

2014

On the distance spectral radius of unicyclic graphs with perfect matchings

Xiao Ling Zhang
zhangxling04@aliyun.com

Follow this and additional works at: <http://repository.uwyo.edu/ela>

Recommended Citation

Zhang, Xiao Ling. (2014), "On the distance spectral radius of unicyclic graphs with perfect matchings", *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, Volume 27.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.13001/1081-3810.1919>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Wyoming Scholars Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra by an authorized editor of Wyoming Scholars Repository. For more information, please contact scholcom@uwyo.edu.

ON THE DISTANCE SPECTRAL RADIUS OF UNICYCLIC GRAPHS WITH PERFECT MATCHINGS*

XIAO LING ZHANG[†]

Abstract. For a connected graph, the distance spectral radius is the largest eigenvalue of its distance matrix. Let U_{2k}^1 be the graph obtained from C_3 by attaching a path of length $n - 3$ at one vertex. Let U_{2k}^2 be the graph obtained from C_3 by attaching a pendant edge together with $k - 2$ paths of length 2 at the same vertex. In this paper, it is proved that U_{2k}^1 (resp., U_{2k}^2) is the unique graph with the maximum (resp., minimum) distance spectral radius among all unicyclic graphs with perfect matchings on $2k$ ($k \geq 5$) vertices.

Key words. Distance spectral radius, Unicyclic graph, Perfect matching.

AMS subject classifications. 05C50, 15A18.

1. Introduction. Let G be a connected graph with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. The distance between the vertices v_i and v_j is the length of a shortest path between them, and is denoted by $d_G(v_i, v_j)$, or $d(v_i, v_j)$. The *distance matrix* $D = D(G)$ of G is defined so that its (i, j) -entry is equal to $d_G(v_i, v_j)$. The largest eigenvalue of $D(G)$ is called the *distance spectral radius*, and is denoted by $\rho(G)$.

Balaban et al. [1] proposed the use of $\rho(G)$ as a molecular descriptor, while in [4] it was successfully used to infer the extent of branching and model boiling points of alkanes. Therefore, the study concerning the maximum (minimum) distance spectral radius of a given class of graphs is of great interest and significance. Recently, the maximum (minimum) distance spectral radius of a given class of graphs has been studied extensively. For example, Subhi and Powers [8] determined the graph with maximum distance spectral radius among all trees on n vertices; Stevanović and Ilić [9] determined the graph with maximum distance spectral radius among all trees with fixed maximum degree Δ ; Ilić [5] characterized the graph with minimum distance spectral radius among trees with given matching number; Bose et al. [2] studied the graphs with minimum (maximum) distance spectral radius among all graphs of order n with r pendent vertices; Zhang and Godsil [11] determined the graph with minimum distance spectral radius among all graphs of order n with k cut

*Received by the editors on August 23, 2013. Accepted for publication on August 11, 2014.
Handling Editor: Ravi Bapat.

[†]School of Mathematics and Information Science, Yantai University, Yantai, Shandong 264005, P.R. China (zhangxling04@aliyun.com). Supported by NSFC (11126256) and NSF of Shandong Province of China (ZR2012AQ022).

vertices (resp., k cut edges); Yu et al. [10] determined the unique graph with minimum (maximum) distance spectral radius among unicyclic graphs on n vertices; Milan Nath and Somnath Paul [7] determined the unique graph with minimum distance spectral radius among all connected bipartite graphs of order n with a given matching number (resp., with a given vertex connectivity).

A *unicyclic* graph is a connected graph in which the number of edges equals the number of vertices. A *rooted* graph has one of its vertex, called the root, distinguished from the others. We use the following notation to represent a unicyclic graph: $G = U(C_l; T_1, T_2, \dots, T_l)$, where C_l is the unique cycle in G with $V(C_l) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l\}$ such that v_i is adjacent to $v_{i+1} \pmod{l}$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. For each i , let T_i be the rooted tree with root v_i (see Fig. 1). If $|V(T_i)| = 1$, we say T_i is a trivial tree. Let $\mathcal{U}(2k)$ denote the set of all unicyclic graphs on $2k$ vertices with perfect matchings. Let U_{2k}^1 be the graph obtained from C_3 by attaching a path of length $n - 3$ at a vertex. Let U_{2k}^2 be the graph obtained from C_3 by attaching a pendant edge together with $k - 2$ paths of length 2 at the same vertex.




Fig. 1. Graph $U(C_l; T_1, T_2, \dots, T_l)$.

In this paper, we mainly consider the distance spectral radius of unicyclic graphs on $2k$ ($k \geq 3$) vertices with perfect matchings, and prove that U_{2k}^1 (resp., U_{2k}^2) is the unique graph with the maximum (resp., minimum) distance spectral radius among all unicyclic graphs with perfect matchings on $2k$ ($k \geq 5$) vertices.

2. Preliminaries. We first give some lemmas which we will use in the main results.

LEMMA 2.1. [9] *Let w be a vertex of the nontrivial connected graph G and for positive integers p and q , let $G_{p,q}$ denote the graph obtained from G by adding pendent paths $P = wv_1v_2 \dots v_p$ and $Q = wu_1u_2 \dots u_q$ of length p and q , respectively, at w . If $p \geq q \geq 1$, then $\rho(G_{p,q}) < \rho(G_{p+1,q-1})$.*

LEMMA 2.2. [11] *Let u and v be two adjacent vertices of a connected graph G and for positive integers k and l , let $G_{k,l}$ denote the graph obtained from G by adding paths of length k at u and length l at v . If $k > l \geq 1$, then $\rho(G_{k,l}) < \rho(G_{k+1,l-1})$; if $k = l \geq 1$, then $\rho(G_{k,l}) < \rho(G_{k+1,l-1})$ or $\rho(G_{k,l}) < \rho(G_{k-1,l+1})$.*

LEMMA 2.3. [3] $\rho(C_n) = \frac{n^2}{4}$, if n is even; $\rho(C_n) = \frac{n^2-1}{4}$, if n is odd.

