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LIGHTS OUT! ON CARTESIAN PRODUCTS∗

TRAVIS PETERS†, JOHN GOLDWASSER‡, AND MICHAEL YOUNG†

Abstract. The game LIGHTS OUT! is played on a $5 \times 5$ square grid of buttons; each button may be on or off. Pressing a button changes the on/off state of the light of the button pressed and of all its vertical and horizontal neighbors. Given an initial configuration of buttons that are on, the object of the game is to turn all the lights out. The game can be generalized to arbitrary graphs. In this paper, Cartesian Product graphs (that is, graphs of the form $G \Box H$, where $G$ and $H$ are arbitrary finite, simple graphs) are investigated. In particular, conditions for which $G \Box H$ is universally solvable (every initial configuration of lights can be turned out by a finite sequence of button presses), using both closed neighborhood switching and open neighborhood switching, are provided.
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1. Introduction. The popular electronic game LIGHTS OUT! was released by Tiger Electronics in 1995. The game is played on a $5 \times 5$ square grid of buttons, where each button is either on or off (lit or unlit). When you start the game, it generates a random puzzle or configuration of lit and unlit buttons. The object of the game is simple - turn the lights out. When you press a button, not only does it change the state of that light (from on to off or vice versa), but it also changes the state of the adjacent lights (those that are directly above, below, or next to the pressed button).

We represent the state of each light by an element of $GF(2)$, the field of integers modulo 2; 1 means on, 0 means off. Throughout this paper, all calculations are done modulo 2.

The traditional game is played on a square grid but can be generalized to arbitrary graphs. Recall that the Cartesian Product of $G$ with $H$, denoted $G \Box H$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ such that $(u, v)$ is adjacent to $(u', v')$ if and only if (1) $u = u'$ and $v \sim v'$ in $H$, or (2) $v = v'$ and $u \sim u'$ in $G$. In this paper, we consider the game applied to graphs of the form $G \Box H$, where $G$ and $H$ are arbitrary finite, simple graphs. In particular, we address the question of whether or not $G \Box H$ is universally solvable, i.e., whether or not every initial configuration on $G \Box H$ is solvable (all of the lights can be turned off by a finite sequence of button presses).

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph of order $n$. For each $v \in V$, the open neighborhood $N(v)$ of $v$ is the set of vertices adjacent to $v$, $N(v) = \{ u \in V : (u, v) \in E \}$. The closed neighborhood $N[v]$ of $v$ is the open neighborhood along with $v$ itself, $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{ v \}$. In the traditional game, pressing a vertex $v$ changes the state of $v$ as well as that of the vertices adjacent to $v$. This is called closed neighborhood switching. In a variation of the game, pressing a vertex $v$ does not change the state of $v$, only that of the vertices adjacent to $v$. This is called open neighborhood switching.

We say $G$ is closed universally solvable if every initial configuration is solvable using closed neighbor-
hood switching. We say $G$ is open universally solvable if every initial configuration is solvable using open neighborhood switching. Throughout the paper, we denote by $A(G)$ the adjacency matrix of $G$. Then $A(G)$ is the open neighborhood matrix of $G$, and $A(G) + I_n$, where $I_n$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, is the closed neighborhood matrix of $G$.

**Theorem 1.1.** [6, 9, 10] A graph is universally solvable if and only if its adjacency matrix is invertible over $GF(2)$.

Consequently, $G$ is closed universally solvable if and only if $A(G) + I_n$ is invertible, and $G$ is open universally solvable if and only if $A(G)$ is invertible. Since a matrix $A$ is invertible if and only if $\det(A) \neq 0$, we approach the problem of determining whether or not a graph $G$ is open (closed) universally solvable by studying the determinant of the adjacency matrix $A(G)$ (the closed neighborhood matrix $A(G) + I_n$).

For an extensive survey of the work that has been done on the game, see [4]. Sutner [9, 10] was the first to study the game, and he did so in the context of cellular automata. He showed that for any graph, it is possible to turn all the lights off if initially all the lights are on. Amin et al. [1, 2, 3] concentrated on universally solvable graphs. Goldwasser et al. [5, 6] used Fibonacci polynomials to determine for which pairs $(m, n)$ the grid graph $G_{m,n}$ is closed universally solvable and for which pairs it is open universally solvable. We note that $G_{m,n}$ is the Cartesian Product $P_m \square P_n$, where $P_i$ is a path with $i$ vertices. In this paper, we generalize the game to graphs of the form $G \square H$, where $G$ and $H$ are arbitrary finite, simple graphs.

