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THE LARGEST EIGENVALUE AND SOME HAMILTONIAN PROPERTIES OF GRAPHS

RAO LI

Abstract. In this note, sufficient conditions, based on the largest eigenvalue, are presented for some Hamiltonian properties of graphs.
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1. Introduction. We consider only finite undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. Notation and terminology not defined here follow those in [2]. For a graph $G$, we use $n$ to denote its order $|V(G)|$. The complement a graph is denoted by $G^c$. A subset $V_1$ of the vertex set $V(G)$ is independent if no two vertices in $V_1$ are adjacent in $G$. The eigenvalues of a graph $G$, denoted $\lambda_1(G) \geq \lambda_2(G) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n(G)$, are defined as the eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix $A(G)$. For a square matrix $M$, we use $\det(M)$ to denote its determinant. A cycle $C$ in a graph $G$ is called a Hamiltonian cycle of $G$ if $C$ contains all the vertices of $G$. A graph $G$ is called Hamiltonian if $G$ has a Hamiltonian cycle. A path $P$ in a graph $G$ is called a Hamiltonian path if $P$ contains all the vertices of $G$. A graph $G$ is called traceable if $G$ has a Hamiltonian path.

In 2010, Fiedler and Nikiforov [3] obtained the following spectral conditions for the Hamiltonicity and traceability of graphs.

Theorem 1.1. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$.

(1) If $\lambda_1(G) \geq n - 2$, then $G$ contains a Hamiltonian path unless $G = K_{n-1} + v$; if strict inequality holds, then $G$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle unless $G = K_{n-1} + e$.

(2) If $\lambda_1(G^c) \leq \sqrt{n - 1}$, then $G$ contains a Hamiltonian path unless $G = K_{n-1} + v$.

(3) If $\lambda_1(G^c) \leq \sqrt{n - 2}$, then $G$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle unless $G = K_{n-1} + e$.

Motivated by the results of Fiedler and Nikiforov, a lot of authors obtained additional spectral conditions for the Hamiltonian properties of graphs. Some of them can be found in [11], [6], [8], [7], [10], and [1]. In this note, we present new spectral conditions based on the largest eigenvalue for the Hamiltonicity and traceability of graphs. The main results are as follows.

Theorem 1.2. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n \geq 3$ with connectivity $\kappa$ ($\kappa \geq 2$). If $\lambda_1 \leq \delta \sqrt{\frac{\kappa+1}{n-\kappa-1}}$, then $G$ is Hamiltonian or $G$ is $K_{\kappa, \kappa+1}$.

Theorem 1.3. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n \geq 12$ with connectivity $\kappa$ ($\kappa \geq 1$). If $\lambda_1 \leq \delta \sqrt{\frac{\kappa+2}{n-\kappa-2}}$, then $G$ is traceable or $G$ is $K_{\kappa, \kappa+2}$.
2. Lemmas. We need the following results as our lemmas when we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. Lemma 2.1 below is from [9].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $G$ be a balanced bipartite graph of order $2n$ with bipartition $(A, B)$. If $d(x) + d(y) \geq n + 1$ for any $x \in A$ and any $y \in B$ with $xy \notin E$, then $G$ is Hamiltonian.

Lemma 2.2 below is from [5].

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $G$ be a 2-connected bipartite graph with bipartition $(A, B)$, where $|A| \geq |B|$. If each vertex in $A$ has degree at least $k$ and each vertex in $B$ has degree at least $l$, then $G$ contains a cycle of length at least $2 \min(|B|, k + l - 1, 2k - 2)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $G$ be a graph satisfying the conditions in Theorem 1.2. Suppose, to the contrary, that $G$ is not Hamiltonian. Then $n \geq 2k + 1$ (otherwise $\delta \geq \frac{k}{2}$ and $G$ is Hamiltonian). Since $\kappa \geq 2$, $G$ has a cycle. Choose a longest cycle $C$ in $G$ and give an orientation on $C$. Since $G$ is not Hamiltonian, there exists a vertex $v_0 \in V(G) - V(C)$. By Menger’s theorem, we can find $s$ ($s \geq \kappa$) pairwise disjoint (except for $v_0$) paths $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_s$ between $v_0$ and $V(C)$. Let $v_i$ be the end vertex of $P_i$ on $C$, where $1 \leq i \leq s$. Without loss of generality, we assume that the appearance of $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s$ agrees with the orientation of $C$. We use $v_i^+$ to denote the successor of $v_i$ along the orientation of $C$, where $1 \leq i \leq s$. Since $C$ is a longest cycle in $G$, we have that $v_i^+ \neq v_{i+1}$, where $1 \leq i \leq s$ and the index $s + 1$ is regarded as 1. Moreover, $\{v_0, v_1^+, v_2^+, \ldots, v_s^+\}$ is independent (otherwise $G$ would have cycles which are longer than $C$). Set $S := \{v_0, v_1^+, v_2^+, \ldots, v_s^+\}$. Then $S$ is independent. Let $u_i = v_i^+$ for each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq \kappa$. Let $T := V - S = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{n-\kappa-1}\}$. We label the vertices of $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{\kappa}, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{n-\kappa-1}$ by $1, 2, \ldots, k + 1, k + 2, \ldots, n$, respectively. Let $d_1(w_i) = |N(w_i) \cap S|$ and $d_2(w_i) = |N(w_i) \cap T|$ for each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n - \kappa - 1$. Obviously, $\sum_{i=1}^{\kappa+1} d(u_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-\kappa} d_1(w_i)$.