LEMMA 2.4. [6] *Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix. Then*

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} a_{ij} \leq \rho(A) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} a_{ij}.$$

LEMMA 2.5. *If $n \geq 10$ and n is even, then $\rho(U_n^2) < \rho(C_n)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, we get

$$\rho(U_n^2) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} d_{ij} = \frac{7n}{2} - 9.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\rho(C_n) = \frac{n^2}{4}.$$

If $n = 10$, by matlab, we get $\rho(U_{10}^2) = 21.0245 < \rho(C_{10}) = 25$.

If $n \geq 12$ and n is even,

$$\rho(U_n^2) - \rho(C_n) \leq \left(\frac{7n}{2} - 9 \right) - \frac{n^2}{4} = -\frac{(n-7)^2 - 13}{4} < 0,$$

i.e., $\rho(U_n^2) < \rho(C_n)$.

So, in either case, we can get $\rho(U_n^2) < \rho(C_n)$, for $n \geq 10$ and n is even. \square

Let X be the Perron vector of G corresponding to $\rho(G)$. Suppose $T_i - \{v_i\} = \alpha K_2 \cup K_1$ and $T_{i+1} - \{v_{i+1}\} = \beta K_2 \cup \gamma K_1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq l$, where α and β are both nonnegative integers, $\gamma = 0$ or 1 . Using a symmetry, we can denote the coordinates of the Perron vector corresponding to the vertices in $V(T_i)$ and $V(T_{i+1})$ as shown in Fig. 2. Then, we have

LEMMA 2.6. (i) $c + d > b$; (ii) $h + d > c$; (iii) $a + b > c$; (iv) $c + d > h$; (v) $a + d > b$.

Proof. We first prove (i).

Let $S' = \alpha(a + b) + c + d$ and $S = \sum_{v_j \in V(G)} x_j$. Since

$$D(G)X = \rho(G)X,$$




Fig. 2. Graph \$G\$.

we can easily get

$$(2.1) \quad \rho(G)x_i = \sum_{j=1}^{2k} d_{ij}x_j.$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G)c + \rho(G)d - \rho(G)b &\geq 2a + (\alpha + 4)b - 2c - d + (S - S' - x_{i-1} - h), \\ &\geq 2a + (\alpha + 4)b - 2c - d, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$(\rho(G) + 2)(c + d - b) \geq 2a + (\alpha + 2)b + d > 0,$$

which implies

$$c + d > b.$$

Similarly, we can prove (ii),(iii), (iv) and (v). \square

LEMMA 2.7. Let graphs \$G_1, G'_1, G_2, G'_2 \in \mathcal{U}(2k)\$ be as shown in Fig. 3, where \$p \ge 2\$ and \$q \ge 1\$. Then we have

$$(i) \rho(G_1) < \rho(G'_1); (ii) \rho(G_2) < \rho(G'_2).$$

Proof. We first prove (i).

Let \$X\$ be the Perron vector of \$G_1\$ corresponding to \$\rho(G_1)\$. Using a symmetry, we can denote the coordinates of the Perron vector corresponding to some vertices of \$G_1\$ as shown in Fig. 3. Let \$S = \sum_{v_i \in V(G_1)} x_i\$ and \$S' = S - p(a + b)\$, where \$p \ge 2\$. From \$G_1\$ to \$G'_1\$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G'_1) - \rho(G_1) &\geq X^T (D(G'_1) - D(G_1)) X \\ &= (p - 1)(a + b)(S' - a - b). \end{aligned}$$




Fig. 3. Graphs G_1, G'_1, G_2, G'_2 .

In the following, we will prove $S' - a - b > 0$ into two cases.

If $H_1 = C_3$, let $C_3 = uvwu$. Then $S' = d + x_v + x_w$. By (2.1), we have

$$\rho(G_1)d + \rho(G_1)x_v + \rho(G_1)x_w - \rho(G_1)a - \rho(G_1)b = 4a + (p+6)b - d - 3x_v - 3x_w,$$

i.e.,

$$(\rho(G_1) + 3)(S' - a - b) = 2d + a + (p+3)b > 0.$$

So, we have $S' - a - b > 0$.

If $H_1 \neq C_3$, then $|V(H_1)| \geq 4$. There must exist some vertex $w \in V(H_1)$ such that $d_{H_1}(u, w) = 2$. Suppose $v \in N_{H_1}(u) \cap N_{H_1}(w)$. By (2.1), we have

$$\rho(G_1)S' - \rho(G_1)(a+b) \geq p(a+2b) + 4a + 6b - 4S',$$

i.e., $(\rho(G_1) + 4)(S' - a - b) > p(a+2b) + 2b > 0$, which implies $S' - a - b > 0$.

So, in either case, we can get $S' - a - b > 0$, which implies

$$\rho(G_1) < \rho(G'_1).$$

Similarly, we can prove (ii). \square

3. Main results.

THEOREM 3.1. U_{2k}^1 is the unique graph with the maximum distance spectral radius among all unicyclic graphs with perfect matchings on $2k$ ($k \geq 3$) vertices.

Proof. Choose $G \in \mathcal{U}(2k)$ such that $\rho(G)$ is as large as possible. Let $G = U(C_l; T_1, T_2, \dots, T_l)$ and $V(C_l) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l\}$. Let $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k})^T$ be the

Perron vector of G corresponding to $\rho(G)$, where x_i corresponds to the vertex v_i ($1 \leq i \leq 2k$).

