q\}$ and that if $\sharp(\text{Num}_0(M)_q) = (q + 1)/2$ to describe $\text{Num}_0(M)_q$ it is sufficient to find a single element of $\text{Num}_0(M)_q \setminus \{0\}$. For any q it is interesting to know if $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(M)_q$.

Set $\mathcal{B}_n := \{u \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \langle u, u \rangle = 0\}$. Let $\nu'_M : \mathcal{B}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ be the map defined by the formula $\nu'_M(u) = \langle u, Mu \rangle$.

REMARK 3.4. Take another $n \times n$ matrix $N = (n_{ij}) \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with $n_{ii} = m_{ii}$ for all i and $n_{ij} + n_{ji} = m_{ij} + m_{ji}$ for all $i \neq j$. The systems given by (3.2) and (3.3) for M and for N are the same, and hence, $\text{Num}_k(M)_q = \text{Num}_k(N)_q$ for all k and $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q = \text{Num}'_0(N)_q$. As a matrix N we may always take a triangular matrix. If q is odd (i.e., if we may divide by 2 in our fields \mathbb{F}_q and \mathbb{F}_{q^2}), then we may take as N a symmetric matrix.

REMARK 3.5. For all $c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q$ we have $\text{Num}_0(c\mathbb{I}_{n \times n} + dM)_q = d\text{Num}'_0(M)_q$ and $\text{Num}_k(c\mathbb{I}_{n \times n} + dM)_q = ck + d\text{Num}_k(M)_q$.

REMARK 3.6. Fix $k, b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and assume the existence of $d \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that $b = kd^2$. The map $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (dx_1, \dots, dx_n)$ shows that the system given by (3.2) and (3.3) has a solution if and only the system given by (3.2) and (3.3) with b instead of k and ad^2 instead of a has a solution. Hence, $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_q) = \sharp(\text{Num}_b(M)_q)$. If q is even, for all $k, b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ there is $d \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that $b = kd^2$ (Remark 2.3). Hence, if q is even, then $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_q) = \sharp(\text{Num}_1(M)_q)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and a description of $\text{Num}_1(M)_q$ gives a description of $\text{Num}_k(M)_q$ for all $k \neq 0$. Now assume q odd. The multiplicative group \mathbb{F}_q^* is cyclic of order $q - 1$ (Remark 2.1). Since $q - 1$ is even, the group $\mathbb{F}_q^*/(\mathbb{F}_q^*)^2$ has cardinality 2, and hence, to know all integers $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_q)$, $k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, or to describe all $\text{Num}_k(M)_q$, $k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, it is sufficient to know it for one k , which is a square in \mathbb{F}_q^* (e.g. for $k = 1$) and for one k , which is not a square in \mathbb{F}_q^* .

(a) Assume that q is even. For any $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ there is a unique $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $c^2 = k$ (Remark 2.3). Hence, (3.2) is equivalent to $(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + c)^2 = 0$, i.e., to

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = c.$$

Hence, the system given by (3.2) and (3.3) is equivalent to the system given by (3.3) and (3.4). Writing $x_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i + c$ we translate the system given by (3.3) and (3.4) into a degree 2 polynomial in x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} . If $k = a = 0$, then this is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 in $n - 1$ variables, and hence, it has a non-trivial solution if $n - 1 \geq 3$ ([4, Corollary 1], [12, Theorem 3.1]), proving the following result.

COROLLARY 3.7. *If M has coefficients in \mathbb{F}_q , q is even and $n \geq 4$, then $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(M)_q$.*

If k and/or a are arbitrary the system given by (3.3) and (3.4) is equivalent to find a solution in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-1} of a certain polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ with degree at most 2. We only fix $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$, but not a . Call $f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ the left hand side of (3.3) obtaining substituting $x_n = -x_1 - \dots - x_{n-1} + c$. $\text{Num}_k(M)_q$ is described by the image of the map $\mathbb{F}_q^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ associated to the polynomial $f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ with $\deg(f) \leq 2$. We claim that if f is not a constant polynomial, then the image of f has cardinality at least $q/2$. Indeed, if $\deg(f) = 1$, then f induces a surjective map $\mathbb{F}_q^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$. Now assume $\deg(f) = 2$. For any map $h: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ induced by a degree 2 polynomial a fiber of h has cardinality at most 2. Hence, $\sharp(h(\mathbb{F}_q)) \geq q/2$. Hence, $\sharp(f(\mathbb{F}_q^{n-1})) \geq q/2$. See part (i) of Proposition 3.9 for a case with $f \equiv 0$, $\text{Num}_0(M)_q = \{0\}$ and $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q = \emptyset$.

