

2008

On the Brualdi-Liu conjecture for the even permanent

Ian M. Wanless

ian.wanless@sci.monash.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: <http://repository.uwyo.edu/ela>

Recommended Citation

Wanless, Ian M.. (2008), "On the Brualdi-Liu conjecture for the even permanent", *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, Volume 17.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.13001/1081-3810.1263>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Wyoming Scholars Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra by an authorized editor of Wyoming Scholars Repository. For more information, please contact scholcom@uwyo.edu.

ON THE BRUALDI-LIU CONJECTURE FOR THE EVEN PERMANENT*

IAN M. WANLESS[†]

Abstract. Counterexamples are given to Brualdi and Liu's conjectured even permanent analogue of the van der Waerden-Egorychev-Falikman Theorem.

Key words. Even permanent, Doubly stochastic, Permutation matrix.

AMS subject classifications. 15A15.

For an $n \times n$ matrix $M = [m_{ij}]$ consider the sum

$$\sum_{\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^n m_{i\sigma(i)}.$$

If the sum is taken over all permutations σ of $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ then we get $\text{per}(M)$, the *permanent* of M . If, however, we only take the sum over all even permutations σ of $[n]$ then we get $\text{per}^{\text{ev}}(M)$, the *even permanent* of M .

Let Ω_n denote the set of doubly stochastic matrices (non-negative matrices with row and column sums 1). It is well known that Ω_n consists of all matrices which can be written as a convex combination of permutation matrices of order n . By analogy we define Ω_n^{ev} to be the set of all matrices which can be written as a convex combination of *even* permutation matrices of order n .

The famous van der Waerden-Egorychev-Falikman Theorem states that $\text{per}(M) \geq n!/n^n$ for all $M \in \Omega_n$ with equality iff every entry of M equals $1/n$. Similarly, Brualdi and Liu [2] conjectured $\text{per}^{\text{ev}}(M) \geq \frac{1}{2}n!/n^n$ for all $M \in \Omega_n^{\text{ev}}$ with equality iff every entry of M equals $1/n$. They claimed their conjecture was true for $n \leq 3$. We show below that their conjecture is false for $n \in \{4, 5\}$, although we leave open the possibility that it is true for larger n . For background on all of the above, see Brualdi's new book [1].

Let

$$C_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Received by the editors 1 January 2007. Accepted for publication 5 June 2008. Handling Editor: Richard A. Brualdi.

[†]School of Mathematical Sciences, Monash University, Vic 3800 Australia (ian.wanless@sci.monash.edu.au). Research supported by ARC grant DP0662946.

Then $C_4 \in \Omega_4^{\text{ev}}$ since

$$C_4 = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To show that C_4 is a counterexample we consider the more general problem of finding $\text{per}^{\text{ev}}(C_n)$ where C_n is the $n \times n$ matrix with zeroes on the main diagonal and every other entry equal to $1/(n-1)$. Clearly $\text{per}(C_n) = D_n/(n-1)^n$ where

$$D_n = n! \left(\frac{1}{0!} - \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} \cdots (-1)^n \frac{1}{n!} \right)$$

is the number of derangements (fixed point free permutations) of $[n]$. Using the cards-decks-hands method of Wilf [4] it can be shown that $(1-x)^{-y} e^{-yx}$ is a generating function in which the coefficient of $\frac{1}{n!} x^n y^k$ is the number of derangements of $[n]$ with exactly k cycles. It can then be deduced that the number of even derangements is $\frac{1}{2}(D_n + (-1)^n(1-n))$ (this result is probably well-known, certainly it is obtained in [3]). Hence

$$\frac{\text{per}^{\text{ev}}(C_n)}{\frac{1}{2} n! / n^n} = \frac{(D_n + (-1)^n(1-n))}{n!} \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \right)^n > \left(\frac{1}{e} - \frac{1}{n(n-2)!} \right) \exp \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n} \right) > 1$$

for $n \geq 5$. It follows that C_n is not a counterexample to the Brualdi-Liu conjecture for any $n \geq 5$. However, $\text{per}^{\text{ev}}(C_4) = 1/27 < 3/64$ so C_4 is a counterexample.

Two further counterexamples arise from the following family of matrices. Let T_n denote the mean of the $(n-1)(n-2)$ permutation matrices corresponding to 3-cycles which move the point 1. For example,

$$T_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{12} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{12} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{12} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $T_n \in \Omega_n^{\text{ev}}$ by construction. Now given that $\text{per}^{\text{ev}}(T_4) = 5/108 < 3/64$ and $\text{per}^{\text{ev}}(T_5) = 11/576 < 12/625$, both T_4 and T_5 are counterexamples to the Brualdi-Liu conjecture. That the family $\{T_n\}$ contains no further counterexamples is easy to show. The permutation matrices corresponding to 3-cycles alone contribute at least

$$(n-1)(n-2) \frac{1}{(n-1)^2} \left(\frac{n-3}{n-1} \right)^{n-3} \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)} = \frac{(n-3)^{n-3}}{(n-1)^{n-1}} \sim \frac{1}{(en)^2}$$

to $\text{per}^{\text{ev}}(T_n)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Brualdi. *Combinatorial matrix classes*, Encyc. Math. Appl. 108, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [2] R. A. Brualdi and B. Liu. The polytope of even doubly stochastic matrices. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 57:243–253, 1991.
- [3] R. Mantaci and F. Rakotondrajao. Exceedingly deranging. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 30:177–188, 2003.
- [4] H. S. Wilf. *Generatingfunctionology* (2nd edn.), Academic Press, San Diego, 1994.