Suppose $M(G)$ is any perfect matching of G . If there exists some $1 \leq i \leq l$ such that $v_i v_{(i+1) \bmod l} \in M(G)$, we may assume $v_1 v_2 \in M(G)$. Then $v_2 v_3 \notin M(G)$ and $v_1 v_l \notin M(G)$. If $v_i v_{(i+1) \bmod l} \notin M(G)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq l$, then $v_2 v_3 \notin M(G)$ and $v_1 v_l \notin M(G)$. So, in either case, we can always assume $v_2 v_3 \notin M(G)$ and $v_1 v_l \notin M(G)$.

Claim 1. $l = 3$.

Otherwise, we may assume $l \geq 4$.

Case 1. $l = 4$.

If $\sum_{v_i \in V(T_4)} x_i \geq \sum_{v_i \in V(T_3)} x_i$, let

$$G' = G - \{v_1 v_4\} + \{v_1 v_3\}.$$

Then $G' \in \mathcal{U}(2k)$. From G to G' , the distances between $V(T_1)$ and $V(T_3)$ are decreased by 1; the distances between $V(T_1)$ and $V(T_4)$ are increased by 1; the distances between $V(T_2)$ and $V(T_1) \cup V(T_3) \cup V(T_4)$, $V(T_3)$ and $V(T_4)$ are unchanged. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G') - \rho(G) &\geq X^T (D(G') - D(G)) X \\ &= 2 \sum_{v_j \in V(T_1)} x_j \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_4)} x_i - \sum_{v_i \in V(T_3)} x_i \right) \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we will prove $\rho(G) \neq \rho(G')$.

If not, then X is also the Perron vector of G' corresponding to $\rho(G')$. According to (2.1), we have

$$\rho(G)x_4 = \sum_{v_j \in V(T_4)} d_{4j}x_j + \sum_{v_j \in V(T_1)} (d_{1j} + 1)x_j + \sum_{v_j \in V(T_2)} (d_{2j} + 2)x_j + \sum_{v_j \in V(T_3)} (d_{3j} + 1)x_j,$$

$$\rho(G')x_4 = \sum_{v_j \in V(T_4)} d_{4j}x_j + \sum_{v_j \in V(T_1)} (d_{1j} + 2)x_j + \sum_{v_j \in V(T_2)} (d_{2j} + 2)x_j + \sum_{v_j \in V(T_3)} (d_{3j} + 1)x_j.$$

Since $\rho(G) = \rho(G')$, from the above two equations, we get

$$\sum_{v_j \in V(T_1)} x_j = 0,$$

which contradicts to the fact that X is a Perron eigenvector.

So, we have $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$, which is a contradiction.

If $\sum_{v_i \in V(T_4)} x_i < \sum_{v_i \in V(T_3)} x_i$, let

$$G' = G - \{v_2v_3\} + \{v_2v_4\}.$$

Then $G' \in \mathcal{U}(2k)$. Similar to the above, we can also get a contradiction.

Case 2. $l \geq 5$.

If $\sum_{v_i \in V(T_3) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor + 1})} x_i \geq \sum_{v_i \in V(T_{\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor + 2}) \cup \dots \cup V(T_l)} x_i$, let

$$G' = G - \{v_2v_3\} + \{v_2v_l\}.$$

Then $G' \in \mathcal{U}(2k)$. From G to G' , the distances between $V(T_1)$ and $V(T_3) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor})$ are increased by at least 1; the distances between $V(T_2)$ and $V(T_3) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor + 1})$ are increased by at least 1; the distances between $V(T_2)$ and $V(T_{\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor + 2}) \cup \dots \cup V(T_l)$ are decreased by 1; the distances between $V(T_i)$ ($3 \leq i \leq l-1$) and $V(T_j)$ ($i < j \leq l$) are unchanged or increased by at least 1. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G') - \rho(G) &\geq X^T (D(G') - D(G)) X \\ &> 2 \sum_{v_j \in V(T_2)} x_j \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_3) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor + 1})} x_i - \sum_{v_i \in V(T_{\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor + 2}) \cup \dots \cup V(T_l)} x_i \right) \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\rho(G') > \rho(G)$, which is a contradiction.

If $\sum_{v_i \in V(T_3) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor + 1})} x_i < \sum_{v_i \in V(T_{\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor + 2}) \cup \dots \cup V(T_l)} x_i$, let

$$G' = G - \{v_1v_l\} + \{v_1v_3\}.$$

Then $G' \in \mathcal{U}(2k)$. Similar to the above, we can also get a contradiction.

Claim 2. $G = U_{2k}^1$.

Since $G = U(C_3; T_1, T_2, T_3)$, using Lemma 2.1 frequently, we can first get each T_i ($1 \leq i \leq 3$) is a path. Then using Lemma 2.2 at most twice, we can get $G = U_{2k}^1$. \square

THEOREM 3.2. H_2 (see Fig. 4) is the unique graph with the minimum distance spectral radius among all unicyclic graphs with perfect matchings on 6 vertices.

Proof. There are 8 graphs in $\mathcal{U}(6)$ (see Fig. 4). By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \rho(H_8) > \rho(H_5).$$




Fig. 4. Graphs H_1 – H_8 .

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \rho(H_3) > \rho(H_4), \quad \rho(H_7) > \rho(H_6).$$

Combining (3.1), (3.2) and Table 3.1, we get $G = H_2$. \square

TABLE 3.1

G	H_1	H_2	H_4	H_5	H_6
$\rho(G)$	9.0000	8.8219	9.2606	9.3154	9.3852

THEOREM 3.3. G_9 (see Fig. 5) is the unique graph with the minimum distance spectral radius among all unicyclic graphs with perfect matchings on 8 vertices.

