

2003

## The path polynomial of a complete graph

C. M. da Fonseca  
cmf@mat.uc.pt

Follow this and additional works at: <http://repository.uwyo.edu/ela>

---

### Recommended Citation

da Fonseca, C. M.. (2003), "The path polynomial of a complete graph", *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, Volume 10.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.13001/1081-3810.1103>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Wyoming Scholars Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra by an authorized editor of Wyoming Scholars Repository. For more information, please contact [scholcom@uwyo.edu](mailto:scholcom@uwyo.edu).

## THE PATH POLYNOMIAL OF A COMPLETE GRAPH\*

C. M. DA FONSECA<sup>†</sup>

**Abstract.** Let  $P_k(x)$  denote the polynomial of the path on  $k$  vertices. A complete description of the matrix that is obtained by evaluating  $P_k(x)$  at the adjacency matrix of the complete graph, along with computing the effect of evaluating  $P_k(x)$  with Laplacian matrices of a path and of a circuit.

**Key words.** Graph, Adjacency matrix, Laplacian matrix, Characteristic polynomial.

**AMS subject classifications.** 05C38, 05C50.

**1. Introduction and preliminaries.** For a finite and undirected graph  $G$  without loops or multiple edges, with  $n$  vertices, let us define the *polynomial of  $G$* ,  $P_G$ , as the characteristic polynomial of its adjacency matrix,  $A(G)$ , *i.e.*,

$$P_G(x) = \det(xI_n - A(G)).$$

When the graph is a path with  $n$  vertices, we simply call  $P_G$  the *path polynomial* and denote it by  $P_n$ . Define  $A_n$  as the adjacency matrix of a path on  $n$  vertices.

For several interesting classes of graphs,  $A(G_i)$  is a polynomial in  $A(G)$ , where  $G_i$  is the  $i$ th distance graph of  $G$  ([5]). Actually, for distance-regular graphs,  $A(G_i)$  is a polynomial in  $A(G)$  of degree  $i$ , and this property characterizes these kind of graphs ([14]).

In [4], Bezer has asked when a polynomial of an adjacency matrix will be the adjacency matrix of another graph. Bezer gave a solution in the case that the original graph is a path.

**THEOREM 1.1** ([4]). *Suppose that  $p(x)$  is a polynomial of degree less than  $n$ . Then  $p(A_n)$  is the adjacency matrix of graph if and only if  $p(x) = P_{2i+1}(x)$ , for some  $i$ , with  $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$ .*

In the same paper, Bezer gave an elegant formula for  $P_k(A_n)$  with  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , and Bapat and Lal, in [1], completely described the structure of  $P_k(A_n)$ , for all integers  $k$ . This result was also reached by Fonseca and Petronilho ([10]) in a non-inductive way.

**THEOREM 1.2** ([1],[4],[10]). *For  $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$ ,  $n$  being a positive integer,*

$$(P_k(A_n))_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i + j = k + 2r, \text{ with } 1 \leq r \leq \min\{i, j, n - k\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In [12], Shi Ronghua obtained some generalizations of the ones achieved by Bapat and Lal. Later, in [10], Fonseca and Petronilho determined the matrix  $P_k(C_n)$ , where  $C_n$  is the adjacency matrix of a circuit on  $n$  vertices.

---

\*Received by the editors on 15 March 2003. Accepted for publication on 02 May 2003. Handling Editor: Ravindar B. Bapat.

<sup>†</sup>Departamento de Matemática, Universidade de Coimbra, 3001-454 COIMBRA, PORTUGAL (cmf@mat.uc.pt). Supported by CMUC - *Centro de Matemática da Universidade de Coimbra*.

Consider the permutation  $\sigma = (12 \dots n)$ .

THEOREM 1.3 ([10]). For any nonnegative integer  $k$ ,

$$P_k(C_n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \delta_{2r, k+2+j-n} P(\sigma^j),$$

where  $\delta$  is the Kronecker function,  $\sigma$  is the permutation  $(12 \dots n)$ ,  $P(\sigma^j)$  is the permutation matrix of  $\sigma^j$  and  $n$  runs over the multiples of  $n$ .