(b) Assume that q is odd. Taking $a = k = 0$, we get that (3.2) and (3.3) are a system of two degree 2 homogeneous equations. Chevalley-Waring theorem ([12, Theorem 3.1]) gives the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.8. *If M has coefficients in \mathbb{F}_q , q is odd and $n \geq 5$, then $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(M)_q$.*

The left hand side of (3.2) is a non-degenerate quadratic form $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. If $n = 2s$ β is characterized in [4, Table 5.1] with $m = n$ (because all the coefficients, 1, appearing on the left hand side of (3.2) are squares in \mathbb{F}_q): it is a hyperbolic quadric if either s is even or $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and s is odd, while it is elliptic if s is odd and $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$.

Now we consider the case $n = 2$ for an arbitrary q .

PROPOSITION 3.9. *Assume $n = 2$ and let $N = (n_{ij})$ be the 2×2 -matrix with $n_{11} = m_{11}$, $n_{22} = m_{22}$, $n_{21} = 0$ and $n_{12} = m_{12} + m_{21}$. We have $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q = \text{Num}'_0(N)_q$ and $\text{Num}_k(M)_q = \text{Num}_k(N)_q$ for all $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$.*

(i) *If $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, then $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q = \emptyset$.*

(ii) *Assume that q is even. If $m_{22} + m_{12} + m_{21} + m_{11} \neq 0$, then $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_q) \geq q/2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. If $m_{22} + m_{12} + m_{21} + m_{11} = 0$, then $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q = \{0\}$; for any fixed $k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ either $\text{Num}_k(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_q$ or $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_q) = 1$. If $m_{12} + m_{21} = 0$ and $m_{11} \neq m_{22}$, then $\text{Num}_k(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_q$ for all $k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.*

(iii) *Assume $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.*

(iii-1) *If $m_{12} + m_{21} \neq 0$, then $\text{Num}_0(M)_q$ contains at least $(q-1)/2$ elements of \mathbb{F}_q^* . Take $e \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $e^2 = -1$; if $(m_{12} + m_{21})^2 \neq (m_{22} - m_{11})^2$ and $(-m_{11} + m_{22} + e(m_{12} + m_{21})) / (-m_{11} + m_{22} - e(m_{12} + m_{21}))$ is not a square in \mathbb{F}_q , then $\text{Num}_0(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_q$.*

(iii-2) *Assume $m_{12} + m_{21} = 0$. If $m_{11} = m_{22}$, then $\text{Num}_k(M)_q = \{km_{11}\}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(M)_q$. If $m_{11} \neq m_{22}$, then $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_q) \leq (q+1)/2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $\sharp(\text{Num}_0(M)_q) = (q+1)/2$ and $\sharp(\text{Num}'_0(M)_q) = (q-1)/2$.*

Proof. We have $\text{Num}_k(N)_q = \text{Num}_k(M)_q$ and $\text{Num}'_0(N)_q = \text{Num}'_0(M)_q$ by Remark 3.4.

Take $u = x_1e_1 + x_2e_2$ with $\langle u, u \rangle = k$ and $\langle u, Mu \rangle = a$. Hence, we get the system given by (3.2) and (3.3). If q is even, then instead of (3.2) we may use (3.4) with $c^2 = k$.

(a) Assume for the moment $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. Thus, q is odd and $(-1)^{(q-1)/2} = -1$ in \mathbb{Z} . Since \mathbb{F}_q^* is a cyclic group of order $q-1$, we get that -1 is not a square in \mathbb{F}_q^* . Hence, (3.2) for $k = 0$ has only the solution

$x_1 = x_2 = 0$.

