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TESTING HYPOTHESES OF COVARIANCE STRUCTURE IN MULTIVARIATE DATA*
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Abstract. In this paper there is given a new approach for testing hypotheses on the structure of covariance matrices in double multivariate data. It is proved that ratio of positive and negative parts of best unbiased estimators (BUE) provide an F-test for independence of blocks variables in double multivariate models.
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1. Introduction. Blocked compound symmetric (BCS) covariance structure for doubly multivariate observations ($m$ dimensional observation vector repeatedly measured over $u$ locations or time points), which is a multivariate generalization of compound symmetry covariance structure for multivariate observations, was introduced by [8, 9] while classifying genetically different groups, and then [7] studied BCS covariance structure while developing general linear model with exchangeable and jointly normally distributed error vectors.

We consider the following multivariate data:

$$y_{nm 	imes 1} = \text{vec} \left( Y_{um 	imes n} \right) \sim N \left( (1_n \otimes I_{um}) \mu, I_n \otimes \Gamma_{um} \right),$$

where $\mu$ and $\Gamma$ are unknown, $\mu$ is $um \times 1$ vector and

$$\Gamma = I_u \otimes \Gamma_0 + (J_u - I_u) \otimes \Gamma_1.$$

Here $I_u$ is an identity matrix of size $u$ and $J_u = \frac{1}{u} J_u'$ is the matrix with all elements equal to one, of size $u$. $\Gamma_0$ and $\Gamma_1$ are $m \times m$ unknown parameters. Equivalently the structure of covariance can be written as the sum of strong orthogonal matrices i.e. product of matrices is equal zero:

$$\Gamma = \left( I_u - \frac{1}{u} J_u \right) \otimes (\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_1) + \frac{1}{u} J_u \otimes (\Gamma_0 + (u - 1)\Gamma_1).$$

The paper is divided into five sections: the present introduction, sections dedicated to best unbiased and maximum likelihood estimation for the considered model, and to the proposed hypothesis test, followed by the simulation study and by final remarks.
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2. Best unbiased estimators and Maximum Likelihood Estimators of $\mu$, $\Gamma_0$ and $\Gamma_1$. In [2] the best unbiased estimators (BUE) for $\Gamma_0$ and $\Gamma_1$ are given. It follows that BUE for $\Delta_0 = \Gamma_0 - \Gamma_1$ and $\Delta_1 = \Gamma_0 + (u - 1)\Gamma_1$ are given by:

$$\tilde{\Delta}_0 = \tilde{\Gamma}_0 - \tilde{\Gamma}_1,$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}_1 = \tilde{\Gamma}_0 + (u - 1)\tilde{\Gamma}_1.$$ 

On the other hand the maximum likelihood (ML) estimators for $\Delta_0$ and $\Delta_1$ are (see [3]) given by

$$\hat{\Delta}_0 = \frac{(n - 1)(u - 1)}{n(u - 1)}\tilde{\Delta}_0,$$

$$\hat{\Delta}_1 = \frac{n - 1}{n}\tilde{\Delta}_1.$$ 

Moreover, from [4] $\tilde{\Delta}_0$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_1$ are independent and

$$n(u - 1)\tilde{\Delta}_0 = (n - 1)(u - 1)\tilde{\Delta}_0 \sim W_{m}(\Delta_0, (n - 1)(u - 1)),$$

$$n\hat{\Delta}_1 = (n - 1)\hat{\Delta}_1 \sim W_{m}(\Delta_1, n - 1),$$

where $W_{m}(\Sigma, n)$ stands for Wishart distribution with $m \times m$ scale matrix $\Sigma$ and degrees of freedom parameter $n$.

3. Testing hypotheses about structure of covariance. The maximum likelihood for the distribution of (1.1) is given by

$$\ell_1 = \left|\frac{n(u - 1)}{n(u - 1)}\tilde{\Delta}_0\right|^\frac{n}{2} \left|\frac{n - 1}{n}\tilde{\Delta}_1\right|^\frac{n - 1}{2} e^{-\frac{nu}{2}}.$$ 

Considering

$$H_0 : \Gamma_1 = 0 \text{ vs } H_1 : \Gamma_1 \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow H_0 : \Delta_1 = \Delta_0 \text{ vs } H_1 : \Delta_1 \neq \Delta_0 :$$

From definitions of $\Delta_0$ and $\Delta_1$, it is clear that under $H_0$ we must necessarily have $\Delta_0 = \Delta_1$. Moreover, the maximum likelihood under $H_0$ is given by

$$\ell_0 = \left|(nu)^{-1}(n(u - 1)\tilde{\Delta}_0 + n\tilde{\Delta}_1)\right|^\frac{nu}{2} e^{-\frac{nu}{2}}.$$ 

Finally, the likelihood ratio test is given by

$$\Lambda = \frac{\left|\frac{n(u - 1)}{n(u - 1)}\tilde{\Delta}_0\right|^\frac{n}{2} \left|\frac{n - 1}{n}\tilde{\Delta}_1\right|^\frac{n - 1}{2}}{\left|(nu)^{-1}(n(u - 1)\tilde{\Delta}_0 + n\tilde{\Delta}_1)\right|^\frac{nu}{2}}.$$ 

Now we prove the following:

**Lemma 3.1.** If $W_1 \sim W_m(\Sigma, n_1)$ and $W_2 \sim W_m(\Sigma, n_2)$ (independent), then, for every fixed vector $x \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$:

$$T = \frac{n_2 x' W_1 x}{n_1 x' W_2 x} \sim F_{n_1, n_2}.$$
Proof. According to the theorem in [1], if $W \sim \mathcal{W}_m(\Sigma, n)$ then for every $x \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$:

$$\frac{x'Wx}{x'\Sigma x} \sim \chi^2_n.$$  

Now if we calculate ratio of $\frac{x'W_1x}{n_1}$ and $\frac{x'W_2x}{n_2}$ we get:

$$\frac{x'W_1x}{n_1} \sim \chi^2_{n_1}, \quad \frac{x'W_2x}{n_2} \sim \chi^2_{n_2}.$$  

Under the framework of [6] and [10], the positive part of $\tilde{\Gamma}_1$ is given by $\tilde{\Gamma}_{1+} = \tilde{\Delta}_1$ and negative part is given by $\tilde{\Gamma}_{1-} = \tilde{\Delta}_0$.