Proof. Choose $G \in \mathcal{U}(8)$ such that $\rho(G)$ is as small as possible. Let $G = U(C_l; T_1, T_2, \dots, T_l)$. Then, we get $l \leq 8$. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.7, we get $T_i - \{v_i\} = aK_1 \cup bK_2$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$, where $a = 0$ or 1. Since $|V(G)| = 8$, we have $G \in \{G_i | 1 \leq i \leq 18 \text{ and } i \text{ is an integer}\}$ (see Fig. 5).

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \rho(G_{11}) > \rho(G_{10}), \quad \rho(G_{15}) > \rho(G_{17}).$$

Combining (3.3) and Table 3.2, we get $G = G_9$. \square

TABLE 3.2

G	G_1	G_2	G_3	G_4	G_5	G_6	G_7	G_8
$\rho(G)$	16.0000	15.4245	16.4273	15.8882	15.3066	15.2065	15.7572	16.3222
G	G_9	G_{10}	G_{12}	G_{13}	G_{14}	G_{16}	G_{17}	G_{18}
$\rho(G)$	14.9363	15.5440	17.1619	16.1147	15.0744	17.2816	15.6487	16.1798

THEOREM 3.4. U_{2k}^2 is the unique graph with the minimum distance spectral radius among all unicyclic graphs with perfect matchings on $2k$ ($k \geq 5$) vertices.




Fig. 5. Graphs G_1 – G_{18} .

Proof. Choose $G \in \mathcal{U}(2k)$ such that $\rho(G)$ is as small as possible. Let $G = U(C_l; T_1, T_2, \dots, T_l)$ and $C_l = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_l v_1$. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.7, we get $T_i - \{v_i\} = aK_1 \cup bK_2$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$, where $a = 0$ if $|V(T_i)|$ is odd, and $a = 1$ if $|V(T_i)|$ is even. In the following, we always assume $N_{T_i}(v_i) = \{v_j | v_j \in V(T_i) \text{ and } v_j \text{ is adjacent to } v_i\}$, $N'_{T_i}(v_i) = \{v_j | v_j \in N_{T_i}(v_i), d(v_j) = 2\}$ and $R_{T_i} = \{v_j | v_j \in N_{T_i}(v_i), d(v_j) = 1\} \cup \{v_i\}$. Then $|R_{T_i}| = 1$ or 2 . Suppose G' is the graph obtained from G by grafting some edges and $G' \in \mathcal{U}(2k)$. For some i , if T_i is still a rooted tree of G' , we still use T_i to denote the rooted tree with root v_i in G' ; if T_i is not a rooted tree of G' any more, but v_i is still a root, we always use T'_i to denote the rooted tree with root v_i in G' . Let $M(G)$ be any perfect matching of G .

Claim 1. $l \leq 4$.

Otherwise, we may assume $l \geq 5$.

Case 1. There exists some $1 \leq i \leq l$ such that $|V(T_i)| \geq 3$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume $|V(T_1)| \geq 3$.

Subcase 1.1. $v_{l-1}v_l \notin M(G)$ and $v_2v_3 \notin M(G)$.

Suppose $N'_{T_1}(v_1) = \{v_{11}, \dots, v_{1r}\}$ and $N'_{T_2}(v_2) = \{v_{21}, \dots, v_{2s}\}$.

If l is even, let $G' = G - \{v_l v_{l-1}, v_l v_{11}, \dots, v_l v_{1r}\} + \{v_1 v_{l-1}, v_1 v_{11}, \dots, v_1 v_{1r}\}$. Then $G' \in \mathcal{U}(2k)$ and $G' = U(C_{l-1}; T'_1, T_2, \dots, T_{l-1})$. Let $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k})^T$ be the

Perron vector of G' corresponding to $\rho(G')$, where x_i corresponds to the vertex v_i ($1 \leq i \leq 2k$). For convenience, we can denote the coordinates of Perron vector X corresponding to vertices in $V(T'_1)$ the same as $V(T_{i+1})$ in Fig. 2. Since $|V(T_1)| \geq 3$ and $\sum_{v_i \in R_{T_l}} x_i = e + g$ or f , from G' to G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G) - \rho(G') &\geq X^T (D(G) - D(G')) X \\ &= 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i \sum_{v_j \in V(T_{\frac{l}{2}+1}) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{l-1})} x_j + 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_1) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{\frac{l}{2}})} x_i \sum_{v_j \in V(T_l) \setminus R_{T_l}} x_j \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_2)} x_i \sum_{v_j \in V(T_{\frac{l}{2}+2}) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{l-1})} x_j + 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_3)} x_i \sum_{v_j \in V(T_{\frac{l}{2}+3}) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{l-1})} x_j \\ &\quad + \dots + 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_{\frac{l}{2}-1})} x_i \sum_{v_j \in V(T_{l-1})} x_j \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{v_i \in R_{T_l}} x_i \sum_{v_j \in V(T_{\frac{l}{2}+1}) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{l-1})} x_j - 2 \sum_{v_i \in R_{T_l}} x_i \sum_{v_j \in V(T_l) \setminus R_{T_l}} x_j \\ &> 2 \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - \sum_{v_i \in R_{T_l}} x_i \right) \left(\sum_{v_j \in V(T_{\frac{l}{2}+1}) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{l-1}) \cup V(T_l) \setminus R_{T_l}} x_j \right) \\ &> 2[e + g + h - (e + g)] \left(\sum_{v_j \in V(T_{\frac{l}{2}+1}) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{l-1}) \cup V(T_l) \setminus R_{T_l}} x_j \right) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