(b) Now assume that q is even. Take $k = 0$ in (3.4). We have $x_1 + x_2 = 0$ if and only if $x_1 = x_2$. When $x_1 = x_2$, (3.3) is equivalent to $(m_{22} + m_{12} + m_{21} + m_{11})x_1^2 = a$. If $m_{22} + m_{12} + m_{21} + m_{11} = 0$, then we get $a = 0$ and so $\text{Num}_0(M)_q = \{0\}$; taking $x_1 = x_2 = 1$ we get $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q = \{0\}$. Now assume $m_{22} + m_{12} + m_{21} + m_{11} \neq 0$. If $a = 0$, we get $x_1 = 0$ and so $x_2 = 0$, and hence, $0 \notin \text{Num}'_0(M)_q$. Now assume $a \neq 0$. There is a unique $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that $b^2 = a/(m_{22} + m_{12} + m_{21} + m_{11})$ (Remark 2.3). Taking $x_1 = x_2 = b$ we get $a \in \text{Num}'_0(M)_q$.

Now we fix $k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and write $c^2 = k$ with $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ (Remark 2.3). We have $x_2 = x_1 + c$ by (3.4). Substituting this equation in (3.3) we get an equation $f(x_1) = a$ with $\deg(f) \leq 2$. The coefficient of x_1^2 in f is $m_{11} + m_{12} + m_{22} + m_{21}$. If $m_{11} + m_{12} + m_{22} + m_{21} \neq 0$, then $\sharp(f(\mathbb{F}_q)) \geq q/2$, because $\sharp(f^{-1}(t)) \leq 2$ for all $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$. If $m_{11} + m_{12} + m_{22} + m_{21} = 0$, then either f has degree 1 and so it induces a bijection $\mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ or it is a constant, α (we allow the case $\alpha = 0$), and hence, $\text{Num}_k(M)_q = \{\alpha\}$. Now assume $m_{12} + m_{21} = 0$ and $m_{11} \neq m_{22}$. Take $k = c^2$. Substituting (3.4), i.e., $x_2 = x_1 + c$ in (3.3) we get $(m_{11} + m_{22})x_1^2 + c(m_{11} + m_{22}) = a$. Since $m_{11} + m_{22} \neq 0$ and every element of \mathbb{F}_q is square (Remark 2.3), we get $\text{Num}_k(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_q$ for all k .

(c) Now assume that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Since $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $(q - 1)/2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Since \mathbb{F}_q^* is a cyclic group of order $q - 1$, there is $e \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ with $e^2 = -1$. We have $e \neq -e$ and $t^2 = -1$ with $t \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ if and only if $t \in \{-e, e\}$. First take $k = 0$, and hence, $x_1 = tx_2$ with $t^2 = -1$, i.e., $t \in \{e, -e\}$. Assume for the moment $m_{12} + m_{21} \neq 0$. Hence, there is $g \in \{e, -e\}$ such that $-m_{11} + g(m_{12} + m_{21}) + m_{22} \neq 0$. Take $x_1 = gx_2$. Since $g^2 = -1$, we have $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 0$ and (3.3) is transformed into $(-m_{11} + g(m_{12} + m_{21}) + m_{22})x_2^2 = a$. We get that $\text{Num}_0(M)_q$ contains the set $\Delta_{a,g}$ of all $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that $a/(-m_{11} + g(m_{12} + m_{21}) + m_{22})$ is a square. Since $(q - 1)/2$ elements of \mathbb{F}_q^* are squares (Remark 2.3), we get the first part of (iii1). Now assume the conditions of the second part of (iii1). If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ are squares, then $\alpha\beta$ and $\alpha/\beta = \alpha\beta/\beta^2$ are squares. Hence, if $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and α is a square, then γ is a square $\Leftrightarrow \alpha\gamma$ is a square $\Leftrightarrow \alpha/\gamma$ is a square. Hence, $\Delta_{a,-g}$ is well-defined, $\Delta_{a,-g} \subset \text{Num}_0(N)_q$ and $\Delta_{a,-g} \cap \Delta_{a,g} = \emptyset$. Thus, $\text{Num}_0(N)_q = \mathbb{F}_q$.