2. Cartesian Products. Let $G$ and $H$ be finite, simple graphs, with $|G| = m$, $|H| = p$, and let $n = mp$. Let $B = [b_{ij}] = A(G)$, the adjacency matrix of $G$, and let $C = A(H)$, the adjacency matrix of $H$. We make the following observation.

**Observation 2.1.** The adjacency matrix of $G \square H$ is

$$A(G \square H) = \begin{bmatrix}
C & b_{12}I_p & \ldots & b_{1m}I_p \\
b_{21}I_p & C & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
b_{m1}I_p & \ldots & b_{m(m-1)}I_p & C
\end{bmatrix},$$

where $b_{ij}I_p$ is either the $p \times p$ identity matrix or the $p \times p$ matrix of zeros as $b_{ij} \in GF(2)$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. Note that $b_{ii} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ as $B$ is the adjacency matrix of $G$. The rows and columns could be permuted to get an adjacency matrix with $p \times m$ blocks with $B$ on the main diagonal.

The following result on the determinant of a block matrix will play a key role in our computations.

**Theorem 2.2.** [8] Let $R$ be a commutative subring of $M_n(F)$, where $F$ is a field (or a commutative ring), and let $M \in M_m(R)$. Then

$$\det_R M = \det_R (\det_R M).$$

For example, if $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$, where $A, B, C, D$ are $n \times n$ matrices over $F$ that mutually commute, then Theorem 2.2 says

$$\det_R M = \det_F (AD - BC).$$
By Observation 2.1, $A(G\square H)$ is a block matrix consisting of the blocks $C = A(H)$, the $p \times p$ identity matrix $I_p$, and the $p \times p$ matrix of zeros $O_p$. These three blocks are pairwise commutative, so the next result follows from Theorem 2.2. We let $p_A(x)$ denote the characteristic polynomial of the $n \times n$ matrix $A$.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let $G$ and $H$ be finite, simple graphs, with $|G| = m$, $|H| = p$, and $n = mp$. Let $B = A(G)$ and $C = A(H)$. Then

$$\det[A(G\square H)] = \det[p_B(C)]$$

and

$$\det[A(G\square H) + I_n] = \det[p_B(C + I_p)].$$

We will also need the following result.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial and let $A$ be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then $f(A)$ is singular if and only if $\gcd(f(x), p_A(x)) \neq 1$.

**Proof.** Suppose $q(x) = \gcd(f(x), p_A(x)) = 1$. We can express $q(x)$ as a linear combination of two polynomials $p_1(x)$ and $p_2(x)$. So $1 = q(x) = p_1(x)f(x) + p_2(x)p_A(x)$. Then $I_n = q(A) = p_1(A)f(A)$ as $p_A(A) = 0$. Hence, $f(A)$ is nonsingular.

Conversely, suppose $\gcd(f(x), p_A(x)) \neq 1$. Then $r(x) = \gcd(f(x), m_A(x)) \neq 1$, where $m_A(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of $A$. Since $r(x) \neq 1$ divides $m_A(x)$, $r(A)$ is singular. To see this, observe that $m_A(x) = r(x)s(x)$ for some $s(x)$. So $m_A(A) = r(A)s(A) = 0$, with $s(A) \neq 0$ as $m_A(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of $A$. Since $r(A)s(A)x = 0$ for every $x$, there exists a vector $\vec{x}$ such that $\vec{y} = s(A)x \neq 0$. Hence, $r(A)\vec{y} = 0$ and $r(A)$ is singular. Since $f(x) = r(x)t(x)$ for some $t(x)$, it follows that $f(A)$ is singular. □

We now provide conditions for which $G\square H$ is closed universally solvable and open universally solvable, and illustrate with an example.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let $G$ and $H$ be finite, simple graphs, with $|G| = m$, $|H| = p$, and $n = mp$. Let $B = A(G)$ and $C = A(H)$. Then $G\square H$ is closed universally solvable if and only if $\gcd(p_B(x + 1), p_C(x)) = 1$.