If l is odd, let

$$\begin{aligned} G' &= G - \{v_2v_3, v_2v_{21}, \dots, v_2v_{2s}\} - \{v_l v_{l-1}, v_l v_{l1}, \dots, v_l v_{lr}\} \\ &\quad + \{v_1v_3, v_1v_{21}, \dots, v_1v_{2s}\} + \{v_1v_{l-1}, v_1v_{l1}, \dots, v_1v_{lr}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $G' \in \mathcal{U}(2k)$ and $G' = U(C_{l-2}; T'_1, T_3, \dots, T_{l-1})$. From G' to G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G) - \rho(G') &\geq X^T (D(G) - D(G')) X \\ &> 2 \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - \sum_{v_i \in R_{T_2}} x_i \right) \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_3) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{\frac{l+1}{2}})} x_i + \sum_{v_i \in V(T_2) \setminus R_{T_2}} x_i \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - \sum_{v_i \in R_{T_l}} x_i \right) \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_{\frac{l+1}{2}+1}) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{l-1})} x_i + \sum_{v_i \in V(T_l) \setminus R_{T_l}} x_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the case that l is even, we can also get a contradiction.

Subcase 1.2. $v_{l-1}v_l \notin M(G)$ and $v_2v_3 \in M(G)$.

Suppose $N'_{T_1}(v_l) = \{v_{l1}, \dots, v_{lr}\}$ and $N'_{T_3}(v_3) = \{v_{31}, \dots, v_{3t}\}$. Let

$$G' = G - \{v_l v_{l-1}, v_l v_{l1}, \dots, v_l v_{lr}\} - \{v_3 v_4, v_3 v_{31}, \dots, v_3 v_{3t}\} \\ + \{v_1 v_{l-1}, v_1 v_{l1}, \dots, v_1 v_{lr}\} + \{v_2 v_4, v_2 v_{31}, \dots, v_2 v_{3t}\}.$$

Then $G' \in \mathcal{U}(2k)$ and $G' = U(C_{l-2}; T'_1, T'_2, T_4, \dots, T'_{l-1})$. From G' to G , we have

$$\rho(G) - \rho(G') \geq X^T (D(G) - D(G')) X \\ > 2 \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i + \sum_{v_i \in V(T_2)} x_i \right) \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_4) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{l-1})} x_i + \sum_{v_i \in (V(T_3) \setminus R_{T_3}) \cup (V(T_l) \setminus R_{T_l})} x_i \right) \\ (3.4) \quad - 2 \left(x_3 + \sum_{v_i \in R_{T_1}} x_i \right) \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_4) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{l-1})} x_i + \sum_{v_i \in (V(T_3) \setminus R_{T_3}) \cup (V(T_l) \setminus R_{T_l})} x_i \right).$$

We can denote the coordinates of Perron vector X corresponding to vertices in $V(T'_1)$ and $V(T'_2)$ the same as $V(T_{i+1})$ and $V(T_i)$ in Fig. 2. Then, by Lemma 2.3 (ii), we have

$$\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - \sum_{v_i \in R_{T_1}} x_i + \sum_{v_i \in V(T_2)} x_i - x_3 \geq (e + g + h) - (e + g) + d - c \\ = h + d - c \\ (3.5) \quad > 0.$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.5), we get $\rho(G) > \rho(G')$, which is a contradiction.

Subcase 1.3. $v_{l-1}v_l \in M(G)$ and $v_2v_3 \in M(G)$.

If there exists some $i = 2, 3, l-1, l$ such that $|V(T_i)| \geq 3$, then dealing with this case the same as Subcase 1.1 and Subcase 1.2, respectively, we can get a contradiction.

Otherwise, $|V(T_2)| = |V(T_3)| = |V(T_{l-1})| = |V(T_l)| = 1$.

If $l = 5$, let

$$G' = G - \{v_3 v_4\} + \{v_1 v_3\}.$$

Then $G' \in \mathcal{U}(2k)$ and $G' = U(C_3; T'_1, T_2, T_3)$. We can denote the coordinates of Perron vector X corresponding to vertices in $V(T'_1)$ the same as $V(T_i)$ in Fig. 2. Using a symmetry, we can get $x_2 = x_3$. Since $|V(G)| \geq 10$, we have $|V(T_1)| \geq 6$. By

Lemma 2.3 (i), from G' to G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G) - \rho(G') &\geq X^T (D(G) - D(G')) X \\ &= 2x_3 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - 2x_2x_4 - 4x_3x_4 \\ &= 2x_3 \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - 3x_4 \right) \\ &\geq 2x_3[2(a+b) + c + d - 3b] \\ &= 2x_3(2a + c + d - b) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

If $l = 6$, let

$$G' = G - \{v_3v_4, v_4v_5\} + \{v_1v_3, v_1v_4\}.$$

Then $G' \in \mathcal{U}(2k)$. From G' to G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G) - \rho(G') &\geq X^T (D(G) - D(G')) X \\ &= 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i \left(x_3 + 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_4)} x_i \right) + 2x_3 \left(x_6 - \sum_{v_i \in V(T_4)} x_i - x_5 \right) - 4x_5 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_4)} x_i \\ &= 2x_3 \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - x_5 + x_6 \right) + 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_4)} x_i \left(2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - x_3 - 2x_5 \right) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $l = 7$, $|V(T_4)| \geq 3$ or $|V(T_5)| \geq 3$, deal with the case the same as Subcase 1.2.

If $l = 7$, $|V(T_4)| = |V(T_5)| = 1$ and $|V(T_1)| = 4$, by matlab, we get $\rho(G) = 22.9526 > \rho(U_{10}^2) = 21.0245$, which is a contradiction.

