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LOWER BOUNDS FOR THE ESTRADA INDEX OF GRAPHS∗

YILUN SHANG†

Abstract. Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ be its eigenvalues. The Estrada index of $G$ is defined as $EE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\lambda_i}$. In this paper, new lower bounds for the Estrada index are established.
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1. Introduction. Throughout this paper, let $G$ be an undirected simple graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. We say that $G$ is an $(n, m)$-graph. Let the spectrum of $G$ be $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ arranged in a non-increasing order. The properties of graph spectrum can be found in [1]. The Estrada index [3] is a spectrum-based graph invariant promoted by Estrada [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9] and defined by

\[ EE = EE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\lambda_i}. \] (1.1)

The Estrada index was used to study the folding degree of proteins and other long-chain molecules [4, 5, 6, 9]. It also has numerous applications in the vast field of complex networks [7, 8, 13, 14, 17]. A number of properties especially lower and upper bounds [3, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20] for the Estrada index are known. In this paper, we establish further lower bounds improving some results in [3, 12].

2. Preliminaries. We begin by some notation that will be used in the following proofs of results.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $d_i$ be the degree of vertex $v_i$ in $G$. The first Zagreb index [2] of the graph $G$ is defined as $Z_1(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2$. For $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, let $M_k = M_k(G)$ be the $k$th spectral moment of a graph $G$,

\[ M_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^k. \]
From (1.1) we have
\[
EE(G) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{M_k(G)}{k!}.
\]
(2.1)

Recall that \( M_k \) is the number of close walks of length \( k \) in the graph \([1]\). The first few spectral moments of an \((n, m)\)-graph \( G \) are well known: \( M_0 = n, M_1 = 0, M_2 = 2m \) and \( M_3 = 6t \), where \( t = t(G) \) is the number of triangles in \( G \). Denote by \( K_n \) the complete graph on \( n \) vertices.

**Lemma 2.1 ([19]).** Let \( G \) be a graph with \( m \) edges. For \( k \geq 4 \),
\[
M_{k+2} \geq M_k,
\]
with equality for all even \( k \geq 4 \) if and only if \( G \) consists of \( m \) copies of \( K_2 \) and possibly isolated vertices, and with equality for all odd \( k \geq 5 \) if and only if \( G \) is a bipartite graph.

The following is an immediate result of Lemma 2.1.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let \( G \) be an \((n, m)\)-graph. For \( k \geq 4 \), we have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} (2\lambda_i)^{k+2} \geq 4 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (2\lambda_i)^k,
\]
with equality for all even \( k \geq 4 \) if and only if \( G \) consists of \( m \) copies of \( K_2 \) and possibly isolated vertices, and with equality for all odd \( k \geq 5 \) if and only if \( G \) is a bipartite graph.

**3. Results.** In this section, we present our lower bounds for the Estrada index and compare them to some existing bounds.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( G \) be an \((n, m)\)-graph. Then we have
\[
EE(G) \geq \sqrt{n^2 + 4m + 8t + \left( e^2 + e^{-2} \right) M_4 + \left( \frac{e^2 - e^{-2}}{2} - \frac{10}{3} \right) M_5},
\]
(3.1)

with equality if and only if \( n = 2 \) or \( m = 0 \).

As a simple example, for \( G = K_2 \), it follows from the above result that \( EE(K_2) = e + e^{-1} \) since \( n = M_4 = 2, m = 1 \) and \( t = M_5 = 0 \). This is confirmed by directly applying definition (1.1). 