**Proof.** Suppose $q(x) = \gcd(p_B(x + 1), p_C(x)) \neq 1$. Since $q(x) \neq 1$ divides $p_C(x)$, $q(C)$ is singular. Suppose $p_B(x + 1) = s(x)q(x)$ for some $s(x)$. Then $p_B(C + I_p) = s(C)q(C)$ is singular since $q(C)$ is singular. By Theorem 2.3, $\det[A(G\square H) + I_n] = \det[p_B(C + I_p)] = 0$. Hence, $A(G\square H) + I_n$ is singular, and $G\square H$ is not closed universally solvable.

Conversely, suppose $A(G\square H) + I_n$ is singular. Then $p_B(C + I_p)$ is singular. By Theorem 2.4, $\gcd(p_B(x + 1), p_C(x)) \neq 1$. □

**Theorem 2.6.** Let $G$ and $H$ be finite, simple graphs, with $|G| = m$, $|H| = p$, and $n = mp$. Let $B = A(G)$ and $C = A(H)$. Then $G\square H$ is open universally solvable if and only if $\gcd(p_B(x), p_C(x)) = 1$.

**Proof.** Suppose $r(x) = \gcd(p_B(x), p_C(x)) \neq 1$. Since $r(x) \neq 1$ divides $p_C(x)$, $r(C)$ is singular. Suppose $p_B(x) = t(x)q(x)$ for some $t(x)$. Then $p_B(C) = t(C)q(C)$ is singular since $q(C)$ is singular. By Theorem 2.3, $\det[A(G\square H)] = \det[p_B(C)]$. Hence, $A(G\square H)$ is singular, and $G\square H$ is not open universally solvable.

Conversely, suppose $A(G\square H)$ is singular. Then $p_B(C)$ is singular. So by Theorem 2.4, $\gcd(p_B(x), p_C(x)) \neq 1$. □
Example 2.7. Let $G = K_4$, the complete graph on four vertices, and let $H = C_4$, the cycle graph of order 4. Then

$$B = A(K_4) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C = A(C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$A(K_4 \Box C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} C & I_4 & I_4 & I_4 \\ I_4 & C & I_4 & I_4 \\ I_4 & I_4 & C & I_4 \\ I_4 & I_4 & I_4 & C \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

Observe that $p_B(x) = (x + 1)^4$ and $p_C(x) = x^4$. By Theorem 2.3, $\det[A(K_4 \Box C_4)] = \det[p_B(C)] = \det[(C + I_4)^4] = [\det(C + I_4)]^4 = 1$, and $\det[A(K_4 \Box C_4) + I_4] = \det[p_B(C + I_4)] = \det[C^4] = [\det(C)]^4 = 0$. Hence, $K_4 \Box C_4$ is open universally solvable and not closed universally solvable.

Alternatively, $\gcd(p_B(x), p_C(x)) = \gcd((x + 1)^4, x^4) = 1$, so $K_4 \Box C_4$ is open universally solvable by Theorem 2.6. In addition, $\gcd(p_B(x + 1), p_C(x)) = \gcd(x^4, x^4) = x^4$, so $K_4 \Box C_4$ is not closed universally solvable by Theorem 2.5.

If $f(x)$ is any polynomial over $GF(2)$, we define the conjugate of $f(x)$ by $f(x+1)$. Interestingly, if $p_B(x) = p_B(x+1)$ (so $p_B(x)$ is self-conjugate) for some graph $G$ with $B = A(G)$, then $G \Box H$ will be both closed universally solvable and open universally solvable if $\gcd(p_B(x), p_C(x)) = 1$, where $C = A(H)$. Goldwasser et al. [7] showed that the only self-conjugate Fibonacci polynomials are $f_0(x) = 0$, $f_1(x) = 1$, and $f_5(x) = x^4 + x^2 + 1 = (x^2 + x + 1)^2$. Let $B_n = A(P_n)$, the adjacency matrix of the path on $n$ vertices. Goldwasser et al. [6] observed that

$$p_{B_n}(x) = xp_{B_{n-1}}(x) + p_{B_{n-2}}(x),$$

where $p_{B_1}(x) = x$ and $p_{B_2}(x) = 1$. The sequence $\{p_{B_i}(x)\}$ satisfies the Fibonacci recurrence with initial conditions shifted by one, so $p_{B_i} = f_{i+1}$ $(i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$. This relationship allows us to find an explicit formula for the characteristic polynomial of $A(P_n)$. In particular, if $G = P_4$, then $p_{B_4}(x) = f_5(x) = p_{B_4}(x+1)$. So if $\gcd(p_B(x), p_C(x)) = 1$ for some graph $H$ with $C = A(H)$, then $P_4 \Box H$ will be both closed universally solvable and open universally solvable. This is the case for $P_4 \Box K_n$ (the characteristic polynomial for $A(K_n)$ is given in the proof of Proposition 3.1).