Now assume $m_{12} + m_{21} = 0$. We have $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q = \text{Num}_0(N)_q$ and $\text{Num}_k(M)_q = \text{Num}_k(N)_q$, where $N = (n_{ij})$ is the diagonal matrix with $n_{11} = m_{11}$ and $n_{22} = m_{22}$. If $m_{11} = m_{22}$, then $N = m_{11}\mathbb{I}_{2 \times 2}$, and hence, $\text{Num}_k(N)_q = \{km_{11}\}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(N)_q$, because $\nu'(e, 1) = 0$. Now assume $m_{11} \neq m_{22}$. We fix $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$, but not a . Subtracting m_{11} times (3.2) from (3.3) we get $(m_{22} - m_{11})x_2^2 = a - km_{11}$. Since $m_{22} \neq m_{11}$ and $(q + 1)/2$ elements of \mathbb{F}_q are squares, we get that $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(N)_q) \leq (q + 1)/2$ (we only get the inequality \leq , because for a given $b \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we are not sure that the equation $x_1^2 + b^2 = k$ has a solution). If $k = 0$, we may always take $x_1 = eb$ and so $\sharp(\text{Num}_0(N)_q) = (q + 1)/2$. We have $0 \notin \text{Num}'_0(N)_q$, because we first get $x_2 = 0$ and then $x_1 = 0$. \square

The case $k \neq 0$ of step (c) of the proof of Proposition 3.9 proves the following observation.

REMARK 3.10. Assume $n = 2$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $m_{12} + m_{21} = 0$. If $m_{11} = m_{22}$, then $\text{Num}_k(M)_q = \{km_{11}\}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$. If $m_{11} \neq m_{22}$, then $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_q) \leq (q + 1)/2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.

COROLLARY 3.11. Assume $n \geq 2$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and fix an $n \times n$ -matrix $M = (m_{ij})$ with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_q .

(i) Assume $m_{ij} + m_{ji} = 0$ for all i, j with $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $m_{ii} = m_{11}$ for all i . Then $\text{Num}_k(M)_q = \{km_{11}\}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(M)_q$.

(ii) If M is not as in (i), then $\text{Num}_0(M)_q$ contains at least $(q - 1)/2$ elements of \mathbb{F}_q^* .

Proof. Let N be the $n \times n$ -matrix with $n_{ii} = m_{ii}$ for all i , $n_{ij} = 0$ for all $i < j$ and $n_{ij} = m_{ij} + m_{ji}$ for all $i < j$. We have $\text{Num}_k(M)_q = \text{Num}_k(N)_q$ and $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q = \text{Num}'_0(N)_q$ by Remark 3.4. Take M as in part (i). We have $N = m_{11}\mathbb{I}_{n \times n}$. Hence, $\text{Num}_k(M)_q = \{km_{11}\}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$. We have $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(N)_q$, because the equation $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 0$ has a non-trivial solution, e.g. $(e, 1)$ with $e^2 = -1$. Now assume that M is not as in (i). Hence, either there are $i < j$ with $m_{ij} + m_{ji} \neq 0$ or there is $i > 1$ with $m_{ii} \neq m_{11}$. In the former (resp., latter) case, we use part (iii1) (resp., (iii2)) of Proposition 3.9. \square

EXAMPLE 3.12. We always have $\text{Num}_k(M)_q \subseteq \text{Num}_k(M) \cap \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q \subseteq \text{Num}'_0(M) \cap \mathbb{F}_q$, but often these inclusions are strict ones. In the examples, we take $n = 2$. Take $M = \mathbb{I}_{2 \times 2}$. We have $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(M)$ by Remark 2.4. If $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, then $0 \notin \text{Num}'_0(M)_q$ by part (i) of Proposition 3.9. Now take $n = 2$ and $A = (a_{ij})$ with $a_{11} = a_{21} = a_{12} = 0$ and $a_{22} = 1$. We have $\text{Num}_0(A) \cap \mathbb{F}_q = \text{Num}_0(A) = \mathbb{F}_q$ (part (d) of Proposition 2.8). If $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ we have $\text{Num}_0(A)_q = \{0\}$ (part (i) of Proposition 3.9). If $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ we have $\sharp(\text{Num}'_0(A)_q) = (q - 1)/2$ (part (iii2) of Proposition 3.9).