If $l = 7$, $|V(T_4)| = |V(T_5)| = 1$ and $|V(T_1)| > 4$, let

$$G' = G - \{v_5v_6\} + \{v_1v_5\}.$$

Then $G' \in \mathcal{U}(2k)$. From G' to G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G) - \rho(G') &\geq X^T (D(G) - D(G')) X \\ &= 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_ix_4 + 4 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_ix_5 + 2x_2x_5 - 2x_3x_6 - 4x_4x_6 - 4x_5x_6 \\ (3.6) \quad &> 2 \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_ix_4 - x_3x_6 - 2x_4x_6 \right) + 4x_5 \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - x_6 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since v_3 and v_4 are symmetric in G' , we can assume $x_3 = x_4$. We can denote the coordinates of Perron vector X corresponding to vertices in $V(T_1)$ the same as $V(T_i)$ in Fig. 2. By Lemma 2.3 (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i x_4 - x_3 x_6 - 2x_4 x_6 &= \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - 3x_6 \right) x_4 \\
 &\geq (2(a+b) + c + d - 3b)x_4 \\
 &= (2a + c + d - b)x_4 \\
 &> 0,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - x_6 > \sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - 3x_6 > 0.
 \tag{3.8}$$

Combining (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we get $\rho(G) > \rho(G')$, which is a contradiction.

If $l = 7$ and $|V(T_4)| = |V(T_5)| = 2$, let

$$G' = G - \{v_3 v_4, v_4 v_5, v_5 v_6\} + \{v_1 v_4, v_1 v_5, v_1 v_6\}.$$

Then $G' \in \mathcal{U}(2k)$ and $G' = U(C_3; T'_1, T_6, T_7)$. From G' to G , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(G) - \rho(G') &\geq X^T (D(G) - D(G')) X \\
 &= 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i \left(2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_4)} x_i + 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_5)} x_i + x_6 \right) + 2x_2 \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_5)} x_i + x_6 \right) \\
 &\quad - 2x_3 \left(2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_4)} x_i \sum_{v_i \in V(T_5)} x_i \right) \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_4)} x_i \left(x_7 - \sum_{v_i \in V(T_5)} x_i \right) - 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_5)} x_i x_6 \\
 &> 4 \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - x_3 \right) \sum_{v_i \in V(T_4)} x_i + 2x_6 \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - \sum_{v_i \in V(T_5)} x_i \right) \\
 &\tag{3.9} + 2 \left(2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - x_3 - \sum_{v_i \in V(T_4)} x_i \right) \sum_{v_i \in V(T_5)} x_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

We can denote the coordinates of Perron vector X corresponding to vertices in $V(T'_1)$ the same as $V(T_i)$ in Fig. 2. Since $|V(T_1)| \geq 3$, we have

$$\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - x_3 > a + b + d - b = a + d > 0.
 \tag{3.10}$$

Similarly, we can have

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - \sum_{v_i \in V(T_5)} x_i > 0, \\ & 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - x_3 - \sum_{v_i \in V(T_4)} x_i > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11), we get $\rho(G) > \rho(G')$, which is a contradiction.

If $l \geq 8$, let

$$G' = G - \{v_3v_4, v_{l-2}v_{l-1}\} + \{v_2v_4, v_{l-2}v_l\}.$$

Then $G' \in \mathcal{U}(2k)$ and $G' = U(C_{l-2}; T_1, T_2', T_4, \dots, T_{l-2}, T_l')$. From G' to G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G) - \rho(G') &\geq X^T (D(G) - D(G')) X \\ &> 2 \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i + x_2 + x_l - x_3 - x_{l-1} \right) \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_4) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{l-2})} x_i \right) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the case $l = 7$, we can also get a contradiction.

Case 2. For any $1 \leq i \leq l$, $|V(T_i)| = 2$.

If $l = 5$, by matlab, we get $\rho(G) = 21.7047 > \rho(U_{10}^2) = 21.0245$, which is a contradiction.

If $l = 6$, by matlab, we get $\rho(G) = 29.8114 > \rho(U_{12}^2) = 27.0578$, which is a contradiction.

If $l = 7$, by matlab, we get $\rho(G) = 37.9249 > \rho(U_{14}^2) = 33.1338$, which is a contradiction.

If $l = 8$, by matlab, we get $\rho(G) = 48.0000 > \rho(U_{16}^2) = 39.2346$, which is a contradiction.

If $l \geq 9$, let

$$G' = G - \{v_2v_3, v_3v_4, \dots, v_{l-2}v_{l-1}\} + \{v_1v_3, v_1v_4, \dots, v_1v_{l-1}\}.$$

Then $G' \in \mathcal{U}(2k)$ and $G' = U(C_3; T_1', T_{l-1}, T_l)$. Since $\sum_{v_i \in V(T_2)} x_i = \dots = \sum_{v_i \in V(T_{l-2})} x_i$

and $\sum_{v_i \in V(T_{l-1})} x_i = \sum_{v_i \in V(T_l)} x_i$ in G' , from G' to G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G) - \rho(G') &\geq X^T (D(G) - D(G')) X \\ &> 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_3)} x_i + \cdots + \sum_{v_i \in V(T_{l-1})} x_i \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_2)} x_i \left(- \sum_{v_i \in V(T_3)} x_i + \sum_{v_i \in V(T_5)} x_i + \cdots + \sum_{v_i \in V(T_{l-1})} x_i \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_3)} x_i \left(- \sum_{v_i \in V(T_4)} x_i + \sum_{v_i \in V(T_6)} x_i + \cdots + \sum_{v_i \in V(T_l)} x_i \right) \\ &\quad + \cdots + 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_{l-3})} x_i \left(- \sum_{v_i \in V(T_{l-2})} x_i + \sum_{v_i \in V(T_l)} x_i \right) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_{l-2})} x_i \left(- \sum_{v_i \in V(T_{l-1})} x_i \right) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 3. For any $1 \leq i \leq l$, $|V(T_i)| \leq 2$, and there exists some $1 \leq j \leq l$ such that $|V(T_j)| = 1$.