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.6, $G \Box G$ is not open universally solvable for any graph $G$.

Corollary 2.8. Let $G$ be a finite, simple graph, and let $B = A(G)$. Then $G \Box G$ is not open universally solvable.

Proof. Since $\gcd(p_B(x), p_B(x)) = p_B(x) \neq 1$, the result follows immediately from Theorem 2.6.
While $G □ G$ is not open universally solvable for any graph $G$, $G □ G$ may or may not be closed universally solvable. For example, $P_3 □ P_3$ is closed universally solvable and $K_3 □ K_3$ is not closed universally solvable. In fact, $G □ G$ is closed universally solvable if and only if both $f(x)$ and the conjugate of $f(x)$ for any polynomial $f(x)$ of degree at least one, and the result follows immediately from Theorem 2.5.

**Corollary 2.9.** Let $G$ be a finite, simple graph, and let $B = A(G)$. Then $G □ G$ is closed universally solvable if and only if $p_B(x)$ is not divisible by both $f(x)$ and $g(x) = f(x+1)$ for any polynomial $f(x)$ of degree at least one.

### 3. Cartesian Products of common graph families.

We now investigate Cartesian Products involving some common graph families and decide whether or not they are closed universally solvable and open universally solvable. The results are summarized in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

**Proposition 3.1.** If $n$ and $m$ are even, then $K_n □ K_m$ is closed universally solvable but not open universally solvable. If $m$ is odd and $n$ is either even or odd, then $K_n □ K_m$ is not closed universally solvable and not open universally solvable.

**Proof.** Let $G = K_n$, $H = K_m$, $B = A(K_n)$, and $C = A(K_m)$. If $n$ is even, $p_B(x) = (p_{A(K_2)}(x))^{n/2} = ((x+1)^2)^{n/2} = (x+1)^n$. Moreover, $p_B(x+1) = ((x+1)+1)^n = x^n$. Hence, if both $n$ and $m$ are even, then $x+1$ divides both $p_B(x)$ and $p_C(x)$. In addition, $\gcd(p_B(x+1), p_C(x)) = \gcd(x^n, (x+1)^m) = 1$. Therefore, $K_n □ K_m$ is closed universally solvable but not open universally solvable if $n$ and $m$ are even by Theorems 2.5 and 2.6, respectively (see the entries in red text in Table 1).

If $m$ is odd, $p_C(x) = x(p_{A(K_2)}(x))^{m-1} = x((x+1)^2)^{m-1} = x(x+1)^{m-1}$. Moreover, $p_C(x+1) = (x+1)((x+1)+1)^{m-1} = (x+1)(x^{m-1})$. Hence, if $n$ is even and $m$ is odd, then $x+1$ divides both $p_B(x)$ and $p_C(x)$. In addition, $x$ divides both $p_B(x+1)$ and $p_C(x)$. If $n$ and $m$ are both odd, then $x(x+1)$ divides both $p_B(x)$ and $p_C(x)$. In addition, $x$ divides both $p_B(x+1)$ and $p_C(x)$. Therefore, $K_n □ K_m$ is not closed universally solvable and not open universally solvable if $m$ is odd and $n$ is either even or odd by Theorems 2.5 and 2.6, respectively.

Let $B_n = A(P_n)$, the adjacency matrix of the path on $n$ vertices, and let $A_n = A(C_n)$, the adjacency matrix of the cycle of order $n$. Then by expansion on the first row we get

$$p_{A_n}(x) = \det \begin{bmatrix} x & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & x & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & x & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & x & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 1 & x \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= xp_{B_{n-1}}(x) = xf_n(x),$$

where $f_n(x)$ is the $n$th Fibonacci polynomial. We use a result on the divisibility of polynomials by Goldwasser et al. [6] in the proof of the next proposition.
PROPOSITION 3.2. If \( n \) and \( m \) are any positive integers, then \( C_n \sqcap K_m \) is not closed universally solvable. If \( n \) is any positive integer and \( m \) is odd, then \( C_n \sqcap K_m \) is not open universally solvable. If \( m \) is even, then \( C_n \sqcap K_m \) is open universally solvable if and only if \( n \) is not a multiple of 3.