**Proof.** From the definition of (1.1), we have
\[
EE^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{2\lambda_i} + 2 \sum_{i<j} e^{\lambda_i}e^{\lambda_j}.
\]
(3.2)
By the arithmetic and geometric mean inequality and the fact that $M_1 = 0$,

\[
2 \sum_{i<j} e^{\lambda_i}e^{\lambda_j} \geq n(n-1) \left( \prod_{i<j} e^{\lambda_i}e^{\lambda_j} \right)^{1/(n(n-1))} = n(n-1) \left( \prod_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda_i} \right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{n(n-1)} = n(n-1) \left( e^{M_1} \right)^{1/2} = n(n-1),
\]

(3.3)

where the equality holds if and only if $\lambda_i + \lambda_j$ are equal for all $i < j$. This condition is tantamount to the fact that $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_n$ or $n = 2$. Therefore, the equality in (3.3) holds if and only if $m = 0$ or $n = 2$.

In view of the properties of $M_0$, $M_1$, $M_2$ and $M_3$, we obtain

\[
\sum_{i=1}^n e^{2\lambda_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(2\lambda_i)^k}{k!} = n + 4m + 8t + \sum_{k \geq 4} \frac{(2\lambda_i)^k}{(2k)!} + \sum_{k \geq 4} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (2\lambda_i)^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}.
\]

(3.4)

Invoking Corollary 2.2 we get

\[
\sum_{i=1}^n e^{2\lambda_i} \geq n + 4m + 8t + \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{4^{k-2} \sum_{i=1}^n (2\lambda_i)^4}{(2k)!} + \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{4^{k-2} \sum_{i=1}^n (2\lambda_i)^5}{(2k+1)!} = n + 4m + 8t + \left( \frac{e^2 + e^{-2}}{2} - 3 \right) M_4 + \left( \frac{e^2 - e^{-2}}{2} - \frac{10}{3} \right) M_5,
\]

(3.5)

with equality holding if and only if $G$ consists of $m$ copies of $K_2$ and possibly isolated vertices.

Combining with (3.3) and (3.5), we obtain the desired lower bound (3.1), with equality if and only if $n = 2$ or $m = 0$.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let $G$ be an $(n,m)$-graph. Then we have

\[
EE(G) \geq \sqrt{n^2 + 4m + (e^2 + e^{-2} - 6)(Zg(G) - m) + [15(e^2 - e^{-2}) - 92]t},
\]

(3.6)

with equality if and only if $n = 2$ or $m = 0$.

**Proof.** Recall that we have [1]

\[
M_4 = 2Zg(G) - 2m + 8q,
\]
where \( q \) is the number of quadrangles in \( G \), and

\[
M_5 = 30t + 10p + 10r,
\]

where \( p \) is the number of pentagons, and \( r \) is the number of subgraphs consisting of a triangle with a pendant vertex attached. When \( n = 2 \) or \( m = 0 \), we have \( p = q = r = 0 \). The result then follows directly from Theorem 3.1.

When \( n = 2 \) or \( m = 0 \), we clearly have \( t = 0 \). Thus, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let \( G \) be an \((n, m)\)-graph. Then we have

\[
EE(G) \geq \sqrt{n^2 + 4m + (e^2 + e^{-2} - 6)(Zg(G) - m)},
\]

with equality if and only if \( n = 2 \) or \( m = 0 \).

For an \((n, m)\)-graph \( G \), it is proved in [3] that

\[
EE(G) \geq \sqrt{n^2 + 4m + 8t}.
\]

Our bound in (3.7) is obviously better than the bound in (3.8). Recently, the lower bound is improved to [12]

\[
EE(G) \geq \sqrt{n^2 + \frac{5}{3}m + 8t}.
\]

By noting that \( M_4 \geq 2m \) and \( M_5 \geq 0 \), we have

\[
\left( \frac{e^2 + e^{-2}}{2} - 3 \right) M_4 > \frac{4}{3}m,
\]

and hence, our bound in (3.7) is better than the one in (3.9).

In [18] it is shown that if \( n \geq 2 \),

\[
EE(G) \geq e^{\lambda_1} + (n - 1)e^{-\frac{\lambda_1}{n-1}}.
\]

Clearly, the bounds in (3.7) and (3.10) are incomparable in general.
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