For convenience, we may assume that $|V(T_1)| = 2$ and $|V(T_l)| = 1$.

Subcase 3.1. $|V(T_2)| = 1$.

Then $v_2v_3, v_{l-1}v_l \in M(G)$. Dealing with this case the same as Subcase 1.3.

Subcase 3.2. $|V(T_2)| = |V(T_3)| = 2$.

Then $v_{l-1}v_l \in M(G)$. Since $|V(G)| \geq 10$, we can get $l \geq 6$.

If $l = 6$, we get $\rho(G) = 22.3859 > \rho(U_{10}^2) = 21.0245$, which is a contradiction.

If $l = 7$ and $|V(T_4)| = 1$, we get $\rho(G) = 22.2365 > \rho(U_{10}^2) = 21.0245$, which is a contradiction.

If $l = 7$ and $|V(T_4)| = 2$, we get $\rho(G) = 30.0508 > \rho(U_{12}^2) = 27.0578$, which is a contradiction.

If $l \geq 8$ is odd, let

$$G' = G - \{v_2v_3, v_3v_4, v_{l-2}v_{l-1}\} + \{v_1v_3, v_1v_4, v_1v_{l-2}\}.$$

Then $G' \in \mathcal{U}(2k)$ and $G' = U(C_{l-4}; T'_1, T_4, \dots, T_{l-2})$. We can denote the coordinates of Perron vector X corresponding to vertices in $V(T'_1)$ the same as $V(T_i)$ in Fig. 2. From G' to G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G) - \rho(G') &\geq X^T (D(G) - D(G')) X \\ &> 2 \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - x_{l-1} \right) \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_4) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{l-2})} x_i \right) \\ &= (c + d - b) \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_4) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{l-2})} x_i \right) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $l \geq 8$ is even, let

$$G' = G - \{v_1v_l, v_3v_4, v_{l-2}v_{l-1}\} + \{v_2v_l, v_2v_4, v_{l-2}v_l\}.$$

Then $G' \in \mathcal{U}(2k)$ and $G' = U(C_{l-3}; T'_2, T_4, \dots, T_{l-2}, T'_l)$. We can denote the coordinates of Perron vector X corresponding to vertices in $V(T'_2)$ and $V(T'_l)$ the same as $V(T_{i+1})$ and $V(T_i)$ in Fig. 2, respectively. From G' to G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G) - \rho(G') &\geq X^T (D(G) - D(G')) X \\ &> 2 \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_2)} x_i + x_l - x_{l-1} \right) \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_{\frac{l}{2}+1}) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{l-2})} x_i \right) \\ &= (h + f + d - c) \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_{\frac{l}{2}+1}) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{l-2})} x_i \right) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Subcase 3.3. $|V(T_2)| = 2, |V(T_3)| = 1$.

Then $v_3v_4, v_{l-1}v_l \in M(G)$. Since $|V(G)| \geq 10, |V(T_1)| = 2$ and $|V(T_l)| = 1$, we get $l \geq 7$.

If $l = 7$, we get $\rho(G) = 22.9172 > \rho(U_{10}^2) = 21.0245$, which is a contradiction.

If $l = 8$ and $|V(T_5)| = 1$, we get $\rho(G) = 23.3244 > \rho(U_{10}^2) = 21.0245$, which is a contradiction.

If $l = 8$ and $|V(T_5)| = 2$, we get $\rho(G) = 32.0000 > \rho(U_{12}^2) = 27.0578$, which is a contradiction.

If $l = 9$ and $|V(T_5)| = 1$ or $|V(T_7)| = 1$, we can deal with the case similarly to Subcase 1.3.

If $l = 9$ and $|V(T_5)| = 2$, $|V(T_7)| = 2$, we can deal with the case similarly to Subcase 3.2.

If $l \geq 10$, Let

$$G' = G - \{v_4v_5, v_{l-2}v_{l-1}\} + \{v_2v_5, v_1v_{l-2}\}.$$

Then $G' \in \mathcal{U}(2k)$ and $G' = U(C_{l-4}; T'_1, T'_2, T_5, \dots, T_{l-2})$. We can denote the coordinates of Perron vector X corresponding to vertices in $V(T'_1)$ and $V(T'_2)$ the same as $V(T_i)$ and $V(T_{i+1})$ in Fig. 2, respectively. By Lemma 2.3 (i), from G' to G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G) - \rho(G') &\geq X^T (D(G) - D(G')) X \\ &> 4 \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i + \sum_{v_i \in V(T_2)} x_i - x_4 - x_{l-1} \right) \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_5) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{l-2})} x_i \right) \\ &= 4(c + d + h + f - b - g) \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_5) \cup \dots \cup V(T_{l-2})} x_i \right) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 4. For any $1 \leq i \leq l$, $|V(T_i)| = 1$.

Then $G = C_n$. By Lemma 2.5, we have $\rho(G) > \rho(U_n^2)$, which is a contradiction.

Claim 2. $l = 3$.

Otherwise, we have $l = 4$. Let $G = U(C_4; T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4)$ and $C_4 = v_1v_2v_3v_4v_1$. Since $|V(G)| \geq 10$, there must exist some $1 \leq i \leq 4$ such that $|V(T_i)| \geq 3$. We may assume that $|V(T_1)| \geq 3$ and $|V(T_1)|$ has the same parity as $|V(T_2)|$.