Proof. Let \( A_n = A(C_n) \) and let \( C = A(K_m) \). As seen in the proof of Proposition 3.1, \( x + 1 \) is a factor of \( p_C(x) \) for both \( m \) even and \( m \) odd. Since \( p_{A_n}(x) = xf_n(x) \), \( p_{A_n}(x+1) = (x+1)f_n(x+1) \) and \( \gcd(p_{A_n}(x+1), p_C(x)) \neq 1 \). Hence, \( C_n \sqcap K_m \) is not closed universally solvable for any positive integers \( n \) and \( m \).

If \( m \) is odd, then \( p_C(x) = (x+1)^{m-1} \). So \( \gcd(p_{A_n}(x), p_C(x)) \neq 1 \), and \( C_n \sqcap K_m \) is not open universally solvable.

If \( m \) is even, then \( p_C(x) = (x+1)^m \). Since \( t = 3 \) is the minimal integer for which \( (x+1)|f_t(x) \), \( (x+1)|f_r(x) \) if and only if \( t|r \). Hence, \( C_n \sqcap K_m \) is open universally solvable if and only if \( n \) is not a multiple of 3 (see the entries in blue text in Table 1).

PROPOSITION 3.3. If \( n \) is odd, then \( K_n \sqcap P_{m-1} \) is closed universally solvable and open universally solvable if and only if \( m \) is not a multiple of 2 or 3. If \( n \) is even, then \( K_n \sqcap P_{m-1} \) is closed universally solvable if and only if \( m \) is not a multiple of 2. If \( n \) is even, then \( K_n \sqcap P_{m-1} \) is open universally solvable if and only if \( m \) is not a multiple of 3.

Proof. Let \( B = A(K_n) \) and let \( B_{m-1} = A(P_{m-1}) \). If \( n \) is odd, then \( p_B(x+1) = (x+1)x^{n-1} \) and \( p_B(x) = x(x+1)^{n-1} \). Since \( p_{B_{m-1}}(x) = f_m(x) \), \( x \) is a factor of \( p_{B_{m-1}}(x) \) if and only if \( m \) is a multiple of 2 and \( x+1 \) is a factor of \( p_{B_{m-1}}(x) \) if and only if \( m \) is a multiple of 3. Hence, \( K_n \sqcap P_{m-1} \) is closed universally solvable and open universally solvable if and only if \( m \) is not a multiple of 2 or 3 (see the entries in magenta text in Table 1).

If \( n \) is even, then \( p_B(x+1) = x^n \). Since \( p_{B_{m-1}}(x) = f_m(x) \), \( x \) is a factor of \( p_{B_{m-1}}(x) \) if and only if \( m \) is a multiple of 2. Hence, \( K_n \sqcap P_{m-1} \) is closed universally solvable if and only if \( m \) is not a multiple of 2 (see the entries in brown text in Table 1).

If \( n \) is even, then \( p_B(x) = (x+1)^n \). Since \( p_{B_{m-1}}(x) = f_m(x) \), \( x+1 \) is a factor of \( p_{B_{m-1}}(x) \) if and only if \( m \) is a multiple of 3. Hence, \( K_n \sqcap P_{m-1} \) is open universally solvable if and only if \( m \) is not a multiple of 3 (see the entries in violet text in Table 1).

PROPOSITION 3.4. If \( n \) and \( m \) are any positive integers, then \( C_n \sqcap C_m \) is not open universally solvable. In addition, \( C_n \sqcap C_m \) is closed universally solvable if and only if \( n \) and \( m \) are not multiples of 3 (provided \( n \) and \( m \) are not both 5).

Proof. Let \( A_k = A(C_k) \). Then \( p_{A_k}(x) = xf_k(x) \). Hence, \( x \) is a factor of \( p_{A_k}(x) \) and \( p_{A_m}(x) \), and so \( C_n \sqcap C_m \) is not open universally solvable.