Define a two by two matrix $B = (B_{i,j})_{2 \times 2}$, where

$$B_{11} = 0, \quad B_{12} = \sum_{i=0}^{\kappa} d(u_i) \frac{\kappa}{\kappa + 1}, \quad B_{21} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-\kappa} d_1(w_i) \frac{n - \kappa}{n - \kappa - 1}, \quad B_{22} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-\kappa} d_2(w_i) \frac{n - \kappa}{n - \kappa - 1}.$$ 

Then $B$ is a quotient matrix of the adjacency matrix of $G$ with partition $S$ and $T$. Let $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2$ be the eigenvalues of $B$. Then, by Corollary 2.3 on Page 596 in [4], we have that $\lambda_1 \geq \mu_1$ and $\mu_2 \geq \lambda_n$, where $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of $G$.

In the proofs below, we use some ideas in the proof of Theorem 3.3 in [4]. We, from Perron-Frobenius theorem, have that $|\lambda_n| \leq \lambda_1$. Thus,

$$\lambda_1^2 \geq -\lambda_1 \lambda_n \geq -\mu_1 \mu_2 = -\det(B) = B_{12} B_{21}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\kappa} d(u_i) \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-\kappa-1} d_1(w_i) \frac{n - \kappa}{n - \kappa - 1}}{n - \kappa + 1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\kappa} d(u_i) \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-\kappa} d_1(w_i) \frac{n - \kappa}{n - \kappa - 1}}{n - \kappa + 1} \geq \frac{\delta^2 (\kappa + 1)}{n - \kappa + 1} \geq \lambda_1^2.$$ 

Therefore, $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_n$, $\lambda_1 = \mu_1$, $\lambda_n = \mu_2$, and $d(u_i) = \delta$ for each $i$ with $0 \leq i \leq \kappa$. Since $0 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_n = \mu_1 + \mu_2 = B_{22}$, $d_2(w_i) = |N(w_i) \cap T| = 0$ for each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n - \kappa - 1$. Thus, $G$ is a bipartite graph with partition sets $S$ and $T$. 
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Notice that
\[
\delta = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\kappa-1} d(u_i)}{\kappa + 1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-\kappa-1} d_1(w_i)}{n-\kappa-1} \geq \frac{n-\kappa-1}{\kappa + 1}.
\]
Therefore, \(n \leq 2\kappa + 2\). Since \(n \geq 2\kappa + 1\). We have \(n = 2\kappa + 1\) or \(n = 2\kappa + 2\).

When \(n = 2\kappa + 1\), then \(n - \kappa - 1 = \kappa\). Since \(d(u_i) = \delta \geq \kappa\) for \(i\) with \(0 \leq i \leq \kappa\), \(u_iw_j \in E\) for each \(i\) with \(0 \leq i \leq \kappa\) and for each \(j\) with \(1 \leq j \leq n - \kappa - 1\). Hence, \(G\) is \(K_{\kappa, \kappa+1}\).

When \(n = 2\kappa + 2\), then \(n - \kappa - 1 = \kappa + 1\) and \(G\) is a balanced bipartite graph. From Lemma 2.1, we have \(G\) is Hamiltonian, a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let \(G\) be a graph satisfying the conditions in Theorem 1.3. Suppose, to the contrary, that \(G\) is not traceable. Then \(n \geq 2\kappa + 2\) (otherwise \(\delta \geq \kappa \geq \frac{n-1}{2}\) and \(G\) is traceable). Choose a longest path \(P\) in \(G\) and give an orientation on \(P\). Let \(x\) and \(y\) be the two end vertices of \(P\). Since \(G\) is not traceable, there exists a vertex \(u_0 \in V(G) - V(P)\). By Menger’s theorem, we can find \(s\) (\(s \geq \kappa\)) pairwise disjoint (except for \(u_0\)) paths \(P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_s\) between \(u_0\) and \(V(P)\). Let \(v_i\) be the end vertex of \(P_i\) on \(P\), where \(1 \leq i \leq s\). Without loss of generality, we assume that the appearance of \(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s\) agrees with the orientation of \(P\). Since \(P\) is a longest path in \(G\), \(x \neq v_i\) and \(y \neq v_i\), for each \(i\) with \(1 \leq i \leq s\), otherwise \(G\) would have paths which are longer than \(P\). We use \(v_i^+\) to denote the successor of \(v_i\) along the orientation of \(P\), where \(1 \leq i \leq s\). Since \(P\) is a longest path in \(G\), we have that \(v_i^+ \neq v_{i+1}\), where \(1 \leq i \leq s - 1\).