PROPOSITION 3.13. Assume $n \geq 2$ and q even and fix an $n \times n$ -matrix $M = (m_{ij})$ with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_q .

- (a) We have $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q \neq \emptyset$ and either $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(M)_q$ or $\text{Num}_0(M)_q \supseteq \mathbb{F}_q^*$.
- (b) We have $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q = \{0\}$ if and only if $m_{ii} + m_{ij} + m_{ji} + m_{jj} = 0$ for all $i < j$.
- (c) Assume $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q \neq \{0\}$. If $n = 2$, (resp., $n = 3$, resp., $n \geq 4$), then $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_q^*$ (resp., $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q \supseteq \mathbb{F}_q^*$, resp., $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q = \mathbb{F}_q$).

Proof. Part (a) follows from the case $n = 2$, which is true by part (ii) of Proposition 3.9.

The “only if” part of part (b) follows from part (a) and the case $n = 2$, which is true by part (ii) of Proposition 3.9.

Now assume $n \geq 3$ and $m_{ii} + m_{ij} + m_{ji} + m_{jj} = 0$ for all $i < j$. Take $u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i$, $x_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, the coefficient of x_i^2 in $\langle u, Mu \rangle$ is m_{ii} . If $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ the coefficient of $x_i x_j$ in $\langle u, Mu \rangle$ is $m_{ij} + m_{ji}$. Now assume $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$, i.e., $x_n = x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1}$. Note that $x_n^2 = x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n-1}^2$. Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. After this substitution the coefficient of x_i^2 in $\langle u, Mu \rangle$ is $m_{ii} + m_{nn} + m_{in} + m_{ni} = 0$. Fix $1 \leq i < j \leq n-1$. After the substitution $x_n = x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1}$ the coefficient of $x_i x_j$ in $\langle u, Mu \rangle$ is $m_{ij} + m_{ji} + m_{ni} + m_{in} + m_{nj} + m_{jn}$. By assumption we have $m_{ij} + m_{ji} = m_{ii} + m_{jj}$, $m_{ni} + m_{in} = m_{ii} + m_{nn}$ and $m_{nj} + m_{jn} = m_{jj} + m_{nn}$. Hence, $m_{ij} + m_{ji} + m_{ni} + m_{in} + m_{nj} + m_{jn} = 2m_{ii} + 2m_{jj} + 2m_{nn} = 0$. Part (a) gives $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q = \{0\}$.

The case $n = 2$ of part (c) is true by part (ii) of Proposition 3.9. Part (c) for $n = 3$ follows from part (a). Part (c) for $n \geq 4$ follows from part (a) and Corollary 3.7. \square

LEMMA 3.14. For every $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$, q odd, and any $a_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, $a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ there are $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $a_1 x_1^2 + a_2 x_2^2 = k$.

Proof. If $k = 0$, then take $x_1 = x_2 = 0$. Now assume $k \neq 0$. The equation $a_1 x_1^2 + a_2 x_2^2 - k x_3^2 = 0$ is the equation of a smooth conic $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$, because for odd q and non-zero a_1, a_2, k the partial derivatives of $a_1 x_1^2 + a_2 x_2^2 - k x_3^2$ have only $(0, 0, 0)$ as their common zero. We have $\sharp(C) = q + 1$ ([4, Part (i) of Theorem 5.2.6]) and at most two of its points are contained in the line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with $x_3 = 0$ as its equation. If $(b_1 : b_2 : b_3) \in C \setminus C \cap L$, then $b_3 \neq 0$ and $a_1(b_1/b_3)^2 + a_2(b_2/b_3)^2 = k$. \square

PROPOSITION 3.15. Fix $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and set $M := c\mathbb{I}_{n \times n}$.