We have \( p_{A_n}(x+1) = (x+1)f_n(x+1) \) and \( p_{A_m}(x) = xf_m(x) \). Then \( x+1 \) is a factor of \( f_m(x) \) if and only if \( m \) is a multiple of 3. Moreover, \( x \) is a factor of \( f_n(x+1) \) if and only if \( n \) is a multiple of 3. Hence, \( C_n \sqcap C_m \) is closed universally solvable if and only if \( n \) and \( m \) are not multiples of 3 (see the entries in blue text in Table 2). There is one exception to this rule. If \( n = m = 5 \), then \( f_5(x) = f_5(x+1) \) as \( f_5(x) \) is the only self-conjugate Fibonacci polynomial, and so \( C_5 \sqcap C_5 \) is not closed universally solvable (see the entry in orange text in Table 2).

PROPOSITION 3.5. The graph \( C_n \sqcap P_{m-1} \) is open universally solvable if and only if \( m \) is not a multiple of 2 and \( n \) and \( m \) are not multiples of each other. In addition, \( C_n \sqcap P_{m-1} \) is closed universally solvable if and only if \( m \) is not a multiple of 3 and \( P_{n-1} \sqcap P_{m-1} \) is closed universally solvable.
Proof. Let $A_n = A(C_n)$ and let $B_{n-1} = A(P_{n-1})$. Then $p_{A_n}(x) = xf_n(x)$ and $p_{B_{n-1}}(x) = f_m(x)$. Observe that $x$ is a factor of $f_m(x)$ if and only if $m$ is even. In addition, $f_n(x)$ and $f_m(x)$ have common divisors if and only if $m$ and $n$ are multiples of each other. Hence, $C_n □ P_{m-1}$ is open universally solvable if and only if $m$ is not a multiple of 2 and $m$ and $n$ are not multiples of each other (see the entries in red text in Table 2).

We have $p_{A_n}(x+1) = (x+1)f_n(x+1)$. Since $x+1$ is a factor of $f_m(x)$ if and only if $m$ is a multiple of 3, $C_n □ P_{m-1}$ is not closed universally solvable if $m$ is a multiple of 3 (see the entries in green text in Table 2). Moreover, $C_n □ P_{m-1}$ is not closed universally solvable if gcd$(f_n(x+1), f_m(x)) \neq 1$ (i.e., $P_{n-1} □ P_{m-1}$ is not closed universally solvable).

Goldwasser et al. [5, 6] used Fibonacci polynomials to determine for which pairs $(m, n)$ the grid graph $P_m □ P_n$ is closed universally solvable and for which pairs it is open universally solvable. For certain values of $n$, we can easily determine when $P_n □ P_n$ is closed universally solvable, as illustrated in the following example.

We utilize several of the properties of Fibonacci polynomials over $GF(2)$.

Example 3.6. If $n = 2k$ for some positive integer $k$, then $p_{B_{n-1}}(x) = f_n(x) = x f_k^2(x)$. In addition, if $n = 3j$ for some positive integer $j$, then $p_{B_{n-1}}(x) = f_n(x) = f_5(x) f_3(x) = (x+1)^2 f_2(x(x+1)^2)$. Finally, if $n = 6l$ for some positive integer $l$, then $p_{B_{n-1}}(x) = f_n(x) = f_{2(3l)}(x) = x f_{3l}^2(x) = x((x+1)^2 f_2(x(x+1)^2))^2$.

In other words, $p_{B_{n-1}}(x) = f_n(x)$ is divisible by $x$ and $x+1$ if $n$ is a multiple of 6, and so $P_{n-1} □ P_{n-1}$ is not closed universally solvable by Corollary 2.9 (see the entries in green text in Table 3).

If $n = 2^k$ for some positive integer $k$, then $f_n(x) = p_{B_{n-1}}(x) = x^{n-1}$. Since $f_n(x)$ is a power of $x$ and the conjugate of $x$ is $x+1$, $f_n(x)$ is not divisible by both a polynomial and its conjugate. Hence, $P_{n-1} □ P_{n-1}$ is closed universally solvable by Corollary 2.9 (see the entries in orange text in Table 3).