Moreover, \(\{u_0, v_1^+, v_2^+, \ldots, v_s^+, x\}\) is independent (otherwise \(G\) would have paths which are longer than \(P\)).

Set \(S := \{u_0, v_1^+, v_2^+, \ldots, v_s^+, x\}\). Then \(S\) is independent. Let \(u_i = v_i^+\) for each \(i\) with \(1 \leq i \leq \kappa\) and \(u_{\kappa+1} = x\).

Set \(T := V - S = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, \nu_{n-\kappa-2}\}\). We label the vertices of \(u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_\kappa, u_{\kappa+1}, w_1, w_2, \ldots, \nu_{n-\kappa-2}\) by \(1, 2, \ldots, \kappa + 1, \kappa + 2, \ldots, n\) respectively. Let \(d_1(w_i) = |N(w_i) \cap S|\) and \(d_2(w_i) = |N(w_i) \cap T|\) for each \(i\) with \(1 \leq i \leq n - \kappa - 2\). Obviously, \(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(u_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_1(w_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_2(w_i)\).

Define a two by two matrix \(B = (B_{i,j})_{2 \times 2}\), where
\[
B_{11} = 0, \quad B_{12} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\kappa+1} d(u_i)}{\kappa + 2}, \quad B_{21} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\kappa-2} d_1(w_i)}{\kappa + 2}, \quad B_{22} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\kappa-2} d_2(w_i)}{\kappa + 2}.
\]

Then \(B\) is a quotient matrix of the adjacency matrix of \(G\) with partition \(S\) and \(T\). Let \(\mu_1 \geq \mu_2\) be the eigenvalues of \(B\). Then, by Corollary 2.3 on Page 596 in [4], we have that \(\lambda_1 \geq \mu_1\) and \(\mu_2 \geq \lambda_n\), where \(\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n\) are the eigenvalues of \(G\).

We, from Perron-Frobenius theorem, have that \(|\lambda_n| \leq \lambda_1\). Thus,
\[
\lambda_2^2 \geq -\lambda_1 \lambda_n \geq -\mu_1 \mu_2 = -det(B) = B_{12} B_{21}
\]
\[
= \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\kappa+1} d(u_i) \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa-2} d_1(w_i)}{\kappa + 2} \geq \frac{\delta^2 (\kappa + 2)}{n - \kappa - 2} \geq \lambda_1^2.
\]

Therefore, \(\lambda_1 = -\lambda_n\), \(\lambda_1 = \mu_1\), \(\lambda_n = \mu_2\), and \(d(u_i) = \delta\) for each \(i\) with \(0 \leq i \leq \kappa + 1\). Since \(0 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_n = \mu_1 + \mu_2 = B_{22}\), \(d_2(w_i) = |N(w_i) \cap T| = 0\) for each \(i\) with \(1 \leq i \leq n - \kappa - 2\). Thus, \(G\) is a bipartite graph with partition sets \(S\) and \(T\).
Notice that
\[\delta = \sum_{i=0}^{\kappa+1} d(u_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-\kappa-2} \frac{d_1(w_i)}{n-\kappa-2} \frac{n-\kappa-2}{\kappa + 2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-\kappa-2} \frac{d(w_i)}{n-\kappa-2} \frac{n-\kappa-2}{\kappa + 2} \geq \delta \frac{n-\kappa-2}{\kappa + 2}.\]
Therefore, \(n \leq 2\kappa + 4\). Since \(n \geq 2\kappa + 2\), we have \(n = 2\kappa + 2, n = 2\kappa + 3, or n = 2\kappa + 4\).

When \(n = 2\kappa + 2\), then \(n - \kappa - 2 = \kappa\). Since \(d(u_i) = \delta \geq \kappa\) for \(i\) with \(0 \leq i \leq \kappa + 1\), \(u_iw_j \in E\) for each \(i\) with \(0 \leq i \leq \kappa + 1\) and for each \(j\) with \(1 \leq j \leq n - \kappa - 2\). Hence, \(G\) is \(K_{\kappa,\kappa+2}\).

When \(n = 2\kappa + 3\), then \(n - \kappa - 2 = \kappa + 1\). Notice that \(\kappa \geq 5\) since \(n = 2\kappa + 3 \geq 12\). Notice further that each vertex in \(S\) or \(T\) has degree at least \(\delta \geq \kappa\). From Lemma 2.2, we have \(G\) has a cycle of length \(2\kappa + 2\). Since \(n = 2\kappa + 3\) and \(\kappa \geq 5\), \(G\) has a path containing all the vertices of \(G\). Namely, \(G\) is traceable, a contradiction.

When \(n = 2\kappa + 4\), then \(n - \kappa - 2 = \kappa + 2\). Notice that \(\kappa \geq 4\) since \(n = 2\kappa + 4 \geq 12\). Notice further that each vertex in \(S\) or \(T\) has degree at least \(\delta \geq \kappa\). From Lemma 2.2, we have \(G\) has a cycle of length \(2\kappa + 4\), which implies that \(G\) is traceable, a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. \(\Box\)
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