(i) If q is even, then $\text{Num}'_0(c\mathbb{I}_{n \times n})_q = \{0\}$ for all $n \geq 2$ and $\sharp(\nu'_M{}^{-1}(0)) = q^{n-1}$.

(ii) Assume that q is odd. We have $\text{Num}'_0(c\mathbb{I}_{n \times n})_q = \{0\}$ if either $n \geq 3$ or $n = 2$ and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, while $\text{Num}'_0(c\mathbb{I}_{n \times n})_q = \emptyset$ if $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. If $n = 2s + 1$ is odd, then $\sharp(\nu'_M{}^{-1}(0)) = q^{2s}$. If $n = 2s$ with either s even or $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\sharp(\nu'_M{}^{-1}(0)) = q^{2s-1} + q^s - q^{s-1}$. If $n = 2s$ with s odd and $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, then $\sharp(\nu'_M{}^{-1}(0)) = q^{2s-1} - q^s + q^{s-1}$.

Proof. We obviously have $\langle u, c\mathbb{I}_{n \times n}u \rangle = 0$ for any $u \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$. Thus, the only problem is if there is $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, $u \neq 0$, with $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$ and to compute the cardinality of the set of all such u . Write $u = \sum_i x_i e_i$ with $x_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$. First assume that q is even. In this case, the condition $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$ is equivalent to (3.4) with $c = 0$ and it has a non-trivial solution for all $n \geq 2$; moreover the set $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$ is the hyperplane $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0$ of \mathbb{F}_q^n , and hence, it has cardinality q^{n-1} . Now assume that q is odd. In this case, (3.2) with $k = 0$ is the equation of a certain quadric hypersurface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(c\mathbb{I}_{n \times n})_q$ if and only if $Q(\mathbb{F}_q) \neq \emptyset$, while (since we are working in the vector space \mathbb{F}_q^n , instead of the associated projective space) $\sharp(\nu'_M{}^{-1}(0)) = 1 + (q-1)\sharp(Q)$. The quadric Q has always full rank, and hence, $Q \neq \emptyset$ if $n-1 \geq 2$. The integer $\sharp(Q)$ is computed in [4, Table 5.1 and Theorem 5.2.6]. \square

PROPOSITION 3.16. Assume $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ and $n \geq 3$. Then $\text{Num}'_0(M)_q \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. It is sufficient to do the case $n = 3$. Just use that $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 0$ has a solution $\neq (0, 0, 0)$ in \mathbb{F}_q^3 by Lemma 3.14 (since q is odd, it has exactly q^2 solutions in \mathbb{F}_q^3 , because the associated conic $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ has cardinality $q + 1$ ([4, Part (i) of Theorem 5.2.6])). \square

The assumption “ $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ if $n = 2$ ” in the next result is necessary by part (i) of Proposition 3.9.

PROPOSITION 3.17. Assume q odd. If $n = 2$ assume $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Let $M = (m_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix such that $m_{ij} + m_{ji} = 0$ for all $i \neq j$, $m_{11} \neq m_{22}$ and $m_{ii} = m_{22}$ for all $i > 2$. Then $\sharp(\text{Num}_0(M)_q) = (q+1)/2$ and $\text{Num}_0(M)_q \setminus \{0\}$ is the set of all $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that $-a/(m_{22} - m_{11})$ is a square. We have $0 \in \text{Num}'_0(M)_q$ if and only if either $n \geq 4$ or $n = 3$ and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. By Remark 3.4, it is sufficient to do the case in which M is a diagonal matrix. The case $n = 2$ is true by part (iii2) of Proposition 3.9. Now assume $n \geq 3$. Taking the difference of (3.3) with (3.2) multiplied by m_{11} we get $(m_{22} - m_{11})(x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2) = a$, while (3.2) gives $x_1^2 = -(x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2)$. Thus, if $-a/(m_{22} - m_{11})$ is not a square, then $a \notin \text{Num}_0(M)_q$. If $-a/(m_{22} - m_{11})$ is a square, then we take $x_i = 0$ for $i > 3$, take x_2 and x_3 such that $(m_{22} - m_{11})(x_2^2 + x_3^2) = a$ (Lemma 3.17) and then take x_1 with $x_1^2 = -a/(m_{22} - m_{11})$. Now take $a = 0$. If $n \geq 4$ we take $x_1 = 0$, $x_j = 0$ for all $j > 4$ and find $(x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{F}_q^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$ such that $x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = 0$ (take $x_3 = 1$ and use Lemma 3.14 with $a_1 = a_2 = 1$ and $k = -1$). Now assume $a = 0$ and $n = 3$. We proved that we need to have $x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 0$, and hence, we need to have $x_1 = 0$. There is $(x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ with $x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 0$ if and only if -1 is a square in \mathbb{F}_q , i.e., if and only if $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. \square