Recall that $f_5(x)$ is self-conjugate; that is, $f_5(x) = f_5(x+1)$. Moreover, if $n = 5k$ for some positive integer $k$, then $p_{B_{n-1}}(x) = f_n(x) = f_5(x) f_k(x f_5(x))$. Since $f_5(x)$ is self-conjugate, $p_{B_{n-1}}(x+1) = f_n(x+1) = f_5(x+1) f_k((x+1) f_5(x+1)) = f_5(x) f_k((x+1) f_5(x))$. Hence, $f_5(x)$ divides both $p_{B_{n-1}}(x)$ and $p_{B_{n-1}}(x+1)$. Therefore, $P_{n-1} □ P_{n-1}$ is not closed universally solvable by Corollary 2.9 (see the entries in purple text in Table 3).

If $f_m(x)$ is divisible by a self-conjugate polynomial $g(x)$, then $f_n(x)$ is also divisible by $g(x)$, where $n = mk$ for some positive integer $k$. Observe that $f_{17}(x)$ is divisible by the self-conjugate polynomial $g(x) = x^4 + x + 1$. So if $n = 17k$ where $k$ is a positive integer, then $f_n(x)$ is also divisible by $g(x)$. Hence, $g(x)$ divides both $p_{B_{n-1}}(x)$ and $p_{B_{n-1}}(x+1)$. Therefore, $P_{n-1} □ P_{n-1}$ is not closed universally solvable by Corollary 2.9.

The $n$-cube $Q_n$, $n \geq 1$, is defined as the repeated Cartesian product of $n$ paths of length two. That is, $Q_1 = P_2$ and $Q_n = Q_{n-1} □ P_2$ for $n \geq 2$. The $n$-cube is often referred to as the $n$th hypercube. If $V(P_2) = \{0, 1\}$, then the vertex set of $Q_n$ can be viewed as the set of $n$-tuples $(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$, where $v_i \in \{0, 1\}$. Moreover, two $n$-tuples share an edge if they differ in exactly one coordinate. The hypercubes $Q_3$ and $Q_4$ are shown in Figure 1.

Definition 3.7. Let $C_1 = [x]$, where $x \in GF(2)$. For each positive integer $k$, define $C_{2k}$ recursively by

$$C_{2k} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{2k-1} & I_{2k-1} \\ I_{2k-1} & C_{2k-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $I_{2k-1}$ is the $(2^{k-1}) \times (2^{k-1})$ identity matrix.
Lemma 3.8. For each nonnegative integer $k$, $\det(C_{2k}) = (x + 1)^{2k}$ if $k$ is odd and $\det(C_{2k}) = x^{2k}$ if $k$ is even.

Proof. The proof is by induction. Note that $\det(C_1) = \det([x]) = x$ and $\det(C_2) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} x & 1 \\ 1 & x \end{bmatrix}\right) = x^2 + 1 = (x + 1)^2$. Suppose $\det(C_{2k}) = (x + 1)^{2k}$ for some odd integer $k > 1$ and $\det(C_{2k}) = x^{2k}$ for some even integer $k > 0$. Then

$$\det(C_{2k+2}) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} C_{2k+1} & I_{2k+1} \\ I_{2k+1} & C_{2k+1} \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$= \det\left((C_{2k+1})^2 - (I_{2k+1})^2\right)$$

(by Theorem 2.2)

$$= \det(C_{2k+1})^2\det(I_{2k+1})^2$$

$$= \det(C_{2k})^2$$

$$= \det(C_{2k})^2$$

$$= \left\{\begin{array}{ll}
(x + 1)^{2k} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\
(x^{2k})^2 & \text{if } k \text{ is even}
\end{array}\right.$$

by the induction hypothesis.

Observe that for each positive integer $k$, the characteristic polynomial of the adjacency matrix of the $k$-cube $Q_k$ is $p_{A(Q_k)}(x) = \det(C_{2k})$, where $C_{2k}$ is defined as in Definition 3.7. We can determine which hypercubes are closed universally solvable and open universally solvable.