According to Bapat and Lal (cf. [1]), a graph  $G$  is called *path-positive of order  $m$*  if  $P_k(G) \geq 0$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , and  $G$  is simply called *path-positive* if it is path-positive of any order. In [3], Bapat and Lal have characterized all graphs that are path-positive. The following corollary is immediate from the theorem above.

COROLLARY 1.4. The circuit  $C_n$  is path-positive.

We define the *complete graph*  $K_n$ , to be the graph with  $n$  vertices in which each pair of vertices is adjacent. The adjacency matrix of a complete graph, which we identify also by  $K_n$ , is the  $n \times n$  matrix

$$(1.1) \quad K_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In this note, we evaluate  $P_k(K_n)$ .

**2. The polynomial  $P_k$ .** Let us consider the tridiagonal matrix  $A_k$  whose entries are given by

$$(A_k)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |i - j| = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The expansion of the determinant

$$\det(xI_k - A_k) = P_k(x)$$

along the first row or column gives us the recurrence relation

$$(2.1) \quad P_k(x) = xP_{k-1}(x) - P_{k-2}(x),$$

for any positive integer  $k$ , with the convention  $P_{-1}(x) = 0$  and  $P_0(x) = 1$ .

It is well known that

$$(2.2) \quad P_k(x) = U_k\left(\frac{x}{2}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots),$$

where  $U_k(x)$  are the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind.

From (2.2), it is straightforward to prove that

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{P_k(x) - P_k(y)}{x - y} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_\ell(x) P_{k-1-\ell}(y).$$

Then, from (2.1) and (2.3), we may conclude the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *For any positive integer  $k$  and square matrices  $A$  and  $B$ ,*

$$P_k(A) - P_k(B) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} P_\ell(A) (A - B) P_{k-1-\ell}(B).$$

As in Bapat and Lal [1], note that a connected graph is path-positive if it has a spanning subgraph which is path-positive. Thus we have this immediate corollary from Corollary 1.4 .

COROLLARY 2.2. *The complete graph  $K_n$  is path-positive.*

**3. Evaluating  $P_k$  of a complete graph.** If a matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  satisfies the relation

$$a_{ij} = a_1 \sigma^{1-i}(j)$$

we say that  $A$  is a *circulant matrix*. Therefore, to define a circulant matrix  $A$  is equivalent to presenting an  $n$ -tuple, say  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Then

$$A = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i P(\sigma^i),$$

and its eigenvalues are given by

$$(3.1) \quad \lambda_h = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{h\ell} a_\ell,$$

where  $\zeta = \exp(i\frac{2\pi}{n})$ . Given a polynomial  $p(x)$ , the image of  $A$  is

$$p(A) = p\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i P(\sigma^i)\right) = n^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-hj} p\left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{h\ell} a_\ell\right) P(\sigma^j).$$

Then,

$$P_k\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i P(\sigma^i)\right) = n^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-hj} P_k(\lambda_h) P(\sigma^j),$$

where  $\lambda_h$  is defined as in (3.1).

The matrix  $K_n$ , defined in (1.1), is a circulant matrix and it can be written

$$K_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P(\sigma^i).$$

By (3.1),  $K_n$  has the eigenvalues  $\lambda_0 = n - 1$  and  $\lambda_\ell = -1$ , for  $\ell = 1, \dots, n - 1$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} P_k(K_n) &= P_k\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} P(\sigma^i)\right) \\ &= n^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} \zeta^{-hj} P_k(\lambda_h) P(\sigma^j) \\ &= n^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( P_k(n-1) + P_k(-1) \sum_{h=1}^{n-1} \zeta^{-hj} \right) P(\sigma^j) \\ &= P_k(-1) P(\sigma^0) + n^{-1} (P_k(n-1) - P_k(-1)) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} P(\sigma^j). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $P(\sigma^0)$  is the identity matrix.