Suppose $N'_{T_2}(v_2) = \{v_{21}, \dots, v_{2s}\}$. Let

$$G' = G - \{v_2v_{21}, \dots, v_2v_{2s}\} + \{v_1v_{21}, \dots, v_1v_{2s}\}.$$

Then $G' \in \mathcal{U}(2k)$ and $G' = U(C_3; T'_1, T_3, T_4)$. From G' to G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G) - \rho(G') &\geq X^T (D(G) - D(G')) X \\ &= 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i \sum_{v_j \in V(T_2) \setminus R_{T_2}} x_j + 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i \sum_{v_j \in V(T_3)} x_j \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_2) \setminus R_{T_2}} x_i \sum_{v_j \in R_{T_2}} x_j - 2 \sum_{v_i \in R_{T_2}} x_i \sum_{v_j \in V(T_3)} x_j \\ &= 2 \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - \sum_{v_j \in R_{T_2}} x_j \right) \left(\sum_{v_j \in V(T_2) \setminus R_{T_2}} x_j + \sum_{v_j \in V(T_3)} x_j \right) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Claim 3. $G = U_{2k}^2$.

Otherwise, let $G = U(C_3; T_1, T_2, T_3)$. There must exist some $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ such that $|V(T_i)|$ is even and $|V(T_j)| > 1$. We may assume $|V(T_1)|$ is even, $|V(T_2)| > 1$ and $|V(T_2)| \geq |V(T_3)|$.

If $|V(T_2)| = 2$, then we have $|V(T_3)| = 2$. Suppose $R_{T_3} \setminus \{v_3\} = \{v'_3\}$. Let

$$G' = G - \{v_2 v_3\} + \{v_1 v'_3\}.$$

Then $G' = U_{2k}^2$. Using a symmetry, we get $x_3 = x_{v'_3}$ in G' . From G' to G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(G) - \rho(G') &\geq X^T (D(G) - D(G')) X \\ &= 2x_{v'_3} \sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - 2x_3 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_2)} x_i \\ &= 2x_3 \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - \sum_{v_i \in V(T_2)} x_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|V(G)| \geq 10$ and $|V(T_2)| = |V(T_3)| = 2$, we have $|V(T_1)| \geq 6$. So, we have $\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1)} x_i - \sum_{v_i \in V(T_2)} x_i > 0$. This implies $\rho(G) > \rho(G')$, which is a contradiction.

If $|V(T_2)| > 2$, we may assume $N'_{T_1}(v_1) = \{v_{11}, \dots, v_{1r}\}$, $R_{T_1} \setminus \{v_1\} = \{v'_1\}$ and $N'_{T_3}(v_3) = \{v_{31}, \dots, v_{3t}\}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} G' &= G - \{v_1 v_3, v_1 v_{11}, \dots, v_1 v_{1r}\} - \{v_3 v_{31}, \dots, v_3 v_{3t}\} \\ &\quad + \{v_2 v'_1, v_2 v_{11}, \dots, v_2 v_{1r}\} + \{v_2 v_{31}, \dots, v_2 v_{3t}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $G' = U_{2k}^2$. Using a symmetry, we get $x_1 = x_{v'_1}$ in G' . From G' to G , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(G) - \rho(G') &\geq X^T (D(G) - D(G')) X \\
 &= -2x_1 \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1) \setminus R_{T_1}} x_i + \sum_{v_i \in R_{T_3}} x_i \right) + 2x_{v'_1} \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_2)} + \sum_{v_i \in V(T_3) \setminus R_{T_3}} x_i \right) \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_2)} x_i \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1) \setminus R_{T_1}} x_i + \sum_{v_i \in V(T_3) \setminus R_{T_3}} x_i \right) \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{v_i \in V(T_3) \setminus R_{T_3}} x_i \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_1) \setminus R_{T_1}} x_i - \sum_{v_i \in R_{T_3}} x_i \right) \\
 &> 2 \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_2)} x_i - x_1 \right) \sum_{v_i \in V(T_1) \setminus R_{T_1}} x_i + 2 \left(x_1 + \sum_{v_i \in V(T_3) \setminus R_{T_3}} x_i \right) \left(\sum_{v_i \in V(T_2)} x_i - \sum_{v_i \in R_{T_3}} x_i \right) \\
 &> 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. \square

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.T. Balaban, D. Ciubotariu, and M. Medeleanu. Topological indices and real number vertex invariants based on graph eigenvalues or eigenvectors. *Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences*, 31:517–523, 1991.
- [2] S.S. Bose, M. Nath, and S. Paul. Distance spectral radius of graphs with r pendent vertices. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 435:2828–2836, 2011.
- [3] P.W. Fowler, G. Caporossi, and P. Hansen. Distance matrices, Wiener indices, and related invariants of fullerenes. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 105:6232–6242, 2011.
- [4] I. Gutman and M. Medeleanu. On the structure-dependence of the largest eigenvalue of the distance matrix of an alkane. *Indian Journal of Chemistry – Section A*, 37:569–573, 1998.
- [5] A. Ilić. Distance spectral radius of trees with given matching number. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 158:1799–1806, 2010.
- [6] H. Minc. *Nonnegative Matrices*. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 1988.
- [7] M. Nath and S. Paul. On the distance spectral radius of bipartite graphs. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 436:1285–1296, 2012.
- [8] S. Ruzeih and D. Powers. The distance spectrum of the path P_n and the first distance eigenvector of connected graphs. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 28:75–81, 1990.
- [9] D. Stevanović and A. Ilić. Distance spectral radius of trees with fixed maximum degree. *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, 20:168–179, 2010.
- [10] G.L. Yu, Y.R. Wu, and J.L. Shu. Some graft transformations and its application on a distance spectrum. *Discrete Mathematics*, 311:2117–2123, 2011.
- [11] X.L. Zhang and C. Godsil. Connectivity and minimal distance spectral radius. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 59:745–754, 2011.