Theorem 3.9. For each positive integer $k$, the $k$-cube $Q_k$ is not closed universally solvable but is open universally solvable if $k$ is odd, and the $k$-cube $Q_k$ is closed universally solvable but not open universally solvable if $k$ is even.
Proof. We have $Q_k = Q_{k-1} \square P_2$ for each positive integer $k$. Let $B = A(Q_{k-1})$ and let $C = A(P_2)$. Then $p_C(x) = x^2 + 1 = (x + 1)^2$. If $k$ is odd (so $k - 1$ is even), $p_B(x) = x^{2^{k-1}}$ by Lemma 3.8. Then $\gcd(p_B(x + 1), p_C(x)) = \gcd((x + 1)^{2^{k-1}}, (x + 1)^2) = (x + 1)^2 
eq 1$ and $\gcd(p_B(x), p_C(x)) = \gcd(x^{2^{k-1}}, (x + 1)^2) = 1$.

Thus, $Q_k$ is not closed universally solvable but is open universally solvable for $k$ odd by Theorems 2.5 and 2.6, respectively. If $k$ is even (so $k - 1$ is odd), $p_B(x) = (x + 1)^{2^{k-1}}$ by Lemma 3.8. Then $\gcd(p_B(x + 1), p_C(x)) = \gcd((x + 1)^{2^{k-1}}, (x + 1)^2) = 1$ and $\gcd(p_B(x), p_C(x)) = \gcd((x + 1)^{2^{k-1}}, (x + 1)^2) = (x + 1)^2 
eq 1$. Thus, $Q_k$ is closed universally solvable but not open universally solvable for $k$ even by Theorems 2.5 and 2.6, respectively. \qed

4. Conclusion. We conclude by stating two conjectures concerning the nullity of the adjacency matrix of a Cartesian Product.

**Conjecture 4.1.** Let $G$ and $H$ be finite, simple graphs, with $|G| = m$, $|H| = p$, and $n = mp$. Let $B = A(G)$, $C = A(H)$, and $q(x) = \gcd(p_B(x + 1), p_C(x))$. Then the nullity of $A(G \square H) + I_n$ is at least $\deg q(x)$.

**Conjecture 4.2.** Let $G$ and $H$ be finite, simple graphs, with $|G| = m$, $|H| = p$, and $n = mp$. Let $B = A(G)$, $C = A(H)$, and $r(x) = \gcd(p_B(x), p_C(x))$. Then the nullity of $A(G \square H)$ is at least $\deg r(x)$.
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Table 1

Summary of solvable graph families.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>□</th>
<th>$K_2$</th>
<th>$K_3$</th>
<th>$K_4$</th>
<th>$K_5$</th>
<th>$K_6$</th>
<th>$K_7$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_2$</td>
<td>C, nO</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
<td>C, nO</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
<td>C, nO</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_4$</td>
<td>C, nO</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
<td>C, nO</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
<td>C, nO</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_6$</td>
<td>C, nO</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
<td>C, nO</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
<td>C, nO</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


$P_2$ | C, nO | nC, nO | C, nO | nC, nO | C, nO | nC, nO |
| $P_4$ | C, O | C, O | C, O | C, O | C, O | C, O |
| $P_6$ | C, O | C, O | C, O | C, O | C, O | C, O |
| $P_8$ | C, nO | nC, nO | C, nO | nC, nO | C, nO | nC, nO |

$C =$ closed universally solvable, $nC =$ not closed universally solvable, $O =$ open universally solvable, $nO =$ not open universally solvable.
Table 2
Summary of solvable graph families.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>□</th>
<th>C_3</th>
<th>C_4</th>
<th>C_5</th>
<th>C_6</th>
<th>C_7</th>
<th>C_8</th>
<th>C_9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C_4</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
<td>C, nO</td>
<td>C, nO</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
<td>C, nO</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_5</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
<td>C, nO</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
<td>C, nO</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_8</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
<td>C, nO</td>
<td>C, nO</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
<td>C, nO</td>
<td>C, nO</td>
<td>nC, nO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \square \] = closed universally solvable, \( \nC \) = not closed universally solvable, \( O \) = open universally solvable, \( \nO \) = not open universally solvable.

Table 3
Summary of solvable graph families.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>□</th>
<th>P_2</th>
<th>P_3</th>
<th>P_4</th>
<th>P_5</th>
<th>P_6</th>
<th>P_7</th>
<th>P_8</th>
<th>P_9</th>
<th>P_{10}</th>
<th>P_{11}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

\( C \) = closed universally solvable, \( nC \) = not closed universally solvable, \( O \) = open universally solvable, \( nO \) = not open universally solvable.