LEMMA 3.18. Let r be a prime power. Let $f \in \mathbb{F}_r[t_1, t_2]$ be a polynomial of degree at most 2 with f not a constant. Then f assumes at least $\lceil r/2 \rceil$ values over \mathbb{F}_r .

Proof. Let $\phi : \mathbb{F}_r^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_r$ be the map induced by f . Since $\deg(f) \leq 2$ and f is not constant, for each $a \in \mathbb{F}_r$, $\phi^{-1}(a)$ is an affine conic and in particular $\sharp(\phi^{-1}(a)) \leq 2r$. Hence, $\sharp(\phi(\mathbb{F}_r^2)) \geq \lceil r/2 \rceil$. \square

PROPOSITION 3.19. Assume q odd and $n \geq 3$. Let $M = (m_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{F}_q such that there is $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $m_{ij} + m_{ji} = 0$ for at least 2 indices $j \neq i$ (say j_1 and j_2) and either $m_{j_1 j_1} \neq m_{ii}$ or $m_{j_2 j_2} \neq m_{ii}$ or $m_{j_1 j_2} + m_{j_2 j_1} \neq 0$. Then $\sharp(\text{Num}_k(M)_q) \geq (q + 1)/2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Proof. We reduce to the case $n = 3$ and $m_{32} + m_{23} = m_{31} + m_{13} = 0$ and either $m_{11} \neq m_{33}$ or $m_{22} \neq m_{33}$ or $m_{12} + m_{21} \neq 0$. By Remark 3.4 we may assume that $m_{32} = m_{23} = m_{31} = m_{13} = 0$. Taking the difference between (3.3) and m_{33} times (3.3) we get

$$(m_{11} - m_{33})x_1^2 + (m_{12} + m_{21})x_1x_2 + (m_{22} - m_{33})x_2^2 = a - km_{33}.$$

Solve for a and apply Lemma 3.18. □

Acknowledgment. We thank the referee for useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Ballico. On the numerical range of matrices over a finite field. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 512:162–171, 2017.
- [2] J.I. Coons, J. Jenkins, D. Knowles, R.A. Luke, and P.X. Rault. Numerical ranges over finite fields. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 501:37–47, 2016.
- [3] K.E. Gustafson and D.K.M. Rao. *Numerical Range. The Field of Values of Linear Operators and Matrices*. Springer, New York, 1997.
- [4] J.W.P. Hirschfeld. *Projective Geometries Over Finite Fields*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979.
- [5] J.W.P. Hirschfeld. *Finite Projective Spaces of Three Dimensions*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1985.
- [6] J.W.P. Hirschfeld and J.A. Thas. *General Galois Geometries*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1991.
- [7] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1985.
- [8] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johnson. *Topics in Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [9] R. Lindl and H. Niederreiter. *Finite Fields*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [10] R. Lindl and H. Niederreiter. *Introduction to Finite Fields and Their Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [11] P.J. Psarrakos and M.J. Tsatsomeros. *Numerical range: (in) a matrix nutshell*. Mathematical Notes from Washington State University, Part 1, vol. 45, 2002; Part 2, vol. 46, 2003.
- [12] C. Small. *Arithmetic of Finite Fields*. Marcel & Dekker, New York, 1973.