We have thus proved the main result of this section:

**THEOREM 3.1.** *For any nonnegative integer  $k$ , the diagonal entries of  $P_k(K_n)$  are the weighted average  $\frac{1}{n}P_k(n-1) + \frac{n-1}{n}P_k(-1)$  and the off-diagonal entries are  $\frac{1}{n}P_k(n-1) - \frac{1}{n}P_k(-1)$ .*

We can easily evaluate the different values of each term of the sum  $P_k(K_n)$ . According to (2.2),

$$P_k(-1) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ 0 & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\ 1 & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \end{cases}.$$

Another relation already known ([11, p.72]) for  $P_k(x)$  is

$$P_k(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (-1)^\ell \binom{k-\ell}{\ell} x^{k-2\ell},$$

where  $\lfloor z \rfloor$  denotes the greatest integer less or equal to  $z$ . Therefore we have also

$$\begin{aligned} P_k(n-1) - P_k(-1) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (-1)^\ell \binom{k-\ell}{\ell} ((n-1)^{k-2\ell} - (-1)^{k-2\ell}) \\ &= n \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \sum_{j=1}^{k-2\ell} (-1)^{k-j+\ell} \frac{(k-\ell)!}{\ell!j!(k-2\ell-j)!} n^{j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

**4. Evaluating  $P_k$  of some Laplacian matrices.** Let  $G$  be a graph. Denote  $D(G)$  the diagonal matrix of its vertex degrees and by  $A(G)$  its adjacency matrix. Then

$$L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$$

is the *Laplacian matrix* of  $G$ .

In this section, expressions for  $P_k(L(A_n))$  and  $P_k(L(C_n))$ , the path polynomials of the Laplacian matrices of a path and a circuit, respectively, with  $n$  vertices, are determined.

Let us consider the following recurrence relation:

$$\tilde{P}_0(x) = 1, \quad \tilde{P}_1(x) = x + 1,$$

$$\tilde{P}_k(x) = (x + 2)\tilde{P}_{k-1}(x) - \tilde{P}_{k-2}(x), \quad \text{for } 2 \leq k \leq n - 1,$$

and

$$\tilde{P}_n(x) = (x + 1)\tilde{P}_{n-1}(x) - \tilde{P}_{n-2}(x).$$

Therefore

$$\tilde{P}_k(x) = U_k\left(\frac{x}{2} + 1\right) - U_{k-1}\left(\frac{x}{2} + 1\right), \quad \text{for } 2 \leq k \leq n - 1,$$

and

$$\tilde{P}_n(x) = xU_{n-1}\left(\frac{x}{2} + 1\right).$$

where  $U_k(x)$  are the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind.

Then the zeroes of  $\tilde{P}_n(x)$  are

$$\lambda_j = 2 \cos \frac{j\pi}{n} - 2, \quad j = 0, \dots, n - 1.$$

The recurrence relation above can be written in the following matricial way:

$$x \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{P}_0(x) \\ \tilde{P}_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{P}_{n-2}(x) \\ \tilde{P}_{n-1}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & & & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & & & & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{P}_0(x) \\ \tilde{P}_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{P}_{n-2}(x) \\ \tilde{P}_{n-1}(x) \end{bmatrix} + \tilde{P}_n(x) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, for  $j = 0, \dots, n - 1$ , the vector

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{P}_0(\lambda_j) \\ \tilde{P}_1(\lambda_j) \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{P}_{n-2}(\lambda_j) \\ \tilde{P}_{n-1}(\lambda_j) \end{bmatrix} = \left( \cos \frac{j\pi}{2n} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \frac{j\pi}{2n} \\ \cos 3\frac{j\pi}{2n} \\ \vdots \\ \cos(2n-3)\frac{j\pi}{2n} \\ \cos(2n-1)\frac{j\pi}{2n} \end{bmatrix}$$

is an eigenvector associated to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$  of  $-L(A_n)$ .

Therefore the matrix  $-L(A_n)$  is diagonalizable and, for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , the  $(i, j)$ th entry of  $P_k(L(A_n))$  is given by

$$(P_k(L(A_n)))_{ij} = (-1)^k \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \frac{\tilde{P}_{i-1}(\lambda_\ell) P_k(\lambda_\ell) \tilde{P}_{j-1}(\lambda_\ell)}{\sum_{s=1}^n (\tilde{P}_{s-1}(\lambda_\ell))^2}$$

which is equal to

$$\frac{(-1)^k \cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{2}\right)}{n} + \frac{(-1)^k 2}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \cos(2i-1) \frac{\ell\pi}{2n} U_k\left(\cos\frac{\ell\pi}{n} - 1\right) \cos(2j-1) \frac{\ell\pi}{2n}.$$

If we define

$$\alpha_m^p = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \cos m \frac{\ell\pi}{n} \cos^p \frac{\ell\pi}{n},$$

then

$$\alpha_m^p = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_{m-1}^{p-1} + \alpha_{m+1}^{p-1})$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \alpha_m^p = \frac{1}{2^p} \sum_{\ell=0}^p \binom{p}{\ell} \alpha_{m+2\ell-p}^0,$$

with

$$\alpha_m^0 = n\delta_{m,2\dot{n}} - \frac{1}{2}(1 + (-1)^m),$$

where  $\dot{n}$  represents a multiple of  $n$ .