To build a test for the nullity of $\tilde{\Gamma}_1$ we can use Lemma 3.1. To do this, first we find the test statistic.

Theorem 3.2. Noting that the estimator of $\Gamma_1$ is given by

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_1 = \tilde{\Gamma}_{1+} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{1-} = \frac{\tilde{\Delta}_1 - \tilde{\Delta}_0}{u}.$$  

The test statistic

$$(3.4) \quad T = \frac{v'\tilde{\Gamma}_{1+}v}{v'\tilde{\Gamma}_{1-}v},$$  

with $v \neq 0$, is distributed as an $F$ random variable with $(n-1)$ and $(n-1)(u-1)$ degrees of freedom under $H_0 : \Gamma_{1+} = \Gamma_{1-}$.

Proof. Unbiased estimator of $\Gamma_1$ can be expressed as

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_1 = \frac{(n-1)(u-1)\tilde{\Delta}_1 - (n-1)(u-1)\tilde{\Delta}_0}{(n-1)u(u-1)} = \frac{\tilde{\Delta}_1 - \tilde{\Delta}_0}{u}.$$  

Under null hypothesis $\Gamma_1 = 0$:

$$(n-1)u\tilde{\Gamma}_{1+} = (n-1)\tilde{\Delta}_1 \sim \mathcal{W}_m(\Gamma_0, n-1),$$  

$$(n-1)u(u-1)\tilde{\Gamma}_{1-} = (n-1)(u-1)\tilde{\Delta}_0 \sim \mathcal{W}_m(\Gamma_0, (n-1)(u-1)).$$  

Now from Lemma 3.1 it follows that:

$$T = \frac{(n-1)uv'\tilde{\Gamma}_{1+}v}{(n-1)u(u-1)v'\tilde{\Gamma}_{1-}v} = \frac{v'\tilde{\Gamma}_{1+}v}{v'\tilde{\Gamma}_{1-}v}. \quad \Box$$  

Remark 3.3. Under the null hypothesis and using $v = 1$, the expectation of the numerator and the denominator of the statistic in (3.4) are equal, while under the alternative hypothesis and assuming that all elements of $\Gamma_1$ are non-negative, the expectation of the numerator is greater than the expectation of the denominator. If the elements in $\Gamma_1$ are non-positive then we reject the null hypothesis when the value of the test statistic is small enough.

The next section will show simulation results using $v = 1$, which is to take the sum of the elements of $\Gamma_1$ as null.
4. Simulation Study. To test the hypothesis described earlier, a simulation study using the R statistics software was performed and we compare the power function of F test, one and two-sided, with the likelihood ratio test (LRT). The chosen parameters were $m = 3$, $u = 2$ and

\[
\Gamma_0 = \begin{bmatrix}
0.01221 & 0.02172 & 0.00901 \\
0.02172 & 0.07492 & 0.01682 \\
0.00901 & 0.01682 & 0.01108
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
0.01038 & 0.01431 & 0.00824 \\
0.01931 & 0.06678 & 0.01529 \\
0.00824 & 0.01529 & 0.00807
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where $\lambda$ is a multiplier such that $\Gamma = I_u \otimes \Gamma_0 + (J_u - I_u) \otimes \lambda \Gamma_1$ is positive definite. In this case, $-0.280274 < \lambda < 1.12096$. For this instance, we take $v = 1$, which means that the sum of the elements of $\Gamma_1$ is equal to 0 under the alternative hypothesis. Generating 10000 observation vectors using sample sizes of $n = 5, 10, 15, 20$ and taking the significance level as 5%, the power of the LRT and one and two sided versions of the $F$ test were compared. The results are shown in figures 1, 2, 3 and 4.

Consider another case, a very special one, presented in [5], where $\Gamma_0$ and $\Gamma_1$ are scalars, with $m = 1$. Let $\Gamma_0 = 2$ and $\Gamma_1 = 1$. Additionally, it is assumed that $u = 2$, and parameter $n$ will be one of the values from the set $\{3, 5, 10, 25\}$.

Matrix $\Gamma$ has the following form:

$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{bmatrix}.$

From conditions of positive definiteness of matrix $\Gamma$ it is easy to show that values of multiplier $\lambda$ should be from interval $[-2, 2]$. The results are shown in figures 5, 6, which are included after the bibliography.

5. Final Remarks. The test presented in this paper provides a valid alternative to the likelihood ratio test for hypothesis tests on covariance components in multivariate models with BCS covariance structure, given that some previous knowledge on the covariance components is provided in the form of a linear restriction.

Given this condition, the presented test is more powerful than the LRT, as it is shown by the simulation study. It also offers computational advantages over the computationally expensive LRT distribution.
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Figure 1. $n = 5$
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Figure 2. $n = 10$
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Figure 3. $n = 15$
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Figure 4. $n = 20$
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Figure 5. $n = 3$ and $n = 5$

Figure 6. $n = 10$ and $n = 25$