Using the trigonometric transformation formula and the Taylor formula

$$U_k\left(\cos\frac{\ell\pi}{n} - 1\right) = \sum_{p=0}^k \frac{U_k^{(p)}(-1)}{p!} \cos^p \frac{\ell\pi}{n},$$

we can state the following proposition.

**THEOREM 4.1.** For  $0 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $n$  being a positive integer,

$$(P_k L(A_n))_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^k \cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{2}\right)}{n} + \frac{(-1)^k 2}{n} \sum_{p=0}^k \frac{U_k^{(p)}(-1)}{p!} (\alpha_{i-j}^p + \alpha_{i+j-1}^p),$$

where  $\alpha_m^p$  is defined as in (4.2).

Note that  $U_k^{(p)}(-1)$  can be easily evaluated, since

$$U_k(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (-1)^\ell \binom{k-\ell}{\ell} (2x)^{k-2\ell},$$

and then

$$U_k^{(p)}(-1) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} (-1)^{k-\ell-p} 2^{k-2\ell} \frac{(k-\ell)!}{\ell!(k-2\ell-p)!}.$$

Now, we can find the matrix  $P_k(L(C_n))$  using the same techniques of the last section.  $L(C_n)$  is the circulant matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \mathbf{0} & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & \mathbf{0} & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & & & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$L(C_n) = 2P(\sigma^0) - P(\sigma) - P(\sigma^{n-1}).$$

The eigenvalues of  $L(C_n)$  are

$$2 - 2 \cos \frac{2\ell\pi}{n},$$

for  $\ell = 0, \dots, n-1$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} P_k L(C_n) &= P_k (2P(\sigma^0) - P(\sigma) - P(\sigma^{n-1})) \\ &= n^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} e^{-i\frac{2\ell j\pi}{n}} U_k \left( 1 - \cos \frac{2\ell\pi}{n} \right) P(\sigma^j) \\ &= (-1)^k \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{p=0}^k \sum_{\ell=0}^p \frac{U_k^{(p)}(-1)}{\ell!(p-\ell)!2^p} \delta_{j+2\ell-p, n} P(\sigma^j). \end{aligned}$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R.B. Bapat and A.K. Lal. Path-positive Graphs. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 149:125–149, 1991.
- [2] R.B. Bapat and V.S. Sunder. On hypergroups of matrices. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 29:125–140, 1991.
- [3] R.B. Bapat and A.K. Lal. Path positivity and infinite Coxeter groups. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 196:19–35, 1994.
- [4] Robert A. Beezer. On the polynomial of a path. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 63:221–225, 1984.



- [5] N.L. Biggs. *Algebraic Graph Theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1974.
- [6] T.S. Chihara. *An introduction to orthogonal polynomials*. Gordon and Breach, New York, 1978.
- [7] D.M. Cvetković, M. Doob and H. Sachs. *Spectra of Graphs, Theory and Applications*. Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [8] P.J. Davis. *Circulant Matrices*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1979.
- [9] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F.G. Tricomi. *Higher Transcendental Functions. Vol. II*. Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co., Melbourne, FL, 1981.
- [10] C.M. da Fonseca and J. Petronilho. Path polynomials of a circuit: a constructive approach. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 44:313–325, 1998.
- [11] László Lovász. *Combinatorial Problems and Exercises*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.
- [12] Shi Ronghua. Path polynomials of a graph. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 31:181–187, 1996.
- [13] Alan C. Wilde. Differential equations involving circulant matrices. *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, 13:1–13, 1983.
- [14] P.M. Weichsel. On distance-regularity in graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B*, 32:156–161, 1982.